# United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



# APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

74-2583

BP/S

IN THE

## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

**DOCKET NO. 74-2583** 

SHELDON S. TURNER,

Defendant-Appellant,

--vs.--

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

#### APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

ARTHUR W. TIFFORD, P.A.
By: Arthur W. Tifford, Esq.
Attorney for Appellant
Suite 1922 One Biscayne Tower
Miami, Florida 33151
Telephone 305-371-1477



PAGINATION AS IN ORIGINAL COPY

### INDEX

	PAGE
Docket Entries	A
Indictment	D
Excerpts of Minutes of Trial	1
Motion for Severence (Donald Richardson)	120
Motion for Severence (Fred L. Herman)	121
Motion for Mistrial and for Dismissal of Indictment (Sheldon Turner)	122
Judgment and Commitment	195
Government's Witnesses	
Seymour Maselow	
Direct	1,133
Cross (by Mr. Washor)	24,135
Redirect	40
Con Roberts Little Jr.	
Direct	136
Cross	28
Rose Stephenson	
Cross	35
Redirect	38
Recross	39
Arthur Breslow	
Direct	42

		PAGE
Cha	rles J. Irish	
	Direct	1.1.
	Cross	
Apr	il Kolinowski Martin	-
	Direct	51.141
	Cross (by Mr. Washor)	
	Redirect	90
	Recross	93
Euge	ene William Driggers	
	Direct	94
	Cross	110,145
Arth	nur Breslow	
	Direct	139
	Voir Dire (by Mr. Washor)	140
	Defendant's Witness	
Mont	e M. Siegel (by Mr. Washor)	
	Direct	114
	Cross	115
		/
	Government Exhibits	
116	Ledger Statement	147
117	Midtown Bank Statement of withdrawls	148
118	Bank Statement of Peoples Hialeah National Bank of Florida	149

	PAGI
2 Schedule of Assigned Receivables	151
2-1 York Litho Corp. of America Inventory Statement re: punch labels	155
2-1-A Letter to Little & Co. re: Inventory of Punch Labels	156
2-2-A Delivery Record re: Punch Labels	157
2-3 York Litho Corp. of America Inventory re: Pineapple-Grapefruit Labels	158
2-3-A Letter to Little & Co. re: Inventory of Pineapple-Grapefruit Labels	159
2-4-A Delivery Record re: Pineapple-Grapefruit Labels	160
3 Schedule of Assigned Receivable	161
3-1 York Litho Corp. of America Inventory Statement re: Freedom Posters	165
3-1-A Letter to Little & Co., re: Inventory of Freedom Posters	166
3-2 Delivery Record of Freedom Posters	167
3-2-A Delivery Record of Freedom Posters	168
ll Schedule of Assigned Receivables	169
11-1 York Litho Corp. of America Inventory Statement re: Furniture Catalogues	171
Il-1-A Letter to Adding Machine of Miami Florida re: Furniture Catalogues	172
11-2 Delivery Record of Furniture Catalogues	173
11-2-A Delivery Record of Furniture Catalogues	174
11-3 York Litho Corp. of America Inventory Statement re: Cedar Fund Raising Brochure	
11-3-A Letter to Leigh Robins Inc. re: inventory of Cedar Fund Raising Brochure	
11-4 Delivery Record of Cedar Fund Raising Brochure	

		PAGE
11-	4-A Delivery Record of Cedar Fund Raising Brochure	173
28-	A Statement of Account as to Little & Co	179
102	Security Agreement	181
104	Secretary's Certificate	183
106	Promissory Note	185
108	Letter Dated January 19, 1972, from Sheldon Turner to Chemical Bank	186
209	York Litho Corp. of America's Statement of Account on Leigh Robins, Inc	188
103	Guaranty	189
101	Accounts Receivable Financing Agreement	190

Form No. 100	דוהחד	CODT	KET ENTR		A PRETE	100	A
INAL DOCKET	JUDGE	1.11	CK	(	400	6 -	<u>a.</u>
	TITLE OF CASE	a				ATTOPNEYS	
THE U	NITED STAT	res	- I-A	+	For U. S.:		
	rs.		4-71	1			
						F. Eaton.	AUSA
SHELDON S. TURNER					264-	6434	
DONALD R. RICHARD	SON						
FRED L. HERMAN							
			*		For Defendan		-1
					Richardson	789 016 0	helsea St.
					100 100 - 11	N.Y.10011	(cu)
						<u> </u>	m.,
					-	TED STATES CO.	TATE OF THE PARTY
				- <del></del>		1	<del></del>
ABSTRACT OF COSTS	AMOUNT				CIVED AND DISTU	1	·
		DATE		KAME	- V/	RECEIVED	FISEURSED
1.							
k,							
shal,						-	
rney,					-0		
MANNER ROLL 18						-	
			•				<b> </b>
e report to influence loans.	e					1	
t Toans.							6 5
lineteen Counts)		9					
Theteen country		11					A ANDREAS OF THE PARTY OF THE P
ATE	. 8		PROCEEDINGS				,
-74 Filed indictment	10						
		-					
-74 Deft TURNER pre	sent with	Atty- D	eft plea	ds no	t guilty,	16 days f	or notions
CASL assigned to							
bail fixed by t	he Court a	at \$10,0	00 PRB b	ail 1	imits exte	nded to 1	nclude
- the S.D. of Flo	rida. Baun	nan,J.					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
9-74 Deft RICHARDSON							
for motions. De	ft ordered	d photog	raphed &	fing	erprinted,	bail fix	ed by the
. Court at \$10.00	O PRB, bai	il limit	s extend	ed to	S.D. of F	lorida. B	auman, 1.
		:.		11 7	אטא עסטי	II ADIE	
		-Ove	r- Oiv	11 (	COPY AVA	ILABLE	

•	PNOT 2		
DATE	PHOCEEDINGS		S PEES
		PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT
9-74	DEFT HERMAN present with Atty-Pleads not guilty, 10 day	s for	
	motions, deft ordered photographed & fingerprinted, bail		
	by Court at 310,000 PRB, bail limits extended to include	the	
	S.D. of Florida, Bauman.J.		
29-74	FRED L. HERMAN - Filed Personal Recognizance Bond without security	sum of \$1	0,000.
29-74	SHELDON SIMSON TURNER - Filed Personal Recognizance Bond without	ecurity au	of 110,0
29-74	DONALD ROBERT RICHARDSON - Filed Personal Recognizance Bond without	it security	sum of Si
-3-74	TUPMERFiled Notice of Appearance of Washor & Washor, 16 Court S	t. Brooklan	בין ע.ע.
	V	1	,
-3-74	HERMYN - Filed Uctice of Appearance of Gerald Kogan, 700 Brickell	Av Mibmi	la. 305-
	The state of the s	E.V. C. Library	12.
-6-74	RICHARDSON - Filed Notice of Appearance, Bernard Rubin Esq., 789	07.4 Cho?	CA- 14
	N.Y.10011	Old Chalses	Station,
21-74	MON-LIDIAL UUNDESCHUE HEID BE CONTO		
20-71	DEFOS. SPELDON S. TURNER, DONALD R. RICHARDSON, FRED L. HERMAN(att	72 73000	) Toom (2)
P	began	ys. present	1 July   - 1 -
-21-71-	Trial continued		
and the same of th	Trial continued		
-23-74	Trial continued Covernment's rests. Deft. Sheldon S. Turner noves	to dispine	Country
	motion counted. Notion denied as to all other counts. Deft. Do noves to dismiss counts 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,3,9,10,11,12,14,15,16,17,1		
	counts 1,7,8,9,15,16,17. Notion Denied. Counts 1,2,3,5,6,10,11		
26 71		,	ild 19 are
-27-71	Wrial continued		
29.71	and a mater was an are all the arms of the state of the s		
	**************************************		
	9 11 11 19 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		
		HARIE	
	ONLY COPY AV	ILAULE	
	The second of the post of the second of the		<del></del>
-28-74	2:1 of Deep 2 and on the same of the contract		3 5 -
		- 4	3.3.1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

-V-

SHELDON S. TURNER, DONALD R. RICHARDSON and FRED L. HERMAN,

Defendants.

INDICTMENT

74 Cr.



D

#### COUNTS ONE THROUGH NINETEEN

The Grand Jury charges:

On or about each of the dates set forth below, in the Southern District of New York, SHELDON S. TURNER, DONALD R. RICHARDSON and FRED L. HERMAN, the defendants, unlawfully, wilfully and knowingly did make a false statement and report for the purpose of influencing the action of Chemical Bank, Dommerich Division, 110 East 59th Street, New York, New York, a bank the deposits of which were then insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, upon certain advances and loans, and the acceptance of security therefor, in that:

(a) an Accounts Receivable Financing Agreement was in effect between the bank and York Litho Corp. of America,

(b) On or about each of the dates set forth below, the defendants submitted to the bank a Schedule of Assigned Receivables together with other documents, thereby falsely stating that each of the receivables on the Schedule represented a bona fide and existing obligation of a named customer of York Litho Corp. of America,

DEF: wp 73-2577

- (c) in fact, each Schedule listed one or more receivables that did not represent any such obligation, and that were either unsubstantiated, or substantiated only by alleged customers' invoices and delivery records which the defendants had fabricated and forged, and
- (d) the bank accepted these receivables as security, and advanced and loaned money to York Litho Corp. of America in an amount equal to approximately 70% of the dollar amount of the receivables.

COUNT	DATE	DOLLAR AMOUNT OF THE FRAUDULENT RECEIVABLES
1	November 16, 1971	\$ 7,867.00
2	November 23, 1971	\$ 3,600.00
3	December 2, 1971	\$ 3,921.00
4	December 7, 1971	\$10,993.84
5	December 9, 1971	\$10,464.00
6	December 14, 1971	\$ 1,012.96
7	December 17, 1971	\$11,226.00
8	December 21, 1971	\$ 3,750.00
9	December 24, 1971	\$ 6,500.00
10	December 29, 1971	\$14,919.20
11	December 31, 1971	\$ 8,420.00
12	January 4, 1972	\$ 5,000.00
13	January 8, 1972	\$14,386.40
14	January 20, 1972	\$ 1,468.48
15	February 23, 1972	\$ 7,110.00
16	February 25, 1972	\$ 6,498.00
17	M sch 1, 1972	\$ 1,800.00
18	March 6, 1972	\$11,025.00
19	March 18, 1972	\$ 5,855.00

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 1014 and Section 2.)

FORTAN

Part T Called

PAUL J. CURRAN United States Attorney

1	mmbr
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	x
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :
6	
7	
8	SHELDON S. TURNER, : 74 Cr. 424 DONALD R. RICHARDSON, and
9	FRED L. HERMAN, :
	Defendants. :
10	х
11	Before:
12	HON. ROBERT L. CARTER,
13	District Judge and a Jury
14	
15	New York, August 20, 1974 Room 110 - 2:00 p.m.
16	
17	APPEARANCES:
18	PAUL J. CURRAN, Esq., United States Attorney for the
19	Southern District of New York,
20	By: Douglas F. Eaton, Esq., Assistant United States Attorney
21	MICHAEL S. WASHOR, Esq.,
	Attorney for Defendant Sheldon S. Turner
22	BERNARD RUBIN, Esq., Attorney for Defendant Donald R. Richardson
23	GERALD KOGAN, Esq.,
24	Attorney for Defendant Fred L. Herman



I show you Government's Exhibit 102 for identification.

Yes, it is.

23

24

1	mmbr	Maselow-direct 21
2		Can you identify that?
3	A	Yes, that is a security agreement for equipment.
4	O	I show you Government's Exhibit 103 for
5	identific	cation. Can you identify that?
6	A	Yes, that is a guarantee.
7	Q	Now I show you Government's Exhibit 104 for
8	identific	
9		Can you identify that?
10	A	Yes, that is a secretary certificate of York
11	Litho Cor	poration.
12	Q	I show you Government's Exhibit 105 for
13	identific	ation. Can you identify that?
14	A	This is a signature card of the officers of
15	York Lith	o Corporation.
16	0	Government's Exhibit 106 for identification,
17	can you id	dentify that?
18	A	Yes, this is the promissory note from York
19	Litho Corp	poration to Chemical Bank.
20	0	Government's Exhibit 107 for identification?
21	λ	Yes, this is a letter from the Chemical Bank to
22	Mr. Turner	of York Litho Corporation.
23	0	Government's Exhibit 108?
24	٨	This is the surrender of collateral of York
		TOTAL OF TOTAL

Litho Corporation to Chemical Bank.

2 Bank?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

A Yes, they were.

MR. RUBIN: Objection. No foundation has been made. I would like to specifically point out --

THE COURT: I have already given you a ruling that you can make an objection, but I will not have any lengthy objections made.

Now, if you do make an objection --

MR. RUBIN: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: It is overruled.

- O Looking at Government's Exhibit 103 for identification, which you have identified as the guarantee, this refers in its first sentence to an agreement dated October 3, 1961, with York Litho Corporation of America. Is that agreement, the 1961 agreement, one of these agreements here?
  - A Yes, it is.
  - O Which one?
- A It is the security agreement for accounts receivable financing.
  - O And you are pulling out --
  - A Exhibit 101.
  - Now, Government's Exhibit 101 for identification MR. WASHOR: Objection.

22

23

24

25

MR. RUBIN: Objection on behalf of Mr. Richardson.

I didn't have an opportunity on the first go-around to examine these documents. May I have that opportunity now?

004

witnesses?

3

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. WASHOR: Yes.

THE COURT: And that rule will apply to both government and defense witnesses. Any in the courtroom who is to be a witness either for the government or for the defense is to be excluded.

(Jury present.)

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, may I request that certain material that I had an opportunity to review yesterday which is, I believe, in control of the government, be reproduced in court for examination?

MR. EATON: Yes, I think we can arrange that, your Honor.

THE COURT: I am sorry, ladies and gentlemen, for the rather late start, but I had two matters that started at 9:30 and they took more time than I thought.

You may proceed.

MR. EASTON: I believe the witness Maselow is being called by your clerk, your Honor.

SEYMOUR MASELOW, resumed.

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED

BY MR. EATON:

Ω Mr. Maselow, I show you Government's Exhibits

1 through 19 for identification. Those are photocopies.

1	mmbr Maselow-direct 35
2	Do you recognize the form on which each of those
3	documents is written?
4	A Yes, I do.
5	Ω Is that a bank form?
6	Λ Yes, it is.
7	Q Are each of those 19 forms the same form?
8	A Yes, they are.
9	Q Does that form have a name?
10	A Yes, this is a schedule of assigned receivables.
11	O Are you familiar with the procedure by which
12	that type of form schedule of assigned receivables is
13	submitted to the Chemical Bank-Dommerich Division?
14	A Yes, I am.
5	Q Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the
6	jury how that procedure would have operated in late '71
7	and '72, with respect to the borrower, York Litho Corporation
8	of America?
9	A York Lito Corporation of America would fill
0	out the schedule showing the customers that they purported-
1	ly sold to and would send the schedule by mail to our of-
2	fice.
3	O Where is this bank's office?

25

- At 110 East 59th Street, New York City.
- To whom at the bank would those documents come in

the first instance?

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

They would come into our mail room and from there they would be described to a Mr. David Naitov.

On occasion when the borrower, York Litho Corporation of America, requested any particular advance of money, how would that be communicated to the Chemical Bank?

Usually they would call us and ask us for a particular sum.

Who at the bank would make the decision as to 0 how much additional money would be advanced to York Litho?

The account executive in charge of the account, at this particular time Mr. Terrence Keegan.

THE COURT: I don't think I understand -- maybe the jury does -- but I don't think I understand what the purpose is behind the sending of that form.

MR. EATON: The simplest way is to read th-t document to the jury, but I can't do it until there are additional witnesses.

THE COURT: That is the simplest way to understand its purpose.

MR. EATON: I believe so. I will ask one general question of Mr. Maselow.

> Pursuant to the accounts receivable financing 007

20

22

23

24

25

No, sir. They would pay it to York and York in turn would send that particular check.

> To your knowledge are the originals of 0 008

1	mmbr Maselow-direct 41
2	A Approximately two months.
3	Q Subsequent to that did you have occasion to
4	go down to Florida to the York Litho premises?
5	A Yes, I did.
6	Q When did you go?
7	λ I went in late April.
8	Q Of what year?
9	A 1972.
10	Q When you were there did you have any conversations
11	with Mr. Sheldon Turner?
12	A Yes, I did.
13	Q Do you see Mr. Sheldon Turner in the
14	courtroom here today?
15	A Yes, I do.
16	Q Could you point him out?
17	MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, I think it is no secret
18	that this is Mr. Turner.
19	MR. EATON: The identification is conceded.
20	Q On what days, if you recall, did you talk with
21	Mr. Turner down at the York Litho offices?
22	A April 26, 27, 28.
23	O To the best of your recollection, could you tell
24	the jury what you said to Mr. Turner and what Mr. Turner
25	said to you?
	009

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WASHOR: May I object?

THE COURT: You can object with grounds. We agreed on that yesterday.

MR. WASHOR: I object on the ground it is covering three days, I would ask conversations on any of the particular days, as to identity, when they occurred.

MR. KOGAN: I have a further objection on behalf of the defendant Herman that this conversation is hearsay as to him and therefore not admissible as to him.

MR. EATON: This evidence is offered solely against the defendant Turner's statements, and not against Mr. Herman or Mr. Richardson.

THE COURT: I think that settles that.

MR. RUBIN: Your Honor, if I may at this time in view of the statement by Mr. Eaton, I would request a direction from the Court to the jury of this fact.

THE COURT: I think that the objection, Mr. Eaton, so far as specifying the dates, is appropriate in terms of the inquiry. The next testimony is only testimony that relates to Mr. Turner, and you are to hear it and consider it only as it affects Mr. Turner.

To the best of your ability when you are telling the jury about these conversations try to identify which

OIO

of the three days the statement took place on, if you can.

Starting at the beginning, April 26, 1972, could

3

1

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

A On the first day, which would be the 26th of April, I arrived at the plant of York Litho and I discussed with Mr. Turner the receivables that had been assigned to us which were bogus.

you tell the jury what you first said to Mr. Turner and what

He said that he would show me which particular ones had been billed and not shipped. He also at that date showed me some items which he had collected and had not sent to us, but had deposited in one or two bank accounts.

- After Mr. Turner told you that, did he show you various records at the York Litho premises?
  - A Yes, he did.

Mr. Turner first said to you?

MR. WASHOR: Objection. He is leading the witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Eaton, don't lead the witness.

- Did you look at these records?
- I was given accounts receivable ledger cards by Mr. Turner. On some of them he had marked X's, indicating which invoices that we couldn't collect on, because either the merchandise hadn't been shipped or had in truth

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7-4580

012

Objection sustained.

Does that document reflect in any way the state-

THE COURT:

Q

24

25

receivables that had been assigned to us that were not

25

I was attempting to put down the extent of the

mmbr

valid receivables.

made to York Lito.

Indirectly.

problems that could possibly arise in the receivables that

Did Mr. Turner provide the names of specific

would affect our ability to collect on our loan that we

collected with the names of customers and any other

I was also reconciling the amounts that had been

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

customers?

Could you explain what you mean by "indirectly"?

Well, he gave me the records where the names of the customers appeared, that is the accounts receivable records wher; he showed me that X, with the X marked on those records, that these were the invoices that weren't valid invoices. He also handed me a deposit slip which

indicated some moneys that we deposited in a bank account.

Well, now, you have testified about that previously, that there were two types of invalid invoices. Did Mr. Turner tell you with relation to a specific customer the reason why the invoices couldn't be collected by your bank?

MR. WASHOR: Objection. He is leading the witness. He is putting words in the witness' mouth.

MR. EATON: I don't intend to elicit Mr.

Maselow's recollection of specific statements by Mr.

Turner. I want to have Mr. Maselow explain his notes in effect so the document makes some sense.

MR. WASHOR: May I object to that.

THE COURT: The bjection is overruled. The issue here is to be in a position so that whatever evidence comes in is understandable. That objection is over-ruled.

Q Now, Mr. Maselow, on the first page of Government's Exhibit 116 in evidence, on the left-hand side is an alphabetical listing of names of companies.

Can you tell the jury what those names mean?

A Those were the names of customers of York Litho Corporation.

Q And then alongside each one of them is a letter with a circle around it and the letter is either A, B or C.

Could you tell the jury what those letters,
A, B, and C mean?

A The letter A meant that those amounts that were shown on your records that were listed as receivables were in truth not true receivables.

0 What do you mean by not true receivables?

A They were either of merchandise that was actually not shipped, or not billed to the customers.

4

1

2

3

What about the letter B?

5

7

A The letter B was the sums of money received by York Litho Corporation which they deposited in their own bank accounts, rather than send them directly to Chemical Bank.

8

O Wit

York Litho?

9

10

Q With respect to those customers who have the letter B beside them, were certain receivables uncollectible

11

from them for the reason that they had already paid

12

13

MR. WASHOR: Objection.

14

THE COURT: Objection overruled.

15

A That is correct.

16

17

O Did Mr. Turner tell you what had been done with the payments received from those particular customers marked with the letter B?

18

A Yes.

19 20

O What did Mr. Turner tell you had been done?

21

A They had deposited it into York Litho's

22

bank accounts.

23

O I show you Government's Exhibit 117 for identification, do you recognize that?

24 25

A Yes, I do.

mmb

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O What is that?

A That is a deposit ticket to Midtown Bank for the account of York Litho Corporation.

- Q Who gave it to you?
- A Mr. Turner.
- Q Did Mr. Turner tell you what those deposits represented?
  - A Yes, he did.
  - Q What did he tell you?
- A Where I couldn't read what was on this ticket,
  I had asked him what it means and I made a summarization of
  it on one of my work sheets.
  - O Did he identify any of those items?
  - A Yes, he did.
  - Q What did he tell you those deposits represented?
- A In some instances money received from the customers of York Litho.
- Q I show you Government's Exhibit 118 for identification, can you identify that? That is of two pages.
- A This was a copy of the bank statements of People's Hialeah National Bank, which Mr. Turner gave me.
- ? That document lists some deposits into that bank account. Did Mr. Turner make any statement about any of those deposits?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, he did. A

And what did Mr. Turner say about any of those 0 deposits?

He indicated to me which of these covered accounts receivable and also to the best of my recollection, I believe a tax refund which also had been assigned to Chemical Bank.

Now when you said the phrase "covered accounts receivable," could you go through whatyou mean by that?

A Well, in other words, this again was a check that we received from a customer of York Litho that had been assigned to Chemical Bank and that check should have been sent directly to Themical Bank and it was not.

In returning to Government's Exhibit 116 for Q identification, could you tell us what the letter C represented?

The letter C represented those receivables where there had been some production problem and the people wouldn't pay for it because either the quality of the merchandise wasn't to specifications or it was not quite as ordered.

MR. EATON: I offer Government's Exhibits 117 and 118.

> MR. WASHOR: Objection, your Honor, no proper 018

2 fo

3

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

foundation. The mere fact that they were given to Mr. Turner doesn't make it admissible, I believe.

THE COURT: As far as I can understand the testimony of Mr. Maselow, rious of these items reflected in 117 and 118 were indicated to him to be accounts that had been received by York Litho which moneys were supposed to be paid to Chemical and in turn were deposited to their own account.

MR. WASHOR: May I just comment?

THE COURT: No. As I gather, that is what these two exhibits are to reflect.

MR. WASHOR: There is no charge in the indictment that he misappropriated funds.

THE COURT: It is not a question of misappropriating funds. That is not the point and that is not why the objection is overruled.

Q Now, Mr. Maselow, I would like to go back to the search which you participated in to find original documents in the spring of one year.

Did you find original documents in your files?

A We found the documents that we testified to yesterday. I found these documents in the file.

I also found many other work sheet papers and some bills.

O Were all those documents turned over to the

government?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Yes, they were.

(Government's Exhibits 117 and 118 were received in evidence.)

I show you Government's Exhibit 7-1, 7-2, 11-3, 11-4, 7-3, 7-4, 8-1, 10-5, 10-6, 11-1, 11-2, 13-3, 13-4, 13-7, 13-8, 18-1, 19-1 and 19-2, all for identification. Were those documents among the documents that you found in the bank's records in the spring of 1974?

Yes, they are.

Do they all relate to York Litho Corporation of America?

> Yes, they do. A

Just take 7-3 for identification, what is the name of that type of document?

This is an invoice.

And stapled to that is 11-4 for identification? What is that type of document?

A delivery record.

I believe all of the rest of these documents are either invoices or delivery records. How did the bank come to receive these invoices and delivery records?

These invoices and delivery records would be

MR. EATON: I will withdraw the photostats for

1	mmbr Maselow-direct 57
2	the time being. That means I am withdrawing 7-1, 7-2,
3	11-3 and 11-4.
4	MR. WASHOR: Excuse me, your Honor, with all
5	due respect, every one of these are carbon copies, not
6	originals.
7	MR. EATON: I will clear that up with the
8	witness.
9	Q Were the carbon copies of invoices the document
10	that York Litho would send to the bank?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And stapled to them are delivery tickets which
13	I believe in every instance are a Xerox of a delivery
14	ticket. Is that the exact form that York Litho would
15	send to the bank?
16	A Yes, they would be.
17	Q The original of that delivery ticket, where
18	would that be retained?
19	A That should be retained in the books and records
20	of York Litho.
21	Q Were those books and records available to the
22 .	bank's auditors pursuant to the contract?
23	A Yes, they were.
24	THE COURT: What are you offering now? You
25	have withdrawn some. What is the offer?
	022

4 5

MR. EATON: 7-3, 7-4, 8-1, 8-5, 11-1, 11-2, 13-3, 13-4, 13-7, 18-1, 19-1, 19-2.

MR. KOGAN: On behalf of the defendant

Herman we would object. No proper predicate, in addition
to that no materiality or relevance as to this point and
time in the trial.

MR. RUBIN: I would join with Mr. Kogan for brevity.

THE COURT: In the light of Mr. Maselow's statement that the carbons were the ones that the bank received and that the originals are in the York Litho Company, the objection is overruled and they will be admitted, of course subject to connection.)

(Government's Exhibits 7-3, 7-4, 8-1, 8-5, 11-1, 11-2, 13-3, 13-4, 13-7, 13-8, 18-1, 19-1 and 19-2 were received in evidence.)

BY MR. EATON:

Q On Government's Exhibit 116 in evidence next to the customer name Leigh Robins there is a notation, can you explain that?

A That says contra. What is meant by that is that if there is an inter-relationship between a customer and somebody that they might buy from or have an interest in a business, there is the probability of being

MR. EATON: I have no further questions of this witness.

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, the government has provided me with the material that I requested. I have other areas to cover. May I proceed to the other areas without going through this now? I know you want to move along.

THE COURT: I want you to proceed with your cross examination.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. WASH 7:

Q Mr. Maselow, in reference to the notation 024

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7:4580

١..

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

23

21

Maselow-cross

60

Government's Exhibit 116, you have the word contra. Am I correct?

> A Yes.

mmbr

- You explained that to mean that reflects that there may be an interest by a party in the parent company, such as York Litho, and the account receivable. Am I correct?
  - A said that was one of the possibilties.
- And you commented that the ability to collect moneys due would be dubious?
  - A Yes, I did.
- So this was the result of your inventory and the control that the bnak had over York Litho in April, 1972, am I correct, that you made these notations?
- I don't understand your question, particularly when you talk about inventory.
- You were auditing the books or you had control of York Litho in April '72?
  - I didn't have control.
- Isn't it a fact, sir, that Mr. Kavanaugh was the only one who had the keys to the premises when you sent him down there in January? Isn't that so, sir?
  - A Not to my knowledge.
  - Be it as it may, Mr. Maselow, is it not a fact

Isn't it a fact, that an account receivable in its purest sense would be an obligation due and owing for a job already completed?

A Yes.

O Which would normally be evidence by an invoice or some sort of document such as a delivery ticket to show that the transaction is complete and there is only one thing left open, the almighty dollar? Payment? Am I correct?

A You are correct.

Q Is it not a fact, sir, that there is terminology called pre-billing or bill holding that is ued in connunction with accounts receivable? Just yes or no.

A It is not answerable by a yes or no.

O Is it not a fact, sir, that Chemical Bank had advanced moneys to York Litho when they were only in receipt of invoices on occasions?

MR. EATON: Objection. It is immaterial.

MR. WASHOR: It is going to the phasing out.

THE COURT: That objection is sustained.

You can inquire as I have indicated whatever it has done or wherever he can show.

Q Did you ever have occasion to look at the records of York Litho Corporation?

A Yes.

O Let me ask you a question; how would you having your expertise characterize categories in the circumstance wherein you have an account receivable and the business goes bankrupt? Were you characterize that as uncollectible or no good? Yes or no.

A Not completely.

22

23

24

1	mmbr Little-cross 132
2	and second count of the indictment, handwritten
3	notations by Mr. Little.
4	MR. EATON: Your Honor, this could be marked as
5	a government exhibit.
6	(Government's Exhibit 28-A was marked for
7	identification.)
8	Q Would you look at that piece of paper. Is
9	that in your handwriting, sir?
10	A Yes, it is.
11	Q Is that made in the regular course of business
12	by you?
13	A Yes, it is.
14	O Mr. Little, when did you write that note?
15	A 3-21-72.
16	Q Four months after you received the first invoice,
17	am I correct, sir?
18	A No, sir. The date of the invoice is dated
19	2-29-72.
20	Q So one month after you received the invoice.
21	Am I correct, sir?
22	A Three weeks.
23	O Three weeks. And that note reflects a transaction
24	relative to February what, February 28th?
25	Λ Yes, it does.
	028

×

23

24

25

- Q And the note was made by you in your regular course of business?
  - A That is right.

MR. WASHOR: I ask that it be marked in evidence, your Honor.

MR. EATON: No objection.

(Government's Exhibit 28-A was received in evidence.)

MR. WASHOR: Now it is in evidence.

May I read it to the jury, please.

It is addressed to Dutch Turner, it says
"This invoice attached is completely invalid. Please issue
credit memo to take it off your books.

"Regards,

"Con."

- Now, Mr. Little, you say that refers to the invoice dated February 28, 1972?
  - A I assume so.
- Q Well, that is the invoice that is attached to?
- A Yes, that is the invoice I sent back. If it is the invoice I sent back, this is the invoice, yes.
- Q Did you return another invoice to Mr. Turner and accompanied by a note and that invoice referring to

1

November 16, 1971?

3

I don't recall it.

4 5

Is there any doubt in your mind, Mr. Little, that you enclosed only one invoice with that note?

6

MR. EATON: The government will stipulate

7

to that.

8

No, there is no doubt. I think there was only one there.

9

This invoice referred to labels of Citrus World?

10

It doesn't say.

11 12

I don't hear you. Q

13

THE COURT: It doesn't say, he said.

14

15

There is no description on the invoice, just an amount of money.

16

Then how were you able to state in your note

17

18

that it is involid, sir, if you don't know what it is for? A Well, I know that I had not bought anything

19

from York Litho and therefore any invoice from them to

20

Little & Company would be invalid.

21 22

Didn't you tell the FBI you made arrangements because you didn't trust Mr. Turner, to have Turner send you

23

the invoices so that you could deduct the 10 per cent

24

commission and didn't you make that statement to the FBI on September 22, 1972?

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25 THE COURT: Objection sustained.

- Would you look at paragraph 3 in the FBI report that you have in your possession: Would you read it to yourself, please.
  - A I have read it, yes.
- Did you tell the FBI on September 22, 1972 that because you had no prior experience with Turner, you were going to have Turner bill you directly for the labels and you would in turn sell them to your customers and you would take off the 10 per cent commission, did you at least tell that much to the FBI, sir?
  - A Yes, I did.
- So, sir, when you received a billing in December, 1971 when you commenced business with York Litho, from York Litho, you had had no way of knowing wherein it was just an error and a misunderstanding between yourself and York Litho? Am I correct, sir?

MR. EATON: Objection.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. Let him answer.

- Am I correct?
- You are correct.
- So when you state it is invalid you don't mean that it is false, you just mean that there is a misunder-

Is it not a fact, sir, that York Litho Corporation had many of those orders rejected by your clients because of improper specifications?

> Λ Some, yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And at the very inception of the negotiation or dealings between yourself and York Litho, were not the original or first handful of orders rejected by Deep South and Citrus World for failure of compliance with the orders?

A No.

0 You remember that specifically?

If I understand your question, no is the correct You said "many," did you not? answer.

> 0 Yes, I did.

A Well, that is not correct.

0 Several orders were rejected?

Λ Yes.

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Q And you knew at the inception of the business relationship that you had with York Litho that York Litho was assigning these obligations as accounts receivable to a bank in New York, am I correct?

> No, sir. Maybe I don't understand you. Will you repeat the question?

At or about the time that you were entering into a business relationship with Yor Litho, didn't you know that York Litho was forwarding these invoices, factoring these obligations?

- No, I didn't know.
- Did you find that out?

I found it out when I received a call from Deep South Products Company which we have discussed before.

Was that not found out by you the first week in January, 1972 at the time that there was a rejection of a delivered order by York Litho to Deep South Products?

> Λ No, sir.

Didn't Deep South Products contact you and tell you that they received notices and letters from the Chemical Bank of New York in reference to paying of moneys and bills for the products ordered, the labels?

The instances you goffer to are a long way apart.

The invoices which were sent to Deep South before the labels were manufactured were received before any labels were manufactured and before any rejections were made and it was perhaps a year later before I heard anything from Chemical National Bank.

- O Before you did?
- A Right.
- As a matter of fact wouldn't it be fair to say at the time you entered into a contractual relationship with York Litho Corporation you were also an agent for another label company?

A I am an agent for a number of different companies; that is what a manufacturers agent is. We represent anybody who wants us to sell for them.

O Sor, as a matter of fact, York Litho or Mr.

Turner owes you money on commissions?

- A That is correct.
- Q To this very date?
- A That is correct.
- 9 You have not been paid?
- A That is right.
- Q Is it not a fact, sir, that in Florida you caused him to be arrested and charged with a crime to collect this money? Yes or no.

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A May I make a correction? You went a little too fast for me.

At first Con Little was supposed to be billed.

At first Con Little was supposed to be billed and he would bill us, but then he came back to us and said that York wanted to bill us direct.

- Q As a matter of fact that was the original working agreement until Mr. Little told you people that it was going to change and there would be direct billing?
  - A Right.
- O In point of time would you have any reference to the point as to when Mr. Little came to you to tell you there would be a change in the arrangements?
- A I don't know the exact time but all of our purchase orders were issued in -- started in December and January, I believe, and he first came to us in September --
  - Q In other words --
  - Λ -- to sell us our labels.
  - You mean the September before?
- A September and then came December and I issued the first purchase order to York.
- O September 1971 is when you entered into at least negotiations with Mr. Little being the intermediary, the agent, then in December you issued your first purchase order?

1	mmbr	Stephenson-cross 161
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	That was done directly by you?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Did you receive an invoice for that purchase
6	order then	
7	A	No.
8.	Q	Was Con Little being billed at that point?
9	A	I don't know.
10	O	You weren't at that point?
11	A	That is right. I was not.
12	Ω	So at least December '71 you weren't being
13	billed by	York Litho?
14	А	No.
15	Q	January as you indicated 1972 you were still
16	not being	billed by York Litho, am I correct?
17	A	How do you mean?
18	Q	Well, the receipt of an invoice.
19	A	Well, I don't pay invoices unless I receive
20	goods.	
21	Q	Of course not. Payment is made after delivery.
22		Were you receiving invoices in January of 1972?
23	Forget abo	out the payment.
24	A	I don't know. Were you looking at my recap? We
25	received a	n invoice in January, yes.
	1	

3

4

5

6

7

\_

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

O In December when you first started to do business with York Litho, would it be fair to say that the invoice with reference to the December transactions were first sent to Con Little and not until January did you receive invoices for the first time from York Litho? Would that be reasonable?

A I can't say because I don't know.

MR. WASHOR: I have no further questions.

Thank you.

MR. KOGAN: No questions on behalf of the

Defendant Herman.

MR.RUBIN: No questions on behalf of the

Defendant Richardson.

THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Easton?

MR. EATON: Yes, I think so, your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. EATON:

Q By the time of the first delivery of goods, from York Litho to Citrus World, was York Litho billing Citrus World, sending the invoices to Citrus World?

A The first product we received, we received invoice from York, yes.

O So the arrangement you were talking about that perhaps Mr. Little would be billed had been changed before the

1	mmbr Stephenson-redirect-recross 16.3
2	first shipment of merchandise to your company?
3	A Yes?
4	MR. EATON: I have no further questions.
5	RECROSS EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. WASHOR:
7	O The first order then was with Mr. Little &
8	Company?
9	A The first order?
10	O The first order.
11	A To York?
12	O Yes.
13	A Well, they all went through Mr. Little.
14	MR. WASHOR: Thank you very much. No further
15	questions.
16	MR. EATON: Thank you very much.
17	THE COURT: You may step down.
18	We will take a ten-minute recess.
19	(Recess.)
20	(In the absence of the jury.)
21	MR. FATON: I have turned over 3500 material:
22	3501 and 3502.
23	THE COURT: I don't understand 3524-A and
24	3521-A that you have given me.
25	(Jury in box.)

1	2 mdmch Maselow-redirect
2	201 through 215 for identification.
3	Can you identify those?
4	A Yes, these are records which are kept in the
5	office of Chemical Bank-Dommerich Division.
6	Q Do they relate to York Litho?
7	A Yes, these are our copies of the accounts
8	receivable records.
9	O Does each one of those records represent a different
10	customer of York Litho?
11	A Yes, they do.
12	Q When there is an entry marked "JE," what would
13	that represent?
14	A That would mean a journal entry.
15	Q And who would make that journal entry?
16	A One of the clerks in the office upon instructions
17	from either myself or from the account executive or from
18	Mr. Naitov, who was handling the account.
19	Q Would a journal entry mean that payment had been
20	received for that account receivable?
21	A No.
22	Q What letter code would be used to show a payment
23	from the customer?
24	Λ "CS."
25	Q Standing for?

1	3 mdmch Maselow-redirect
2	A Cash receipt.
3	Q What would the initials "CM" stand for?
4	A Credit memorandum.
5	MR. EATON: I am not going to offer these at
6	this time, but I will show them to counsel.
7	MR. WASHOR: Would your Honor bear with us for
8	a moment, please?
9	MR. EATON: These will be available to counsel.
10	I am not offering them at this time.
11	MR. WASHOR: The witness was questioned about
12	them. I have only two or three to go.
13	THE COURT: Make it as expeditiously as you can.
15	Q Mr. Maselow, I show you 7-1, 7-2, 11-3 and 11-4

You have previously testified that those were among the documents that were found at your bank this spring and then it was brought out that these four aren't in the exact form that the documents were received at the bank in.

Are they photocopies of documents that were in such form?

A Yes, sir.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WASHOR: Objection, your Honor. Improper redirect examination.

1	11 mmmch Maselew-redirect
2	Breslow i rect
3	THE COURT: In other words, you are saying that
	what you in fact received from York Litho has been
4	destroyed?
5	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
6	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
7	MR. EATON: I have no further questions.
8	(Government's Exhibits Nos. 7-1, 7-2, 11-3 and
9	11-4 for identification were received in evidence.)
10	THE COURT: Anything further from Mr. Maselow?
11	Thank you very much. You may step down.
12	(Witness excused)
13	MR. EATON: The Government calls Arthur Breslow.
14	ARTHUR BRESLOW, called as a
15	witness on behalf of the Government, being first
16	duly sworn, testified as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. EATON:
19	Q For what company do you work?
20	A I work for the Chemical Bank-Dommerich Division.
21	Q Were you working for them in July '72?
22	λ Yes.
23	Q Where physically were you located in July 1972?
24	A In Hialeah, Florida at the York Litho Company.
25	Q What were you doing at the York Litho Company at

24

25

Breslow-direct

that time?

12 mmch

- A I was acting as an agent for the bank.
- Q Did you meet an FBI agent named John Brady?
  - A Yes, I did.
  - Q By the way, Agent Brady is an agent of the FBI?
  - A Yes.
- Q Did you turn over any documents to Agent Brady in July 1972?
  - A Yes.
- Q I show you Government's Exhibits 20 through 36 for identification.

Have you had a chance to look at these exhibits briefly previous to testifying here?

- A These are the documents.
- Q When you turned them over to FBI Agent Brady, were they in these individual folders with the typed labels?
  - A No.
- Q Did they have these sheets of yellow ruled papers with Government exhibit numbers on them?
  - A No.

MR. WASHOR: I will ask that anything that was put into the file vis-a-ris Government or anybody looking to the checking of any notes of a personal nature be withdrawn.

1	21 mmmch Irish-direct
2	rest of the day.
3	MR. EATON: Yes.
4	(Witness excused)
5	MR. EATON: The Government calls Charles Irish.
6	CHARLES J. IRISH, called as
7	a witness by the Government, being first duly
8	sworn, testified as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. EATON:
11	Q Where do you work?
12	A Chemical Bank.
13	Q What division?
14	A Dommerich Division.
15	Q What is your title there?
16	A Assistant vice-president.
17	Q Now, in the summer of '72, did you have a conver-
18	sation with any FBI agents?
19	A Yes, I did.
20	Q Did they request anything from you?
21	A Yes, they did.
22	Q What did they request?
23	A They requested particularly invoices from me
24	that were spurious.
25	Q Did they request documents?

1 2 3 4 5	
7	
)	

24

25

22 mmmch Irish-direct

MR. RUBIN: Your Honor, I will object and move to strike the last words as being a conclusory statement.

THE COURT: Well, I think that is correct.

We may interpret that differently. I am not sure what it means, so it is stricken.

You may describe it in clearer fashion.

After your conversation with the FBI, did you retrieve any documents from the bank's files pertaining to York Litho Corporation of America?

A Yes, I did.

Q Were the originals of those documents in existence in the summer of '72?

A Yes, they were.

"Q What did you cause to be done with those original documents?

A We caused copies of the original documents to be made.

Q I show you Government's Exhibits 1 through 19 for identification.

Do you recognize those documents?

A Yes, I do.

Q What are they?

A They are the copies of the York Litho documents that I had. They are the copies of the documents concerning

you use?

234
25 mmmch Irish-direct
Q But this is a Xerox of the document in whatever
form it was sent to the bank?
A Yes, it is.
THE COURT: I don't understand that.
You said that is proof of shipment that you use
MR. EATON: Maybe you could read his Honor
the title of the document.
THE WITNESS: The title of the document is
"Delivery Record." The bank uses this to establish proof
of shipment, what it is; it's attached to an invoice.
THE COURT: Am I to understand that that is a
document that the bank provided for York Litho?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: No. York Litho submits this with an invoice to the bank. The invoice indicates that they have sold merchandise to a customer, and attached to it is supposed to be a document signed by the trucker who delivered the merchandise to the customer, and signed by the customer if it was local.

THE COURT: The explanation still isn't there. What is that document? Proof of shipment? What is that document?

THE WITNESS: This is a copy of a document that York Litho sent to us.

THE COURT: I misunderstood. I thought by your

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

testimony that that document was a bank form.

THE WITNESS: No, your Honor.

MR. EATON: I believe that testimony related to 1 through 19, the schedules of assigned receivables, your Honor.

> THE COURT: I misunderstood. Now I understand. Thank you.

MR. EATON: I offer all these documents in evidence.

MR. WASHOR: Same objection as we made to the other documents.

THE COURT: No originals?

MR. WASHOR: Yes.

THE COURT: I take it that those documents, Mr. Irish, the bank doesn't have in the form in which they were received?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: And the form in which they were received was destroyed, and all you have is photocopies of the documents?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

THE COURT: Mr. Washor, if that is your only objection, I will make the same ruling as on the others. It is overruled.

1	45 mmmch Irish-cross
2	A What was the preceding question?
3	Q That there are no documents that you can refer
4	to to determine if monies were loaned on any one particular
5	schedule.
6	A We didn't advance monies on any particular
7	schedule. Our advance form has nothing to do with these
8	schedules. It's in bulk. The total receivables is what
9	we lend against, not a schedule.
10	Q So that, Mr. Irish, the bank wouldn't have relied
11	on the representations in the schedule; am I correct?
12	A You are incorrect.
13	Q Did you just say that you didn't make advances
14	of money predicated on the schedule and the names of the
15	accounts in the schedule?
16	MR. EATON: It's a misstatement; the word was
17	"particular schedule."
18	THE COURT: Mr. Washor, he said you are incorrect.
9	Now, instead of repeating the question, if you
20	are interested, let him tell you why you are incorrect.
21	MR. WASHOR: How can you be incorrect on a
2	question? I happen to be concerned.
3	THE COURT: Obviously, your question was based
4	upon what Mr. Irish said is an erroneous conclusion.
5	Let him tell you.

1

- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 20
- 21
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q Will you explain it to me, sir?
- A We place absolute reliance on the schedule for the total receivables. The total of the receivables on that schedule are then added to the entire outstanding receivables pursuant to our records for the company, and advances are based on that, not on this, but on the total receivables picture of the company.

But we place absolute reliance on this schedule for the bottom-line number for transferring it to the total receivables that our records show.

- Q Even if it were unsigned?
- A It should have been signed.

We relied on it unsigned; that is correct.

- Q Now, sir, did you have other occasions to advance monies to York Litho or Sheldon Turner without billing or schedules?
  - THE COURT: Without schedules?
  - A I don't understand the question.
- THE COURT: I don't think he has testified to that.
- Did you ever advance monies to York Litho,
  that is, the Chemical Bank, during your tenure with them,
  without the use of these schedules?
  - A I still don't understand.

1

BY MR. EATON:

last ten years?

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

Q Have you used another name regularly for the

- A Yes, I have.
- Q And what name is that?
- A April Kelly.
- Q Miss Kelly, do you recognize anybody seated in this courtroom at the defense table?
  - A Yes, I do.
- Q Could you point out who you know and identify their names for the jury?

MR. WASHOR: I object. We concede that Miss Kelly was employed by Mr. Turner. There is no secret.

MR. EATON: The other defendants also concede the identification, I assume?

MR. KOGAN: Wait a minute.

That Miss Kelly knows that this is Mr. Fred Herman? Yes, I will concede she knows this is Mr. Fred Herman but that's all I stipulate to.

MR. EATON: That's all.

THE COURT: That's all you have been asked to stipulate to.

MR. KOGAN: I've got to watch it, because sometimes you don't know.

1	102 mmmch	Martin-direct 310)
2		MR. WASHOR: She is a pretty witness, too.
3		THE COURT: And you, Mr. Rubin?
4		MR. RUBIN: Yes, I would concur with Mr. Kogan.
5	Q	Now, which of these three defendants did you meet
6	first, Mis	ss Kelly?
7	Α	Mr. Sheldon Turner.
8	Q	Does Mr. Sheldon Turner have a nickname?
9	Λ	He's also called Dutch Turner.
10	Q	Approximately when was it you first met Mr.
11	Turner?	
12	А	The middle or late summer of 1971.
13	Q	Did you have a conversation with him at that
14	time?	
15	Λ	Yes, I did.
16	Q	And could you tell the jury briefly what the
17	subject of	that conversation was?
18		MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, I object on the ground
19	that it's	without the scope of the indictment.
20		I will waive my objection, by the way. I will
21	be happy t	to waive my objection if he would waive his
22	objection	about what occurred afterwards.

MR. EATON: Your Honor, I think I can clear this

23

25

Q Did you ever work for any of Sheldon Turner's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q When did you first go to work for any of Sheldon Turner's companies?
  - A The day after Labor Day in 1971.
- Q That was the day after your first mysterious conversation?

MR. WASHOR: I object. There is nothing mysterious about a conversation. That is facetious.

THE COURT: I think that is entirely improper.

MR. EATON: I apologize.

Q When you talked with Mr. Turner about going to work for one of his companies, did Mr. Turner explain to you what sort of work he wanted you to do?

MR. WASHOR: I will object and ask that the time period be designated so we can determine whether it's within the confines of the indictment.

THE COURT: Just after Labor Day. She went to work for him just after Labor Day, 1971.

MR. WASHOR: Would the court bear with me for a moment?

Is that the testimony?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. WASHOR: Immaterial. November 16, '71 is the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7-4580

first count.

\_

I press the objection, your Honor.

MR. EATON: I am trying to determine that Miss Kelly's duties were at this point.

THE COURT: He is not asking her for the date of any conversations. He is asking her when she was first employed, when she first met Mr. Turner, or was employed. We have not got any conversations.

MR. WASHOR: I believe he asked what she did.

Now, actions as well as words can fall within the category.

THE COURT: It all depends. At this point it is merely background. If it's something else, Mr. Eaton may have to show some reason to allow it in. Now all we have is background.

MR. KOGAN: Your Honor, one reason I am on my feet now: Obviously, conversations apparently will be gone into, and apparently there will be conversations that Miss Kelly will estify about where perhaps one defendant was present.

I wonder if your Honor should at this point, in keeping with what we did at the beginning of the trial, instruct the jury that conversations had outside the presence of a particular defendant aren't admissible as evidence against that particular defendant.

105 mmmch

. 9

Martin-direct

and I think you remember yesterday, or the day before, I had occasion to give you instructions like that, that where there are conversations or acts in which one or the other of the defendants is not present, you are to accept and receive it only with regard to the defendant who is present.

Q I am talking about the point in time when you started to work, or just before, Labor Day of 1971.

Did Mr. Turner have any discussions with you about what your duties would be when you went to work for him?

- A Yes, he did.
- Q Did Mr. Turner mention to you any companies that he owned or controlled?

A Well, Leigh Robins was the company he was representing to me when he was talking to me about coming to Leigh.

- Q Leigh Robins is L-e-i-g-h Robins?
- A Yes.
- Q And at the time we are talking about, that was the name of the company that Mr. Turner was running?
  - A Yes.
- Q Did he tell you what the business of Leigh Robins was at that ti

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I believe he told me it was a graphics house.

Did Mr. Turner tell you that he was negotiating to acquire control of any other companies?

MR. WASHOR: Objection.

He's leading the witness.

THE COURT: I don't know yet, Mr. Eaton, but I gather from what I sense that this is a critical witness, and she is one that would be considered one by the defendants, and I will not permit you to lead this witness.

Q Did Mr. Turner ever mention York Litho Corporation of America?

Yes, he did.

During these early conversations that you had with Mr. Turner, what, if anything, did he say about York Litho Corporation of America?

He was in some way negotiating at the time to purchase or acquire York Litho Corporation of America.

MR. WASHOR: I object and ask that it be stricken from the record, on the grounds that this conversation would have had to occur in August '71, outside the purview of this indictment.

THE COURT: Well, I think that so far it's only background material. He told her that he was in negotiation for York Litho in August or September.

107 mmmc

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The objection is overruled.

Q What, particularly, did Mr. Turner tell you that your duties would be with respect to employment by him?

A I was to set up and run an in-house advertising agency for York Litho Corporation.

Q Did Mr. Turner tell you any name that he wanted to give to that in-house advertising agency?

MR. WASHOR: Objection. Even though it's background material, it's a bit unfair for him to testify.

THE COURT: I told Mr. Eaton that, and I am insisting on it, Mr. Eaton. You cannot lead this witness.

MR. EATON: She testified about an in-house advertising agency. I am just asking what the name was.

THE COURT: That is not how you ask a question.

You can ask a question that would require her to recollect
and give us the testimony.

- Q Did Mr. Turner mention any name for this company?
- A He and I together came up with the name, which was The Adding Machine.
  - Q The Adding Machine?
  - A Yes.
- Q You testified that you went to work for Mr.
  Turner the day after Labor Day 1971.

Where, physically, did you first work?

057

1	108 mmmch Martin-direct
2	
3	Terran Building on 36th Street in Miami, Florida.
4	
5	A I believe I was there between a month and seven
6	weeks.
7	Q During that period of him.
8	Q During that period of time, who else worked at the Leigh Robins offices?
9	
10	an art director for The Adding Machine.
	Mr. Turner was at the offices for the first few weeks,
11	and I believe Mr. Richardson may have been there.
12	There were one or two salesmen whose names I
13	don't recall.
14	Q When you left those premises, where did you go to
15	work?
16	A I went to the York Litho plant.
17	Q Where was that located?
18	
19	A I believe the address was Northwest 58th Court, in Miami.
20	
21	mowledge, was anyone left working at the
22	offices of Leigh Robins?
23	A Not to my knowledge.
	Q While you were at the offices of Leigh Robins,
24	could you describe what work you and the other employees
25	did at the time at Leigh Robins?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- I don't know about the other employees, except for the art director and myself, and we did almost nothing.
- Now, when you got to York Litho Corporation of America, who were the officers of York Litho?
- Mr. Turner, Mr. Richardson, and I believe Mr. Herman was an officer, but I am not sure.
- Approximately when did you first arrive and start working at the York Litho premises?
- It must have been around the middle or end of October 1971.
  - For how long did you continue working there? 0
  - A Until April 1972.
- Could you describe to the jury the nature of the business of York Litho?
- MR. WASHOR: I will object, unless she was working for York Litho.
  - During the period you were working there. Q

THE COURT: Are you still objecting?

MR. WASHOR: No.

THE COURT: You may answer.

- York Litho was engaged in the printing business.
- What were your duties at York Litho when you Q first arrived?
  - We initially set up the shell for The Adding

19

21 22

23

24

25

that is a conclusion.

THE COURT: She says she is familiar with the practice.

If you want a voir dire as to whether she was,

testified you weren't a business-getter for the company.

4

3

2

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When business did come to the company, who would receive the orders from the customers? Well, there were a couple of ways. An order

could come through the mail; an order could come directly from Mr. Turner's contact with the client, or one of the other salesmen's contact with the client, and they would then bring it back to York Litho.

At that time, there were really two types of jobs. There could be a job that was a frequently-run job by York Litho that was just going to be another hundred thousand copies of something they had been running, at which time there was no need for art work or copy -- it was a routine job; they had already plates to run the job, and they pulled out the appropriate plates.

If a client came in and needed a brochure or magazine or booklet or whatever written or conceived from the beginning, or if he had a rough draft and just needed it laid out nicely in art form, then it came into The Adding Machine.

When you say it came into The Adding Machine, did any officer bring it into The Adding Machine?

Mr. Turner usually brought them in if one of the other salesmen didn't.

1	124 mmmch Martin-direct
2	A Yes.
3	Q Who normally made entries on that type of a form?
4	A Debbie Sutherland.
5	Ω Did you have occasion to replace Miss Sutherland
6	on certain days?
7	A Yes, I did.
8	Q As a result, were you familiar with that form
9	in general of which 1-A is an example?
10	A Yes, I am.
11	Q Could you explain what the title of 1-A is and
12	how the document was produced?
13	A Well, the actual title is "The Schedule of Assigned
14	Receivables." What it is is a list of invoices for jobs
15	performed by York Litho and billed by York Litho, a list
16	that was totaled up on these sheets and sent to the Chemical
17	Bank in New York.
18	Q Is that document, Government's Exhibit 1-A,
19	an original or a carbon copy?
20	A It's a carbon copy.
21	What document was sent to the Chemical Bank?
22	A The original copy of this, of this schedule.
23	Q Where was this carbon copy kept?
24	A It was kept at York Litho.
25	Q If you could find another type of document that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is different from the yellow carbon schedules, could you tell us about that?

- A Document 1-1-A is a carbon copy of a York Litho invoice.
  - Q Normally, who typed the invoices at York Litho?
  - A I believe, Debbie Sutherland.
- Q Did you ever observe who gave her the information to type on those invoices?
  - A No, I don't think I observed it.
  - Q Where did Debbie Sutherland work?
  - A She had a desk in Mr. Herman's office.
- Q Would it be fair to say that she was primarily Mr. Herman's secretary?
  - A Yes.
- Q Now, again, that copy that you have, is that a carbon or an original?
  - A This is a carbon.
  - Q What would be done with the original invoice?
- A The original would be mailed to the client who was being billed.
- Q What would be done with a carbon copy such as the one you have in your hand?
- A One would be kept by York Litho; one would be attached to the receivables schedule on which it was listed

:	2
:	3
4	1
5	;
6	;
7	,
8	,
9	,
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
۵)	
24	

recess.

135 mmmch	Martin-direct		
	MR. WASHOR: I withdraw the objection then.		
Q	Do you have the question?		
A	Would you repeat it, please?		
Q	Tell the jury when the invoice was prepared in		
relation t	to the time the order came in to York Litho.		
` A	The invoice was prepared when the order was		
received.			
Q	That would be typed up by Debbie Sutherland,		
as you jus	t testified?		
A	Yes.		
Q	And not when the merchandise		
	MR. WASHOR: Objection. He is testifying.		
	THE COURT: I think that is right.		
Q	Now, after a few invoices were typed up by		
Debbie Sutherland, were any delivery tickets prepared?			
	MR. WASHOR: I object.		
	I apologize for the continual objections, but		
I think it			
	THE COURT: We are going to take a ten-minute		

The objection is sustained.

(Recess)

1	136 mmmch Martin-direct
2	APRIL KOLINOWSKI MARTIN,
3	resumed.
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
5	BY MR. EATON:
6	Q You testified just before the recess about when
7	the invoices were prepared.
8	Will you tell the jury when delivery tickets
9	were prepared?
10	A They were prepared at the time of the typing of
11	the invoice.
12	Q And when in relation to the placing of the
13	order?
14	A That was also at the same time that the order
15	was received.
16	Q Now, did you observe these delivery tickets
17	being filled out?
18	A Yes, I did.
19	Q Did you see any officers at York Litho ever fill
20	out any of these delivery tickets?
21	A Yes, I did.
22	Q In particular, which officers did you personally
23	observe filling out delivery tickets?
24	Λ Mr. Richardson and Mr. Herman.
25	Q Could you tell the jury exactly what you observed

when you saw Mr. Herman and Mr. Richardson filling out delivery tickets?

A The stack of tickets or group of tickets being prepared at that time would be filled out to match up with the invoices. And then a signature was applied with various pens, pencils, Flair felt-tip pens that would be available.

- Q Did you yourself ever forge a signature on any of these delivery tickets?
  - A Yes, I did.
- O Tell the jury the circumstances under which you forged signatures on these delivery tickets.
- MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, at this time he's asking about the commission of a crime.
  - MR. EATON: It's mentioned in the indictment.
- MR. WASHOR: But he is asking the witness about her committing a crime.

As an officer of the Court, I just bring it to your attention and in the presence of teh jury, I don't know if you would legally tolerate any such statement.

THE COURT: Well, does Miss Kelly have counsel here?

MR. EATON: I think I can clear it up with one more question. I will withdraw the last question I asked

1 138 mmmch Martin-direct 2 for the moment. 3 At the time you were forging delivery tickets and still working at 4 itho Corporation, did you talk 5 with any law enforce icial? 6 A Yes, I did. 7 MR. WASHOR: Now I object and ask for a side bar or legal argument in the absence of the jury on the 9 question of agency, the admissibility of any and all 10 statements and conversations. 11 THE COURT: I am going to deny that. I won't 12 listen to any. 13 That objection is overruled. 14 Which law enforcement agency did you speak to? 0 15 The Federal Bureau of Investigation. A 16 Which rgent, in the first instance, did you speak 17 to? 18 Agent Charles Bell, Miami. 19 Did you tell him that you were forging delivery Q 20 tickets at York Litho? 21 A I did. 22 Q What did Agent BEll say to you? 23 MR. WASHOR: Objection; hearsay. 24 THE COURT: That objection is sustained. 25 In any event, she told Agent Bell what she was

on occasion?

Bell, did you continue to work at York Litho for a period

2

1

doing.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of time? A Yes, I did. And did you continue to forge delivery tickets

And after your first conversation with Agent

A Yes, I did.

Did you advise Agent Bell about any of these subsequent forgeries?

Yes, I did.

Now, could you explain to us the circumstances under which you forged delivery tickets?

The first time that I can remember was at the direction of Mr. Richardson. I was called into his office and we were the only two people in the office.

He closed the door and he explained to me -- he had two stacks of invoices which he called the good ones and the bad ones --

MR. WASHOR: Excuse me, would it be appropriate to get a time or at least a reasonable approximation of time?

To the best of your recollection, when was this conversation with Mr. Richardson?

14	0	mmmch

## Martin-direct

A I believe it would have been in January or February of 1972.

- Q And was anyone else present at this conversation?
- A No.
- Q Where, physically, did this conversation take place?
  - A In Mr. Richardson's office.
- Q Can you continue telling us what you said to Mr. Richardson and what Mr. Richardson said to you?

A He explained to me that it was the practice of York Litho to take all invoices and type them up as an order was received and that these would then be matched with the delivery slip and sent to the Chemical Bank, so that the Chemical Bank would advance monies on these receivables.

He explained to me that what this did in actuality was get York money to work with perhaps 30 or 60 days in advance of the time it normally would receive the money; and that the other stack was the bad stack and that was fictitious jobs which were also matched up -- excuse me, the invoices were also matched up with delivery slips which were signed, and these were registered on the receivable sheets that went to Chemical Bank.

Q During that meeting with Mr. Richardson, did you

•	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	,
21	.
22	
23	
24	.

Mart	tin	-di	rect

forge any signatures on those stacks of delivery tickets?

A Yes, I did.

141 mmmch

- Q Did any other officer of the company ever discuss forging delivery tickets with you?
  - A Mr. Turner explained to me the process.
    - MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, could we get a time?
- Q To the best of your recollection, when did this conversation with Mr. Turner take place?
- A This would be almost simultaneously, probably within five days of the first conversation with Mr.

  Richardson regarding the invoices and delivery tickets.
- O Do you recall where, physically, this conversation took place?
  - A No, I don't.
- Q Do you recall whether anyone else was present besides you and Mr. Turner?
  - A No one else was present.
- Q Tell the jury, to the best of your recollection, what Mr. Turner said to you and what you said to Mr. Turner.
- MR. WASHOR: Without interrupting, I will object on the grounds that if she had been in contact with the FBI, I would like a hearing outside the presence of the jury before any statements would be related in the course

and Mr. Herman forging delivery tickets?

25

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

I don't believe I again observed Mr. Richardson. I did upon occasion observe Mr. Herman.

Q Do you recall how much later you observed Mr. Herman doing this?

Occasionally, through the rest of the time that I worked at York.

Did you observe delivery tickets being forged by Mr. Herman, Mr. Richardson and yourself up until you left in April 1972?

Yes.

You testified earlier that these three types of documents, the schedule, the invoice and the delivery ticket, were mailed up to Chemical Bank.

Could you tell the jury the procedure that was followed, starting right at the point when the invoices and the delivery tickets had been prepared for any particular order?

When the invoice and delivery ticket were prepared they were matched up together, and I believe the appropriate invoice stapled to the appropriate delivery ticket. These were gathered together in a stack. They were then given to Miss Sutherland, who then made a handwritten list on a sheet.

That is the schedule?

25

2 Approximately what is the price shown for each 3 Cedars of Lebanon brochure on that invoice? A 5,000 brochures, total price, \$4,187. 5 In other words, over 80¢ per brochure? 6 A Yes. 7 In your experience, would a brochure of that 8 price require art work at York Litho? 9 Any bro hure at any price would require art A 10 work. 11 Do you hafe any independent recollection of any 12 brochures being prepared for Cedars of Lebanon at York 13 Litho when you were there? 14 No, I don't. 15 Did you ever keep any documents relating to 16 customers? Did you ever keep any invoices or delivery 17 tickets in your desk? 18 Yes, I did. 19 Do you recall the customers whose names were on 20 those records? 21 I can only recall one that I could swear to, 22 and that is The Adding Machine. 23 0 The Adding Machine.

074

Do you recall approximately how many other

companies were reflected on these documents which you kept

1

3

.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

MR. EATON: At another cross-examination, Mr. Washor implied that Mr. Turner had cooperated of his own free will in telling Mr. Maselow. This statement relates to telling the bank.

MR. WASHOR: Assuming no question of credibility in the first part of April, how could this ever affect what he said later on?

THE COURT: I frankly don't see what you are objecting to.

MR. WASHOR: I withdraw the objection.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Washor.

MR. WASHOR: Could I ask for two minutes? I want the two minutes to discuss a few aspects with cocounsel.

THE COURT: Of course.

(Pause)

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. WASHOR:

MR. WASHOR: Can we have the Leigh Robins credit control card?

MR. EATON: You are talking about the bank records, the 200 series?

MR. WASHOR: Is this in evidence, by the way, 209?

MR. EATON: No, it was not offered.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

.

MR. WASHOR: A foundation has been established for this. Can I offer this document, Government's Exhibit 209 in evidence?

MR. EATON: That is all right with me, with the understanding that this is the bank record, as was testified. It's compiled on the basis of what the bank knew at the time.

I have no objection.

(Government's Exhibit No. 209 was received in evidence.)

MR. WASHOR: Since it's a document in evidence, and since the documents of almost identical or similar nature have been explained and the notations have been explained, would it be appropriate for the Court to allow counsel to read one particular entry?

MR. EATON: No objection.

MR. WASHOR: Thank you.

This is the account, Government's Exhibit 209, Leigh Robins, Inc., November 16, 1971, Invoice No. 16225, a purchase in the amount of \$4,187.

If I can interrupt for a moment --

Q Miss Kelly, you have testified and identified a particular Government's exhibit as an invoice, more particularly --

1 168 mmmch

Martin-cross

tickets to sign.

But you also testified having knowledge of the way the business was run, that a delivery ticket and an invoice were always submitted with the schedule and sent tothe bank in New York; am I correct?

A Yes.

Q And you testified on direct knowledge based on the knowledge of the business that this occurred in each and every instance; am I correct?

A Yes.

- Q And you know that for a fact?
- A That is what I testified to, yes.

Q You know that members of the bank have testified they received schedules without delivery tickets, and they received schedules on occasion without invoices?

A I believe that.

Q Well then, can you reconcile the fact that each and every time you claim delivery tickers invoices accompanied a schedule to the bank?

A If I said each and every time? I will say in most instances, and most of the ones I was familiar with, there was a delivery ticket and an invoice to back every entry on the sheet of receivables.

Q Did anybody take the time out -- since you know

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. EATON: And then the next question was about Mr. Kavanaugh, and, if you recall, we didn't go into a

She said she didn't have any conversation with Mr. Naitov.

conversation which Mr. Kavanaugh had with Miss Kelly.

Mr. Washor wants to waive that objection, we might get the full picture of Mr. Kavanaugh's knowledge, but we can't get it through just having what Mr. Turner said about it.

THE COURT: I agree wat that.

In each and every irstance, Miss Kelly, invoices Q were prepared after orders were received; am I correct?

I couldn't testify in each and every instance because I certainly can't be aware of each and every order.

Miss Kelly, you have testified on direct examination that you had a good working knowledge and were totally familiar with all the business practices of York Litho Corporation; am I right?

I don't believe that was the question. I believe we are trying to establish if I knew what happened if an order came in to the plant, where it went, relating to several people's jobs, how it was expedited to the finished job.

You just knew the cursory procedure that was involved?

scale from what I testified to. But I assume -- I would

Again, I think that is on the other end of the

 have to assume -- I had a cursory knowledge.

MR. WASHOR: I move to strike.

THE COURT: It goes to weight; it has nothing to do with admissibility.

Q Generally speaking, from your knowledge, cursory or not, from the workings of the business, were invoices prepared after the orders were received?

A Yes.

- Q Do you know of an instance where an invoice was prepared without an order being received?
  - A I don't believe so.
- Q Miss Kelly, then each and every invoice, according to your knowledge -- only from what you know -- that was forwarded to the bank were invoices made up by York Litho after having received orders from individual customers?

MR. EATON: She says her knowledge can't include each and every invoice. That is why we had other witnesses.

MR. WASHOR: That goes to weight.

THE COURT: You keep saying "each and every," and she keeps indicating that she doesn't know "each and every." So make it more general.

As far as you know, there was no particular

176 mmmch

## Martin-cross

instance that you can recall where an invoice was prepared without first having an order come through?

- A As far as I know.
- Q As far as you know.

There were no invoices that were sent to the bank that were not prepared after first having had and received an order?

MR. EATON: I object, in view of the witness' testimony about how the orders came in.

MR. WASHOR: As far as she knows.

MR. EATON: She knows about certain companies, such as The Adding Machine, but she can't testify she knows about every order.

THE COURT: Maybe I am getting confused. I thought she testified in some instances the invoice was sent before.

MR. WASHOR: There is not one invoice that she has knowledge of that was ever sent to the bank before an order came in from a customer.

MR. EATON: I agree with that. Her testimony was that she observed the practice immediately after an order came in that the invoice be prepared.

THE COURT: Well, I don't see what the objection is.

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. EATON: Assuming she knows of all orders, and, of course, if there is a nonexistent order, she wasn't there.

MR. WASHOR: That is an unfair statement.

THE COURT: I don't think so. Miss Kelly has made it clear she doesn't know of all the orders, and certainly she can't testify to all of them.

MR. WASHOR: Just within her knowledge.

To your knowledge, there wasn't a single invoice prepared without an order being put in?

I can't testify that one hadn't been; in the same way as I testified, to my knowledge, certain things didn't go through the company, I would have to concede there is a possibility they did, and again I can't swear that every one that I was familiar with had an order.

In other words, I don't know if they had orders for the invoices, but I can't say for sure they didn't.

- You can't say there is one single invoice, to your knowledge, that was false or forged or phony, can you?
  - I couldn't swear to it, no.
- When you first met the FBI, did you not tell Q the FBI about Leigh Robins?
  - I don't recall the exact conversation. A
  - Did you speak to them about Leigh Robins? Q

A I assume I could have. I can't swear to that.
I couldn't swear that was one of the topics.

Q Didn't you tell the FBI that Leigh Robins and all the invoices were phony?

A No, I didn't.

Q You didn't tell them anything about Leigh Robins?

A I told them what they asked me, which was:
Was there equipment to do work at Leigh Robins, to my
knowledge? Was there anything at Leigh Robins besides
offices? And I said, "No, not to my knowledge."

Again they asked, to my knowledge, had several of the jobs been done, and I told them I had not seen them, I couldn't say they had been done.

Q Didn't you tell the FBI that there was one particular job bearing an amount of \$4,187, which you believed to be phony or false, the one that you testified to on direct examination?

MR. EATON: This is not inconsistent.

MR. WASHOR: I am not claiming it is inconsistent.

THE COURT: Then I don't understand the reason for the question. The question is out of order.

Q Miss Kelly, the transaction involving \$4,187 as reflected in Government's Exhibit 1-3-A, as you sit here now, is it a phony or false transaction?

277 ......

A I would be unable to swear to either case.

MR. EATON: I object. It is in effect asking for a conclusion. The witness can only testify what she observed and what she knows. She can't reach the ultimate conclusion.

MR. WASHOR: That constitutes a connection that she hasn't identified any item as being false or fraudulent.

MR. EATON: She testified to what she has testified to.

THE COURT: Yes. And the point is, Mr.Washor, as I indicated to you before, you can't have this witness make conclusions. If you are going to show anything, do so.

She has testified to various things: She signed her name and did various other things, or signed other people's names.

MR. WASHOR: I will go into another area.

Q Miss Kelly, you testified on direct examination that you did almost nothing when you were involved with The Adding Machine.

A Yes.

Q Can you see the color of these papers from where you are?

A Yes, I do.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes, it was.

Now, Miss Kelly, on February 22, 1972, you stole certain documents from York Litho and turned them over to the FBI? Am I correct?

A Yes.

() The documents that you stole and turned over to the FBI were invoices, schedules and delivery tickets?

A Yes.

Q Prior to February 22, 1972, did you not have in your possession as you testified yesterday a secret file containing forged delivery tickets?

A I had a set of files in my desk with some delivery tickets in them.

Q And they were forged delivery tickets?

A That is what I believed.

Q . Didn't you make out the forgeries?

A No, I didn't, not the ones in the files in my desk. The material was brought to me to be put in these files.

Q Did you see who made them out?

A No, I didn't.

Q Did someone tell you that they were forgeries?

A No, nobody told me that.

Q You testified yesterday and you indicated unequivocally and that that secret file contained forged delivery

tickets? Is that correct?

A I testified it contained what I thought were forged delivery tickets.

Q If you didn't have anyone tell you they were forged documents and you yourself did not forge the documents and if you did not see anyone forge the documents, why do you or how do you come to the conclusion that they were forged?

A Well, one of them had the business address as my apartment in Miami and had a signed delivery receipt. I believe the name was Gonzales. There was no one living in my apartment by that name.

Q Now, Miss Kelly, you had a cursory understanding of the business of York Litho?

A I think we established that yesterday, yes.

Q By agreement with yourself and Mr. Turner your home address was used as the address of the Adding Machine Company?

A No.

Q Wasn't it set up?

A It may have been set up using my home address which would be separate from the York address.

O Is there anyway you can tell from looking at that delivery ticket whether or not goods were delivered elsewhere and all the caption of the delivery tickets represents is the name and address of the corporation that is financially

1

responsible for the goods

3

MR. EATON: Objection.

4

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

MR. EATON: Objection.

Do you know whether or not the delivery ticket that you are referring to drew your suspicion of improprietary, more particularly the Adding Machine Company with your address, whether or not the goods in question were picked up by a gentleman by the rime of Ed Kohaus?

- A I don't know that to be true or not.
- Q Can you as you sit here deny that as a fact?
- A I do not recall the merchandise being picked up or delivered. I don't recall the job.
- Q The fact that you do not recall the job does not mean that it was not in existence?

MR. EATON: Objection.

THE COURT: Objection sustained. Don't argue with the witness.

Q Well, Miss Kelly, accepting your suspicion that you had control of what you believe to be forged documents, can you explain to the jury why you stole documents that you have not identified in this court as being improper and failed to steal what you believed to be forged documents, and turned them over to the agents?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Because they were done at the direction of Agent Bell of the FBI after my initial meeting with him.

In other words, the forgeries committed by you at a time when the bank and Mr. Kavanaugh were on the premises, and you in no uncertain words helped and induced the commission of a crime? Am I correct? As an agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation?

MR. EATON: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Will you reframe the question. I am not sure that you can designate her as a government agent.

MR. EATON: I object to the word induced. There is no proof that Miss Kelly induced anybody.

THE COURT: He can ask it and you can counter it on direct.

- Q Miss Kelly, would it be fair to say that there were no forgeries committed by you prior to your being involved as an agent for the FBI? Am I correct?
  - A I could not say for sure there were not.
- Q At this particular point as you sit here you do not know whether or not you committed forgeries before you spoke to the FBI on February 15, 1972?
  - A No, I am not positive.
  - Q Do you know when you allegedly committed forgeries?
  - A Late in the year of 1971, I think, would have been

3

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mmcg 19

the earliest. I could not tell you.

Q December?

A Possibly.

Q November?

A Possibly.

Q When did you first speak to the FBI?

A My recollection was it was right after the first of the year. The FBI report reflects the first meeting February 15, 1972.

Q Is it your testimony that you first met with the FBI in the beginning of January, 1972?

A It is my recollection that the meeting took place sometime in January. That is my recollection, until I saw the FBI files, and the first meeting, according to the files, is February 15.

Q Does that refresh your recollection as to when you had the first meeting?

A No, my recollection is still earlier in the year, but the file reflects February 15, so I must say it must have taken place then, but that is not my recollection.

Q What is the recollection of the time you first committed the first forgery?

A The end of 1971, but I don't know how close to the end -- December.

	1	
1	mmcg 33	Martin-cross 423
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	You knew you were lying when you forged the docu-
4	ments?	
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	When you stole the invoices and bills of lading and
7		, that is a lie, too, isn't it?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	
10	A	And you knew that was wrong at that time? Yes.
11	Q	
12	λ	But you are telling the truth now? Yes.
13	Q	
14		Now, how big was the part of the plant where the
15		presses were located?
16	A	That was the main open area.
17	Q	Could you fit that plant into this courtroom?
18	λ	The open area where the presses were could fit in
19		rtroom, I believe.
	Q	How many presses were there?
20	A	I believe four, but I think one of them was very
21 22	rarely us	sed.
	Q	Do you know the mames of the presses?
23	٨	Well, there were about four color presses.
24	Q	Do you know the name of the company that manu-
25	factured	the four color presses?
	i e	000

mmcg 41

13

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

Martin-redirect

431

To my recollection they did not.

You mentioned that you talked to a friend of yours in the United States Attorney's office in Florida. Do you recall when you talked to her about this case?

This would have been right again around the end of the year '71, the very beginning of '72.

You mentioned that you supplied the FBI with certain York Litho documer s while you were still working at York Litho. Were those original documents or photocopies?

A They were photocopies.

Did the originals remain at the York Litho premises? Q

Yes, they did.

Did you steal any documents from York Litho's re-Q cords?

I don't believe I ever took an original document A out.

MR. WASHOR: I object. Does not make any difference whether it is original or not; it is private property.

THE COURT: She said she took Xerox copies.

Did anyone associated with Chemical Bank ever tell you that they were playing ball or acquiescing in pre-billing?

MR. WASHOR: Objection, on the grounds it is not proper redirect examination.

THE COURT: It is proper redirect examination,

mmcg 42

13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

because the testimony indicated that some such statement was told to her by Mr. Turner.

MR. WASHOR: The question asked by the prosecution is, was she ever told; we didn't establish she had a relationship with the bank.

THE COURT: You opened the door. Objection overruled.

- Did Mr. Kavanaugh ever say that the bank was ac-Q quiescing in pre-billing?
  - No, he did not.
- Did anyone associated with the bank ever say that 0 to you?
  - A Not to me.
- Did anyone at the bank ever say to you that they were changing from a pre-billing system to a true billing system?
  - A No.
- Did any officer of York Litho Corporation ever tell you that the company was changing from a pre-billing system to a true billing system?
  - A No.
- You mentioned that the Adding Machine invoices were made out to an address which was, in fact, your residence, apartment residence at that time?
  - Yes.

Q Could you tell the jury the circumstances under which you first discovered that that address had been used on that invoice?

A I meceived a bank verification. I don't know if it was by Mr. Kavanaugh while he was at work there or the Chemical Bank, that there was a receivable, asked for a verification if it was a receivable of york Litho.

- Q Where di . you receive that communication?
- A At my apartment address.
- Q And after you received it did you discuss that account receivable with Mr. Turner?
  - A Yes, I did.
- Q Could you describe to the jury where you dis issed that with Mr. Turner and what he said and what you said?

A At his office. I brought him in the material I had received, which was a copy of the invoice and a bank verification -- I don't know what it is called. I told him I received it in my apartment. He says not to worry about it, he would take care of it, and he took it, and I never saw it again.

Q Did you ever authorize him to use your home address for the Adding Machine?

A I believe what Mr. Washor was trying to say before, it might be that originally the Adding Machine was going to

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

my apartment address, I don't recall, but it may be on the original document. To get the Adding Machine license.

Q Did you ever authorize Mr. Turner or anybody at York Litho to use your home address for billing and invoice reflecting an order by the Adding Machine to York Litho?

A No, I didn't.

Q You were asked about Dave Manly, and you testified that he did work at one time for Leigh Robins. Do you recall when he stopped working for Leigh Robins?

A I was under the impression he was gone -
MR. WASHOR: Objection. Her impressions are not
testimony.

THE COURT: If that is the best you can do, I will allow it.

A Mr. Manly never seemed to be around at all after my first week or week and a half with York Litho. I thought he was gone from the company.

MR. EATON: I have no further questions.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. WASHOR:

- Q Who paid the rent for this apartment?
- A I did.
- Q Mr. Turner didn't pay the rent?
- A No.

1	mmcg 50	Driggers-direct	440	
2	Q	Where is your office?		
3	A	In Tampa, Florida.		
4	Q	Was that where your office was in 19	71 and 1972?	
5	A	Yes, sir.		
6	Q	Do you know the defendant Sheldon Tu	rner?	
7	A	Yes, sir.		
8		MR. EATON: Is the identification co	onceded?	
9	Q	Do you s a him here in the courtroom		
10	А	Yes, sir, he is the second man from		
11	table wearing the blue suit.			
12		MR. EATON: Your Honor, may the reco	ord reflect that	
13	the witness has identified Mr. Turner.			
14	Q	Do you recall approximately when you	ı first met Mr.	
15	Turner?			
16	A	It was approximately November 1 of 1	.971.	
17	Q	Before you first met Mr. Turner did	you have a	
18	conversation with any employee of York Litho Corporation of			
19	America?			
20	A	Yes, sir.		
21	Q	Which employee was that?		
22	Α	Miss April Kelly.		
28	Q	Had you known her before that conver	sation?	
24	A	Yes, sir.		
25	Q	When you first met Mr. Turner could	you tell us	
		094		

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

briefly what Mr. Turner said to you?

- At the time that I first met Mr. Turner?
- Yes.

He advised me that a camera had been stolen from his private office at his company and that the camera had belonged to his father-in-law, and although the value itself was not that great, it had a great sentimental value, and he wanted the camera recovered, he wanted to find out who had, in fact, stolen the camera.

- Did he retain you to conduct an investigation about that stolen camera?
  - A Yes.
- Did you conduct an investigation about that stolen camera?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Did you meet again with Mr. Turner after you had completed that investigation?
  - Yes, sir.

MR. WASHOR: May I ask for an offer of proof at the side bar to see what this has to do with the indictment? I don't even see the word "camera" mentioned in any of the 19 counts.

THE COURT: I don't think you need a side bar, but get to the point.

Q Could you tell the court and jury what Mr. Turner said to you on that occasion as best you can recall?

A I returned and made my report to Mr. Turner involving the results of my trip to New York and to Pennsylvania,
and Mr. Turner --

MR. WASHOR: Excuse me. I have to object. I have not heard any testimony to date that there was anything to do with New York and P nnsylvania. As I understood the gentleman's testimony, one or two or three days after November 1, 1974, the camera incident was terminated.

THE COURT: That is not the testimony. The testimony was that he was in New York and he returned from New York at the end of November and he had a conversation with Mr. Turner. That is what he is testifying about now.

Q Can you tell us what Mr. Turner said to you?

A Yes, sir. He complimented me on the results of the trip to New York and to Pennsylvania, which had been at his request, and he told me that the information which I reported to him was accurate an he stated that he had screwed the Chemical Bank at this particular point of about approximately half a million dollars, and he corrected that by saying, "Well, in essence, I now owe the Chemical Bank about half a million dollars and before I am through it would be probably upward toward a million dollars."

Q Did Mr. Turner tell you anything else on that subject at that time?

A No, sir. No. I am sorry. He did say that he felt that his dealings with the bank was such and that his activities with the bank involving the -- and again I am quoting -- screwing the bank -- was somewhat concealed, and he said he had no reason to believe that the bank would ever suspect that there was any problem, but in the event that there was, he had covered himself so well and he didn't worry about it, and at that time he concluded any further mention of the bank.

Q Did you ever see Mr. Turner handle large amounts of currency?

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, I will object. I don't see the relevance to the issues before the court.

MR. EATON: Subject to connection to the next conversation, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

A Yes, By the term large amounts of currency, I saw him handle amounts of money that I know to be in excess of a thousand dollars and in \$100 bills. The reason for this is because my fee was usually paid in \$100 bills, and I think on only one or perhaps two occasions he made any payment by check. So I did see him to the credenza behind his desk take

Driggers-direct

what appears to be a stack of approximately a quarter of an inch in height on one occasion and remove from this stack several hundred dollar bills. On other occasions I saw him remove a large package from his wallet, which perhaps was a quarter to half an inch thick, but I don't know what demoninations these bills were in.

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, I move to strike that as being prejudicial as there is no impropriety in the inference that a man would have money, cash. It is highly prejudicial and it is offered for the purposes of drawing unreasonable inferences.

MR. EATON: I have not asked about the connection between this money and the Chemical Bank.

THE COURT: Then connect it.

Did Mr. Turner ever discuss any relationship between the Chemical Bank and his reserves of cash?

M.R. WASHOR: I object. He is leading the witness.

THE COURT: I think you are right; it is leading, Mr. Eaton.

Did you have any further conversation with Mr. Turner about the subject of currency?

Yes, sir. On a later occasion -- the exact date I don't recall --

MR. WASHOR: Can we get the approximate date.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPOR FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7-4580

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### Driggers-direct

December, around the middle of December, 1971, Mr. Turner told me that in the event -- he was at that time relating to me that he was starting to have some minor difficulties with the bank in New York -- he didn't relate the details of the difficulties, except to say that the bank was starting to make some inquiries and he felt rather uneasy about these inquiries, he said that -- I might like to say -- I might like to add one point, if I may --

MR. WASHOR: I object to volunteering anything at this point, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't know about volunteering. He is attempting to give a full answer.

You may continue.

A (Continuing) Well, on the previous discussion with Mr. Turner involving my report from New York, Mr. Turner at that time told me that he had great trust in me and felt that he respected my opinion very greatly, and, as I said, spoke very candidly with me. So in December when he told me he was having a little difficulty with the bank, I asked him what problems he thought he might be having, and he told me that he thought he could take care of the situation.

I asked him, "What would happen in the event that
the bank were to move on you as a company and close the company

or try to take legal action against you?"

And I pointed out that the possibility existed since I had already reported to him about matters which I felt to be a violation of the law. He said that he had a nest egg, as he called it, an escape fund. This was all during the same conversation -- "No one is ever going to put me in a jail, and if it ever gets that bad I made provisions that I won't go to jail."

Q At that time or subsequently did Mr. Turner ever tell you about the amount of that nest egg or escape fund?

A Yes, sir. In either December of '71 or January of '72 -- and I say these two months because of the November conversations conducted during these periods of -- he mentioned the figure of \$30,000, and he said that the money was not kept in his home or in his business. I asked him "If the federal authorities were to move with search warrants or if the bank were to move with a seizure order on your company, would they be seizing the funds as well?"

He said, "no, it is not in my home or in my business or in a bank; it is some place where I can get to it very fast."

Q Did Mr. Turner tell you that \$30,000 was a static or level amount of that nest egg or escape fund?

A No, he told me he was building a fund and that the

mmcg 61

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

fund had approximately \$30,000 in it at that time.

Did there come a time when two men from Chemical Bank came down to York Litho offices?

A Yes.

MR. WASHOR: Can we find at that time who he was working for?

THE COURT: You can ask him the question.

Yes, sir. I am not certain I believe it was in the last week of December or perhaps the first week in January he told me that some gentlemen would be coming from the bank in New York. Do you want the men's names?

Q If you recall them.

A Mr. Andy Tepper and the other gentleman's name I don't remember, but his last name, I believe, was Schultz or Schwartz, something to that effect, but the first man's name was Andy Tepper. He didn't give me their titles, but they were representatives of the bank and they were coming down to discuss with him the financing between York Litho and the Chemical Bank.

Did you have a conversation with Mr. Turner at that Q time about his dealings with Chemical Bank?

A Yes, sir.

Could you tell the jury the best you can recall () who was present at that conversation?

nuncg 62

Driggers-direct

A Well, there may have been two or three conversations over a period of a day or two, but on one particular conversation Mr. Richardson was present, on one occasion, and he stated to me that --

Q Who stated--

A And Mr. Turner stated to me there were some mer.

coming down from the bank, and apparently they were goin; to

be making some inquiries about the accounts receivables, and

that there had to be some preparation made to prepare for

their arrival and any steps necessary to cover any inadequacies,

I believe was the word used -- I don't think anything relating

toanything illegal -- any inadequacies had to be taken care of

prior to their arrival. But Mr. Turner stated he didn't

expect any particular difficulty because he felt he would be

able to talk to the men satisfactorily.

I asked him if there was anything that these men from the bank might be able to find out, and he again told me that some of the accounts receivables that were posted were, in fact, false, but these men were coming down for the purpose of inspecting these accounts receivables, and, therefore, there was little likelihood they would discover it on their trip.

Did Mr. Turner mention the subject of pre-billing?

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, he is leading the witness

again.

22 23

THE COURT: Yes, you are leading the witness. And now the question is asked. Let him answer.

A Yes, sir, he told me -- my memory regarding these exact dates is difficult, because I did have, as I said, so many conversations with him -- he said that originally they had started out using a pre-billing system to justify a loan which was given by the bank. I don't recall the exact figure. I believe it was something like so many thousand. Like 25,000 dollars was being mailed down from the bank or deposited in a York Litho account, and that originally there had been some pre-billings posted to continue justifying this amount of money that was being sent down, but later on it had become necessary to falsify some of the documents totally.

Q Did you ever observe an invoice at York Litho reflecting a purchase by the Adding Machine?

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, he is testifying. He told him about an invoice. In the question he mentioned the name of a document.

MR. EATON: This is a document already in evidence.

MR, WASHOR: I object.

THE COURT: It appears to me that you ought to be able to conduct your inquiry without inviting these kinds of interruptions that you are inviting.

•

MR. EATON: I will try again, your Honor.

Q Mr. Driggers, did you have any conversation with Mr. Turner without any specific invoices that might have been totally fraudulent?

A Yes, sir, I believe in January when I was speaking to him about the fraudulent accounts receivable, Mr. Turner told me that the evidence of such had been quite concealed. I asked him about the invoice from the Adding Machine, which had reflected Miss April Kelly's home address, and he asked me how I knew that, and I said, "You asked me to look around the company and give you an idea of what was going on," and he complimented me on my alertness and said that was not going to be left lying around, and he didn't even inquire as to where I had seen it. Apparently it happened to be on a desk outside of his office.

Q Now, did these two men come down from the Chemical Bank, as Mr. Turner told you they would?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you recall the date when they came to York Litho

A Not exactly. It was around the middle of January, I believe, of 1972.

Q Did you have any conversation with Mr. Turner after they concluded that meeting?

A Yes, sir. Both before and after.

Q Could you tell us what chose two conversations were

A Well, on the first occasion Mr. Turner had told me to go to the airport and watch for the men when they got off the airplane. He gave me a description of the men. I had no photographs, so I had the men paged to a telephone and stood near the telephone, so when they answered the page and went to the phone I was able to identify them.

Mr. Turner told me to try to find out where they were staying and then just report back to him.

Q Did you have a conversation with Mr. Turner after the two men went back to New York?

A Yes, sir, and he told me that the conversations between the two gentlemen from New York and himself had been quite successful and that an accountant would be coming down.

Q Did Mr. Turner ever tell you that this accountant - MR. WASHOR: Objection.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

() Did this accountant come down after that conversation with Mr. Turner?

A Yes, sir, a man came down by the name of Kavanaugh, who was pointed out to me by Mr. Turner, and uring my conversation with him involving Mr. Tepper and I be eve Mr. Schwartz, he told me that the accountant would be coming down to look over the York books and that he would like me

to go back to Miami -- I had returned to Tampa -- and he had asked me to be back in Miami when the accountant arrived, and make sure what the accountant looked like. I don't know if I was formally introduced to the accountant, but I stood within a few feet of him on several occasions.

Q Do you recall how many days you were in Miami in the York Litho premises while Mr. Kavanaugh was down in Miami?

A I believe once or perhaps twice. For the most part I was not there. I was instructed to stand by at a local hotel, and I think perhaps twice in the same day, or on two different days, one on each day soon after Mr. Kavanaugh's arrival, which if I recall correctly, was around the 19th of January, but only about two occasions.

I did not see or stay around the York Litho during Mr. Kavanaugh's entire stay, if that was your guestion.

() Did you ever see Mr. Turner together with Mr. Kavanaugh at the York Litho premises?

A Yes, sir, on one occasion Mr. Turner and I had either been out together or we had been out of the building separately -- I don't recall which -- in any event, we arrived at the York Litho offices at the same time. I just really can't recall whether we had been out to lunch or whether we might have been out separately. When we arrived there Kavanaugh was having some type of discussion with some of the-

I believe with Mr. Richardson and some of the other staff employees. There were several people standing around right outside Mr. Turner's office, and Mr. Turner said, "I have to get this straightened out; I will be with you in just a few minutes."

And he went over and spoke with Mr. Kavanaugh, and then I heard him tell Mr. Kavanaugh, "They are around here somewhere; it's just a matter of us locating them; don't worry about it."

And then Mr. Turner called me into his private office.

What happened after Mr. Turner called you into his private office?

A Well, from behind his desk or from in his desk -and I don't recall which -- he took out a stack of what
appeared to be shipping receipts of some sort, what appeared
to be shipping receipts. They were square pieces of paper,
approximately so square (indicating), six inches by five
inches, something to that effect, and Mr. Turner began signing these receipts. I sat in front of his desk and he sat
behind it, and he began signing these receipts, and I noticed
that he was signing different names to the receipts; he was
not signing his own name to them. Then he shoved several
across the desk to me and asked me to sign several. I said,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

13 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I had talked to

"What do you want me to sign to them?" He said, "Just make up a name; it doesn't make any difference."

So I believe I signed three or four, something like that. Then he put the stack together, walked out of the office, and I heard him say to Mr. Kavanaugh, "They were in my office all the time," or something like that, "I found them," and gave these forms to Mr. Kavanaugh.

Q Did you ever introduce any employee of York Litho to any law enforcement agency?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q And who was the employee?
- A Miss April Kelly.
- Q Who was the law enforcement agent?
- A Agent Bell of the FBI.
- Q Do you recall when that was?

A No, sir. I believe I made the introduction around January or February of 1972.

Prior to introducing April Kelly to the FBI had you talked with any law enforcement officials you yourself about York Litho Corporation?

A Yes, sir.

Q Which law enforcement agents had you talked to prior to this?

A I had talked to Agent Ron Jordon of the FBI in Tampa,

Florida and another agent whose name I do not recall. I believe his first name was Frank. He had an Italian or a Spanish last name in Miami, also with the FBI. And then finally with Agent Bell.

Q Do you recall approximately when you talked with Agent Jordon in Tampa?

A That could have been the last week in December or the first week in November of 1971.

Q I think you may have mixed up the dates -MR. WASHOR: Objection. I ask that that remark be
stricken. That is exactly what we have been doing for four
days.

THE COURT: He said the last week in December or the first week in November.

or the first week in December of 1971.

MR. WASHOR: I apologize, first of all, for raising my voice.

THE COURT: Well, the acoustics are bad.

Ω After you introduced April Kelly to the FBI agents, in Miami did you have any discussion with any employee of York Litho about April Kelly?

A I spoke with Mr. Turner -- before or after I spoke with the FBI?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes, sir.

Q And at that particular point you were not working for him in the true sense of the word?

MR. EATON: I object to that.

THE COURT: That objection is sustained.

Q Did you commence to work for any agency of law enforcement after December '71, that is, your conference with Mr. Jordon?

MR. EATON: I would like to know what the words "work for" mean, defined.

THE COURT: He asked the question and Mr. Driggers can answer it, and you can do whatever you want on redirect.

You may answer the question.

A I was not employed by a law enforcement agency, although I provided information to --

() I am sorry. I don't mean to interrupt you. Please continue your answer.

A (Continuing) I did provide information for the Federal Bureau of Investigation, but I was not employed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in the sense that I drew a salary from them.

Q When did you first provide information to the FBI?

A As I stated, during the last week of December, 1971 the last week of November, 1971, or the first week of December

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Driggers - cross

MR. RUBIN: It's a little difficult for me to hear.

A I was asked for any additional information relating to a crime which had been committed or one that was in the process of being committed regard a Federal offense, could I keep in contact with him.

- Q When is the next time you saw him?
- A I don't recall exactly. Approximately a week later. I met with him on several occasions.
- Q Did you make notations of when you met with Mr. Jordon?
  - A No.
  - Q Did you charge the Government for expenses?
  - A On one occasion I did, yes, sir.
  - Q How much?
  - A I believe \$90.
  - When was that?

A I believe that was in February of 1972 and that was for the cost of a round trip plane ticket, one night in a motel, and approximately two meals, which the Government reimbursed me for. I charged them no fee.

Sir, did you speak to Mr. Turner between the first time you saw Jordon and the second time you saw Jordon?

displayed?

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EATON: I don't know, either. I assume he is talking about delivery tickets and invoices which I may have shown him. I have no recollection which ones

Q Could you read the signatures on any documents that you saw?

I showed him. I suppose I showed him one.

Yes, sir, I could, but I didn't remember any of the names. I was looking for the style of handwriting to see if it was a document I may have signed or seen while I was at York Litho.

- Sir, you have demonstrated before the luncheon recess quite a memory on details.
  - What memory on details? A
  - Memory on detail --MR. EATON: Objection, sir.
- Sir, when you forged delivery tickets as you allege on your direct testimony in the month of February, 1972, you witnessed a crime in your presence? Am 1 correct?
- In my opinion it was a crime being committed in my presence, yes, sir.
- And you forged and put fictitious names on delivery tickets as you testified by instruction of Mr.

3

5

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

on Page 4 of his trial brief he indicated that he would move before trial to sever the remaining counts, and so forth, and essentially what I believe Mr. Eaton had in mind was to sever those counts in the indictment which did not have any schedule signatures as they related to each individual. There are two remaining counts as to my client, some odd for Mr. Herman, and all of the remaining are as to Mr. Turner. I wonder if Mr. Eaton now would want to do something along those lines.

MR. EATON: I think it is only fair instead of severing at this point I would consent to the dismissal of those counts.

As to Mr. Richardson, Counts 1 through 5, 7 through 9, 11 and 13 through 18.

As to Mr. Herman, Counts 1 through 3, 5, 11, 13, 18 and 19.

And as to all of the defendants, Counts 6, 10, 12 and 14.

I am reading off Page 4.

MR. RUBIN: There remains as to Mr. Richardson Counts 13 and 19? Is that correct?

MR. EATON: I believe that is correct.

MR. KOGAN: Your Honor, as to the defendant Herman, the remaining counts are 4, 7, 8, 9, 15, 16 and 17.

	1	537	
1	26 hpmch	Siegel-direct	
2	ΑΑ	Carpet professional; salesman, you might say.	
3	Q	Married, s'-?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	Any children?	
6	A	Three.	
7	Q	Where do you live, sir?	
8	A	Sunrise, Florida.	
9	Q	Do you know Sheldon Turner?	
10	A	Yes, I do.	
. 11	Q	Do you live right near him?	
12	A	No.	
13	Q	How long a period of time would you say you know	
14	Mr. Turner?		
15	A	About seven years.	
16	Q	During that particular seven-year period of time,	
17	did you have occasion to know other people in the community		
18	that know	Mr. Turner?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	Have you had occasion to discuss Mr. Turner's	
21	reputation for honesty and decency during that period of		
22	time?		
23	A	Yes, I have.	
24	Q	What is Mr. Turner's reputation for those	
25	character	stics that I have mentioned?	

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Would you repeat that again, please?
- Q What is his reputation for the character traits of honesty and decency?
  - A To the best of my knowledge, they're fine.

MR. WASHOR: Thank you, sir.

Your witness.

# CRGSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. EATON:

Q Mr. Siegel, in your discussions with people in the community, have you ever heard that on approximately ten occasions Mr. Turner carried a quarter of a million dollars in currency for Las Vegas casinos?

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, I move for the withdrawal of a juror and a declaration of mistrial.

I ask that a good-faith showing be made and substantial proof for such a question at this juncture.

THE COURT: Motion denied. He asked him if he heard of it.

MR. WASHOR: Would you instruct the jury this in no way imports the truth of the question and the answer is what counts?

THE COURT: You put him on as a character witness and the Government has a right to ask him whether he has heard various things.

28	hpmch
----	-------

A

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### Siegel-cross

Would you ask the question again, please?

- Q Mr. Siegel, in your discussions with people in the community, have you ever heard that, in or about 1968, Sheldon Turner, on approximately ten occasions, carried cash in the approximate amounts of a quarter of a million dollars from Las Vegas to various points in the United States?
  - A Never, no.
- Q In your discussions with people in your community, have you heard that Mr. Turner has used other names besides Sheldon Turner?
  - A Yes.
  - Q What names have you heard he has used?
  - A I have heard he used the name of Dutch Turner.
  - Q Any other names besides Dutch?
- A No.
- Q Have you ever heard that he used the name, Jacques Martin Turner?
  - A No.
  - Q Have you ever heard that he used the name, Sam Gabriel?
    - A No.
  - MR. WASHOR: I would object on the ground that this is impropriety. One is the name of his brother, and

7 8

the other happens to be the name that he uses to publish music, and it has been copyrighted and it is unfair to ask these questions in the presence of a jury to spell out some sort of nefarious --

THE COURT: Mr. Washor, you are perfectly capable of bringing that out on redirect examination. The Government has a right to ask the questions if they are being asked in good faith.

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, if in fact the Government knows that the name, Jacques Turner, belongs to the brother of the defendant, how can we in this Court suggest that it is a good-faith question posed in front of the jury, or if the Government knows the other name is a copyrighted name for the publishing of music, how can this Court suggest it is a good-faith question? How can I ask this witness who may not have knowledge of these particular facts?

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

Q In your discussions with the community, have you heard that Sheldon Turner is awaiting trial in Florida for conspiracy?

MR. WALKER: That's unbelievable, your Honor, in the United States District Court, that anybody could dare brin g out an arrest.

1 call for the withdrawal of a juror and a

2

3

1

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

declaration of mistrial.

I have never heard a prosecutor asking for pendency of an indictment.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. I think, as far as I can gather, the Government is well within its rights. They are asking this man what he has heard.

He has testified to Mr. Turner's good reputation in the community. The Government has a right to ask him what he has heard about various things.

MR. WASHOR: May I just place on the record --THE COURT: You have placed enough on the record.

The objection is overruled.

Mr. Siegel, in your discussion with people in the community, have you heard that Mr. Turner is now awaiting trial on criminal charges of conspiracy in connection with the financial collapse of Cedars of Lebanon Hospital?

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, objection. It is not the proper charge.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

Have you heard that, Mr. Siegel? Q

- A The way you state the question, no.
- Q Have you heard that Mr. Turner is awaiting trial on any criminal charges in Florida?
- A There have been some articles in the newspaper, yes.
- Q What is your understanding from what you heard, what the charges are?

MR. WASHOR: Objection.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

I have said over and over again, insofar as I understand it, this witness may be asked of various things.

MR. WASHOR: He wasn't asked that. He asked him his opinion and understanding of the charges that are pending; not what he heard.

THE COURT: That's because of the fact that you objected to his characterization of the charge in the first instance.

All right.

MR. RUBIN: I wonder if you will not be kind enough to permit me to have a side bar conference with you, your Honor. I don't believe I could tell you what I want to properly within the hearing of the jury.

THE COURT: I don't need any side bar conference

32 hpmch

Siegel-cross

on this.

MR. RUBIN: It does not relate --

THE COURT: We will continue with this. I need no side bar conference. Mr. Eaton is well within his rights.

MR. RUBIN: Your Honor, I do not want to raise that issue with you in the side bar conference.

THE COURT: All right.

(At the side bar)

THE COURT: What is the problem?

MR. RUBIN: Your Honor, at this time I would ask to have the case of United States Government against Donald Richardson severed because of the fact that the information -- I want to discuss the ruling of your Honor in relation to hearsay evidence on reputation.

I don't want to take a position, but I believe that these statements may have an unwarranted effect on my client, and although I don't want to question the tactics of co-counsel, I just feel at this point that I am being very, very adversely affected.

I didn't anticipate this tactic.

THE COURT: You must have anticipated that there will be character witnesses brought.

MR. RUBIN: I must disagree with you, sir. I

33 hpmch

6

11

Siegel-cross

could not have possibly anticipated character witnesses in this particular instance.

THE COURT: In any event, the motion for the severance is denied.

MR. KOGAN: On behalf of the defendant Herman, I join in the motion.

THE COURT: It is denied.

MR. RUBIN: Your Honor, I take exception to your ruling, most respectfully, and I am going to ask you, your Honor, at some point before the jury begins deliberation to specifically point out this is unrelated to my client.

THE COURT: Yes, as soon as the testimony is over.

(In open court)

#### BY MR. EATON:

Mr. Siegel, on the basis of what you have read and heard, what is your understanding of the criminal charges upon which Mr. Turner is awaiting trial in the State of Florida?

I believe it has something to do in conjunction with the failure or bankruptcy of Cedars of Lebanon Hospital. Just what they are in detail, I really don't know.

MR. EATON: No further questions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

- vs

74 Cr. 424

SHELDON S. TURNER, DONALD R. RICHARDSON, and FRED L. HERMAN.

26 August 1974

[10:00 a.m.]

[In open court. Jury not present.]

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, may I address the Court? I have some applications to make.

THE COURT: Yes, surely.

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, I would at this time on behalf of the defendant Sheldon Turner, move for withdrawal of a juror and a declaration of a mistrial, and the alternative actually a dismissal of the indictment predicated on the following grounds:

The prosecution has asked on cross-examination of a character witness, Monte Segal, a question -- I am trying to summarize the form -- "Have you heard that Mr. Turner is under indictment in Florida"? Well, I would ask the Court to take judicial notice of a Florida statute, 932.47 and 932.48 which is the statute by and under which Mr. Tu ner was under indictment in Florida. That statute dictates, in sum and substance, the State

The Supreme Court of the United States. The matter was argued January, 1974 Term. Certiorari was granted in 93 Supreme Court 567. However, no decision was rendered as a result of that argument in January 1974 Term and re-argument is scheduled for October, 1974 Term.

But as the posture of the case now stands, the

MR. WASHOR: Now, certiorari was granted by

But as the posture of the case now stands, the statute under which ir. Turner was indicted has been held by at least two Courts, the Trial Court and the First Appellate Court, to be unconstitutional.

I suggest with that knowledge that the United States Attorney should not have asked or been permitted to ask the question about Mr. Turner being presently under indictment. And I suggest that since the United States Attorney has been privy to the investigation in Florida, that that fact should be known, or at least chargeable under the law to him.

THE COURT: When was Mr. Turner placed under indictment?

MR. WASHOR: I think April, 1974.

MR. EATON: Your Honor, may I reply?

MR. WASHOR: Well, may I finish, please?

I further move for a mistrial, or a dismissal of the indictment on the grounds that testimony as

126 cmsr

perpetuated here during the course of the trial which unequivocally indicates that the Government through its agents, paid or unpaid, to wit, April Kelly and William Driggers conspired and did in fact steal papers, private papers of the corporation and Mr. Turner, further violating his civil rights, the Fourth Amendment, Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

That testimony perpetuated on the direct examination has and is, does stand uncontradicted, uncontroverted. I don't think it is different than the Ellsberg circumstance or the Watergate circumstance that there has been infringement on an individual's rights.

I further suggest that we had planned to call several other character witnesses. Frankly, not many. Five or six at the very most. Recognizing from a practical standpoint the value of more than five, six character witnesses, and recognizing that this Court would have an absolute right to limit too many character witnesses from testifying. But, as a result of the Court's ruling on the questions of Mr. Monty Segal, I have reason to believe that the Court would rule consonant with its prior rulings or in a sense that would really constitute the law of the case on that

SOUTHERN DISTRICY COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK N.Y. CO 7-4580

1 2

50 cmsr

but I suggest to you that that's what he did.

Now let me go through these arguments that Mr. Washor made, and I am going to try and do it as rapid fire as I can.

First of all he said there is no evidence of personal gain to Dutch Turner. There was evidence that Mr. Turner discussed with Mr. Driggers a nest egg, or an escape fund which at the time of the discussion Mr. Turner said was \$30,000 and building.

But the shorter answer really is that the Government doesn't have to prove personal gain to Mr. Turner, it's just an interesting bit of evidence.

The charge is filing false statements with the bank with the purpose of inducing the bank to loan money. It's that simple.

Next Mr. Washor said that he believed he had established on cross-examination that there was acquiescence by the bank with respect to "certain contractual obligations". And he refined that for you.

I direct your attention that the evidence first of all of Mr. Irish, the heavyset banker, testified that the bank relies absolutely on the accuracy of those schedules. And it is really even simpler than that. It's so simple you don't have to look at any evidence.

125
SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE
FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. CO 7-4580

Therefore, I submit to you that the idea of why nobody in this case called handwriting experts is rather simple. They were deliberately disguising their handwriting, and that's the way anyone would do it. You wouldn't write it in your own handwriting.

Now, when he was talking about the customers

Mr. Washor asked where were the records? I am sure
you remember when they were on the stand a number of
them did have records with them. Very few of those
documents were received in evidence. A few of them
were. But the other ones were here, they were available
in this courtroom.

Then Mr. Washor said, isn't it something that some of these customers continued to deal with Dutch Turner anyway? It's not something at all. They weren't defrauded by Dutch Turner. He didn't defraud the customers. They didn't lose any money from these false statements. The bank is the one who lost the money.

The only customer that Mr. Washor really lit into was Con Little. You will find out that Con Little only relates, I think, to one or two of the counts.

I might take this opportunity just to explain that there are nineteen counts in the indictment, fifteen of them will be submitted to you for your consideration. The

59 cmsr

they could. There is no evidence that they could tell their signature, that it was written in their own handwriting. Second of all, the bank officials testified that there was a, I believe it was Mr. Maselow who testified that the bank has such a massive amount of paper that they destroy a number of documents every year, and there's a simple explanation for where most of the forged delivery tickets have gone.

We have salvaged what we have salvaged, and that's what the indictment is about. It doesn't disprove that there were hundreds of other forged delivery tickets floating around both at the bank and at YOrk Litho. Even they were available there is no evidence that the handwriting could be recognized as April Kelly's.

Moving on to Mr. Driggers, Mr. Washor speculated whether it was a mere coincidence that April introduced Driggers to Turner. Well, there apparently was a real camera investigation that started things off. It was Mr. Turner who got very chummy with Mr. Driggers. It was Mr. Turner who kept giving Mr. Driggers additional jobs. And interestingly April was not in the inner sanctum for all of those discussions.

Mr. Driggers did testify that he made some sort

60 cmsr

of notes about his camera investigation, but he didn't on the subsequent investigations, the divorce, et cetera. He had a very simple explanation for that, he said that's what Mr. Turner told him to do. Not keep any notes.

Mr. Washor hammered on the fact that Mr.

Druggers explained that he went to the FBI because he wanted to know whether he was committing a crime by having this relationship with a client who was in Mr.

Driggers' opinion committing a crime. The key point there is continuing crime. That was the word Mr. Driggers used. He was worried about the fact that there was talk about an ongoing scheme to defraud the bank, and he was right in there meeting with this guy. He had every reason to be a little bit worried about whether he was in a funny position.

Mr. Washor also made a big point of the fact that there were no electronic devices used to record any of these conversations. On reflection you will find that a little absurd, someone in Mr. Driggers' position to go in with a wire when he is talking to someone like Dutch Turner who is very interested in how loyal various employees are to him. It wasn't Mr. Driggers' job to do that. It wasn't Mr. Driggers' job to make up written reports to the FBI. The evidence

61 cmsr

was he made oral reports to the FBI. It is the FBI's job to write the reports, not Mr. Driggers'.

When Mr. Washor got to Mr. Maselow he said that Mr. Maselow testified he had no independent recollection of "what occurred between him and Dutch Turner. I think you recall that Mr. Maselow's testimony was he recalled very well. He went down and had a meeting with Dutch Turner and that they were discussing which invoices were collectable and which were not and why, and that he wrote that down, what Mr. Turner told him.

What he said he couldn't remember was what the amounts were and the names of the customers. He really could not think back to that meeting with Turner and specify what Mr. Turner said. But that's why he wrote it down, so he would have it, and now we have it. Now that's a very important exhibit. Exhibit 116.

Then Mr. Washor complained that Mr. Maselow was still able to remember enough to interpret the document. Well, that's a joke. If you look up at the top here there are three little captions, "A" in the circle, no good, doesn't say bogus, doesn't say invalid, it says no good. "B", in the circle, collected.

Already collected means pocketed by Turner. not sent up to the bank as it was supposed to be. And "E". in

and,

•

there is a statement that each of the receivables which is listed on the front, "represents a bona fide and existing obligation of the customer."

Now, that statement actually contains two statements:

- [1]. Each Receivable is a bona fide obligation,
  - [2]. Each Receivable is an existing obligation.

If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that either one of those statements was false as to any one of the receivables listed on the front of the Schedule, then you may find that that Schedule was a "False statement and report."

Now, a "bona fide obligation" means a good faith obligation. It means that the customer actually did agree to pay the amount shown, and that York Litho actually did intend to hold him to that obligation. I shall now discuss the meaning of an "existing obligation"

As a matter of commerical law, normally a customer is not obligated to pay a bill until the merchandise has been delivered to him, unless he agrees to be billed on some other basis. Accordingly, even if York Litho received a bona fide order from a customer, it would be a "false statement" to submit a schedule

104 cmsr

containing that order if the merchandise had not yet been delivered to the customer, unless the customer had agreed to be billed on some other basis.

and Mr. Herman that they never looked at the reverse side of the schedules, but just signed them. They contend that they never forged anyone's name on any of the tickets. There has also been testimony that the corporation would also have to make credit adjustments and charge-backs for dissatisfied customers.

Now, the verdict form sheet, which you will receive, shows which defendants are charged with making which statements. The defendants Richardson and Herman are charged only with those statements which the Government contends they signed. The defendant Turner is charged with all those statements. According to the Government's evidence, Turner signed only two of these Schedules, and another one was unsigned, and another one was signed by Betty Denson, a bookkeeper, in Herman's name, and the others were signed by Herman and Richardson.

As to those Schedules which were not signed by Turner, the Government contends that Turner is responsible for making those statements as an "aider and abbetor."

so those will be in evidence as well.

I would like to give you a little bit of the history of the agreement that I have been talking about. That started back in 1961. At that time York Litho was run by different people than these defendants, and the agreement was entered into with a company which was not technically a bank at that time, it was called the L.F. Dommerich Company. But in 1968 the Chemical Bank acquired LF. Dommerich Company, and at the time in question the lender was simply the Chemical Bank-Dommerich Division

The Dommerich Division was not a subsidiary of the bank, it was just a department of the bank, just like the installment loan department, and in 1971 the three defendants became officers, executive officers of this printing company, and the agreement continued, and, in fact, the president, Mr. Hunter, signed a personal guarantee to induce Chemical Bank to continue this agreement that had been going on for about ten years.

In this agreement and on the back of these schedules that you will see there are various statements or representations about these invoices that are listed on the front, and the basic statements are that these invoices represent bona fide obligations and that they represent

source is Mr. Irish and we will not offer these documents until Mr. Irish is called.

- Q In January and February of 1972 did Chemical Bank have an employee named Kenneth Kavanaugh?
  - A Yes.
- Q Did you give him any particular instructions at that time?
  - A Yes. I assigned him --

MR. WASHOR: Objection.

THE COURT: What is the basis of that objection.

I don't understand the basis of that objection.

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, in one word, if I
may -- it constitutes hearsay testimony either in the
sense that he perpetrated what his instructions were or
what his ctions were

THE COURT: I thought the question was the instructions that he gave to some other person?

Isn't that the question?

MR. EATON: Yes.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

A I sent Mr. Kavanaugh to York Litho Corporation to act as our custodian.

O To your knowledge how long was Mr. Kavanaugh down in Florida at the York Litho premises?

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Government's Exhibit 116 is received in evidence.

MR. WASHOR: Will you indicate that the government's exhibit doesn't constitute evidence in chief.

THE COURT: It is admitted for that of past recollection recorded.

MR. KOGAN: I assume we are following your Honor's original comments to the jury, that this document doesn't go either to the defendant Herman or to the defendant Richardson?

THE COURT: I think that has been made clear that this testimony relates only to Mr. Turner.

MR. KOGAN: Fine, sir.

BY MR. EATON:

to you.

Now, Mr. Maselow, I wouldlike to read parts of the document with you.

MR. WASHOR: May I object to that.

MR. EATON: The document is in evidence.

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, I am addressing myself

THE COURT: The point is that it is past recollection recorded. You have indicated that it is not the evidence in chief and, therefore, the document is going to be utilized by Mr. Maselow to recollect precisely what he did on those days. The objection is overruled.

mmbr	Maselow-cross 81
Q	Oh, I am sorry.
Λ	No, I have not.
Q	Is that an original, by the way, from the bank?
А	
Q	What is the date on that, Mr. Maselow?
А	It appears to be May 10, 1972.
Q	Will you explain how come the original document
wasn't	destroyed when you have testified on the direct exam-
	that the original documents relative to York
	ere destroyed and here we have an original in the
	on of the government.
	MR. EATON: Objection.
	THE COURT: Objection sustained.
	MR. EATON: For the record
	MR. WASHOR: I object to any statement.
Exhibit	MR. EATON: The exhibit number is Government's
witness.	that is in front of the
9	THE COURT: You thin in male
trial.	THE COURT: Yes, this is going to be a calm
	Proceed.
0	
λ	Have you ever seen that before?  I have not.
0	
•	You know nothing about the contents of it?

1	mmbr	Little-direct 117	
2	CON	ROBERTS LITTLE, JR., a witness	
3	cal	led on behalf of the government, being first	
4	dul	sworn, testified as follows:	
5	DIRECT EX	AMINATION	
6	BY MR. EA	TON:	
7	Q	Mr. Little, where is your place of business?	
8	λ	It is in Winter Haven, Florida.	
9	Q	What is the name of your business?	
10	A	Little & Co., Incorporated.	
11	Q	Could you tell the jury briefly what business you	u
12	are in?		
13	A	I am a manufacturers' agent and I sell supplies	
14	to the Flo	rida citrus industry, specializing in the sale of	
15	labels.		
16	Ω	You mentioned the sale of labels. In late 1971	
17	and in 197	2 did you have any business dealings with York	
18	Litho Corp	oration of America?	
19	A	Yes, I did.	
20	Q	And do you know a company named Deep South	
21	Products?		
22	λ	Yes, I do.	
23	Q	And do you know a company named Citrus World,	
24	Incorporat	ed?	
25	A	Yes, I do.	

1 m

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Now, were they involved with your business dealings with York Litho Corporation of America?

A They bought labels from York Litho Corporation through me.

O Is it fair to say that youwere a broker with respect to both Deep South Products and Citrus World?

A Yes, that is about the same term as a manufacturers' agent.

O When one of these customers of yours wanted labels, would you contact York Litho Corporation and order them?

A The customer would order the labels from York Litho, giving me the order, and I would send it to York Litho.

Q And so you would send your client's order to York Litho?

A Right.

Now, on any of the transactions that you were involved in, did York Litho Corporation ever bill the order to Little & Company?

A I did receive an invoice from them, yes.

Q And on how many occasions were transactions billed to Little & Company?

A One occasion.

them to provide me the originals relating to the first

25

.		
1	14	mmmch

### Breslow-direct

ruled sheets I mentioned, and which were removed by my office.

MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, I object to this procedure. The prosecutor is, in a sense, telling the witness what has been removed by the Government from a file. I don't think that is proper.

THE COURT: I gather now, Mr. Eaton, that was the point of showing him Exhibits 20 to 36.

These things that you are now showing him, were they at one time part of those?

MR. EATON: Yes. And I am going to ask the witness as having been part --

THE COURT: Ask him that. Give him the exhibits and ask him does he recognize the documents and let him tell us.

You don't have to tell us what they are.

Q I show you these documents, Mr. Breslow. Look through them as I read off these exhibit numbers.

MR. WASHOR: I object.

THE COURT: He wants to read off exhibit numbers so we can make them a matter of record.

Q (Continuing) 1-A, 1-1-A, 1-2-A, 1-3-A; 2-A, 2-1-A, 2-3-A, 2-4-A; 3-A, 3-1-A, 3-2-A; 4-A, 4-1-A, 4-2-A; 5-A, 5-1-A, 5-2-A, 5-3-A; 6-A; 7-A, 7-1-A, 7-2-A, 7-3-A; 8-A,

2	8-1-A; 9-A, 9-1-A; 10-A, 10-3A; 11-A, 11-1-A, 11-2-A, 11-3-A,
3	11-4-A; 12-A, 12-1-A, 12-2-A; 13-A, 13-1-A, 13-3-A, 13-5-A,
4	13-2-A; 14-A, 14-1-A; 15-1-A, 15-3-A; 16-1-A, 16-2-A, 16-3-A,
5	16-4-A, 16-5-A; 17-1-A, 17-2-A; 19-1-A, 19-3-A.
6	A Yes.
7	Q You recognize those documents?
8	A Yes.
9	MR. WASHOR: Your Honor, with all due respect,
10	I withdraw the objection.
11	Q What do you recognize those documents as being?
12	A Those are records that the agent took from the
13	office, the special agent.
14	Q Those were records that had been in the York Litho
15	premises, kept by York Litho before the bank took over?
16	A Yes.
17	MR. EATON: I offer them in evidence, your Honor.
18	MR. WASHOR: May I have a voir dire, your Honor?
19	THE COURT: You are always entitled to that.
20	All right.
21	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. WASHOR:
23	Q Sir, did you have an opportunity to compare these
24	documents with the originals?
25	A I beg your pardon. Would you come a little closer?

Breslow-direct

15 mmmch

2 Machin

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

'.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Machine, getting the proper permits to set up what was, for all practical purposes, a subsidiary of York, an in-house advertising agency.

Q Were the services of this in-house advertising agency made available to the customers of the printing company, York Litho?

A Yes. That is what The Adding Machine was supposed to do.

- Q Did The Adding Machine ever order anything from York Litho?
  - A Not to my knowledge.
- Q Who was in charge of the advertising-agency-type services at York Litho?
  - A You mean at The Adding Machine?
  - Q Yes.
  - A That would be me.
- Q Did Donald Richardson ever have any discussions or communications with you concerning the operation of The Adding Machine?
  - A Yes, he did.
- Q And could you describe to the jury how those communications came about?
- A Mr. Richardson asked for a weekly report on the activities of The Adding Machine co be provided him every

Now, you testified you took these forged documents prepared by yourself, accompanied with an invoice and the schedule -- I am talking about the delivery ticket was forged --

A Yes, I don't believe I ever wrote out a delivery ticket. I was asked to sign an already filled out one.

I want to make that point.

Q You just had a little bit to do with the forging?

A No, I forged the names, but I didn't make out delivery tickets.

Q They were given to you and you just signed? You made up a name?

A Yes.

You took these documents, three documents, the forged delivery ticket by yourself, an invoice, and put them together with the master sheet called the schedule; am I correct?

A No, that is not correct. I don't believe I was ever left with a stack of delivery tickets. It was always someone just saying, "Take a few pens and pencils and sign it," a stack. "Take a red felt pen and then a pencil."

On two occasions that I know of, I did the actual stapling together in preparing the package for Mr. Turner, but other than that, I was just brought in delivery

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

MR. WASHOR: I am leading up to something.

THE COURT: Get there.

What induced you to go to the FBI, Miss Kelly? Q

MR. EATON: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: That objection is sustained.

Miss Kelly, having committed the crimes of forgery in late 1971, when did you for the first time after committing your forgeries go to the FBI?

MR. EATON: Asked and answered.

THE COURT: She has indicated her recollection was late January, but on the documents that you furnished her she says February 15. That is already established, Mr. Washor.

How many forgeries did you commit in late 1971?

I couldn't tell you. The total number of forgeries that I committed would probably be between 20 and 25. I was not asked that often.

- How many of the 20 or 25 prior to January 1, 1972?
- I just wouldn't be able to tell you. A
- More than three.

Possibly three, possibly five. I don't know.

If I could recall I would tell you, but I can't.

When were you phased out of the Adding Machine Company subjecting yourself to a loss of 25 percent?

MR. EATON: Objection. No such evidence.

1	mmcg 30	Martin-cross	
2	A	No.	420
3	Q	You are not a special agent?	
4	A	No.	
5	Q	Did you graduate college?	
6	A	No.	
7	Q	Did you graduate law school?	
8	Λ	No.	
9	Q	Do you have a degree in accounting?	
10	A	No.	
11	Q	But as I understand it, your employment	was so
12	listed by	Mr. Senna or Mr. Charles Bell, special a	
13		to assist them in the investigation of a	
14		coughly half a million dollars?	
15	A	Yes. They did not employ me, however.	
16	Q	You were the undercover for them? Is th	at what you
17	are telli		
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	How old are you?	
20	Λ	How old am I now?	
21 22	Ö	Yes.	
23	Α	28.	
24	Q	I wonder if you would take a look at Gove	ernment's
25	Exhibit 3	519?	
20	A	That was taken back, I am sorry.	
		144	

A No.

O Sir, is it not a fact that had you made a report of the names that you forged and those documents were given or forwarded up to the Chemical Bank of New York, you would have witnessed the inception and commission of a crime? Am I correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you make notations of the names that you forged?

A No, sir.

Q Can you tell me the names of any individuals that you interviewed originally back in November, 1971, in connection with the camera investigation?

A Yes, Mr. Opoca was interviewed, perhaps two
men by the name of Opoca. I don't recall the spelling.
Mr. Barsorian was interviewed; I talked with Mr. Herman
-- a number of people in the company, a couple of
secretaries whose names I do not recall; one secretary's
name was Debbie Sutherland, and I don't know -- several
other people -- John Johnson -- a number of people.

Q Mr Driggers, you remember the names in an interview in November, 1970, a camera investigation, and you do not remember the names of forged documents when this man's life is in jeopardy?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

will need?

15

16

18

19

21

22

20

23 24

25

we start at 3:15, the first will take until a quarter of, and how long do you think you will take?

MR. RUBIN: About the same amount of time, just guessing.

THE COURT: All right. Until a quarter of four.

We come to a quarter after five, we have to keep the

jury here until six o'clock.

MR. RUBIN: Your Honor, can I just ask you one or two points?

THE COURT: Wait a minute.

MR. RUBIN: I assumed that was set.

THE COURT: How much time do you think you

MR. WASHOR: About an hour.

MR. EATON: About an hour, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Then what we will do
is you gentlemen will go and I won't even worry about
the time, you go from 3:30 and the two of you will go,
Mr. Washor and Mr. Eaton will finish up tomorrow morning
and I will give my charge. All right?

MR. RUBIN: Your Honor, just one or two points, if I may. Do you plan somewhere in your charge to mention the fact that all three defendants were seated at the same table and three lawyers were conferring with

103 34 -11.47 170 Sold - 103 36-26 (2) 200 Bat Prof 10 10 11/1.11 2553 15397-15-15 11/2 Billy 1-1(0) devo history market 13:000 Wines how 12:550 a formale Vince Basi ande 2115200 15000 4 34456 820/1 1(0) 25000 -26 5- Co 10 120 -(3) many blindage Charles of the control of the contro (1) 532 (17) 11/088 4 SIRewithaget H BS ahway (C) 1000 -1 113479 1175 VMile Hannay 070 v000 -Liteller as see no Promoto mosting 1306 54 Litter 1 deal Place 13:2 Cellie Itale. 67.50 Proces to Contractions ンジン 21:84 5400 -Russ Il aluminum on, Knild Businers Forms 7/7/82 1970.50 1663 -141848 290C 48 5 condemiced Portly emp! 148546 33 che will be on Permation consultat for unti

COVERHMENT

ie

64 1: 10 t. ~ THIS DEPOSIT IS ACCEPTED SUBJECT TO THE RULES AND REGULATIONS APPEARING ON SIGNATURE CARD. ind. ٠., = 10/56 ... 25100 ENTER TOTAL OF 59 TOTAL OF CHICKS LISTED ON LIFT SIDE DEPOSIT TOTAL YORK LITHO CORP. OF AMERICA COIN DATE .

MIDTOWN BANK
OF MIAMI BANK
MIAMI, FLORIDA

"O ... 5 1 5 ... 6 8 .!!

148

.

DOLLARS

ONLY COPY AVAILABLE

CONTRACTION.

vi.

YORK LITHO CORP. OF AMERICA
3401 N.W. 76 ST.
MIAMI, FLA.
33142
1271

EXHITATY U.S. OLIV. CU S.D. OF JU

				AUGUSTALIST AND PARLES AND PROPERTY.	
LD BALANCE	СНЕСКО		ETPOSITE	DALA	ICE
,		11.	EXLANCE FORWARDED	<u>3 سالم</u>	A.0
	10,000.00-/	6,000.00-	26,311.11+	CEC 371 1	12,511.07 e
12,311.07+	1,500.00-		W. S.	1017-7	10,811.07 =
10,811.07+	1,076.00-			CEC 871	009,021.23
9,735.07+	713.84 - 800.00 -	1,000.00-		020 971	7,221.23 0
9,021.23+ 7,221.23+	4.300.00 -	1,000.00-	1	CEC 15771	921.23
921.23 + 4	500.00 -			. DEC 22'71	421.23 *
471.23+ #	286.00 -			DEC 23'71	135.23 *
135.23+	#		4,446.00+	DEC 28'71	4,581.23 *
.581.23+ #	2,760.00 -	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		DEC 29'71	4,17 8.77=
,821.23+ #	6,000.00 - 6,000.00 + RT	7.0		DEC 31'71	1,821.23 *
.E21.23+ #	6,000.00 - °		5,000.00	JAN 472	821.23*
821.23 + <i>*</i> 819.23 + <i>*</i>	2.00-t0 3,500.00 - 0 3,500.00 + 0,7	**************************************		Jan 672 Jan 772 Jan 772	81923 6 C 2,680,772 81923 6
819.23+ *	3,500.00 -			JAN 1372	2,680.772
818.23+ +	3,500.00 - 1			JAN 13/72 CP	81923
81623+ # 308634+ #	550.00 <b>-</b>		100.00		816.23 * 266.23 * 366.23 *
66.23+ *	95.20 -			CN 2172	66.23 *

PEOPLES HIBLECH DATIONAL BANK

HIALEAH, FLORIDA

YORK LITHO CORP. OF AMERICA 3401 N.J. 36 ST. MIAMI, FLA. 33142

C

1271

Chilming 5

OLD BALANCE	снеско .	DEPOSITS	BALA	NCE
		DALANCE FORWARDED	FF3 172	30,57
	95.20+1		FES 172	66.23 2
66.23+ *	100.00 - 50.	37	FE3 3'72	83.77
	100.00 + 17		FE3 5'72	16.23
16.23+ #	5.00 - 01 222.	co	FE3 472	210.77
	222.00 +1.1		FE3 4772	11.23 6
11.23+ #	5.00 - 01	241.15	FE3 772	24735
247.38+ #		554.CO	FEB 8'72	801.38
801.38+	2.00-50		FEB 972	799.38 *
799.38+ #	5.00 - CH 100.0 222.00 -	co <b>-</b>	FEB 10'72	472.33 6
472.38+ #	2.00-50		MAR 872	470.305
470.38+ #	65.00- , 100.0	0 v - 1	123 1572 Cof	305.00
305.30+	286.00-		123 1572 8 500	19300
19.33+	65.00 - 100.0		1:: 7 2172 -21	₹1,579.300
1,579.38+ #	500.00 - 65.k	00-	MAR 2.4172 3 / 2 11.13 27172	1,014.23 a 1,011.20 a 713.40 a
1,011,03+ 6	1,000.00 - 65.0	500.00+	CCA 2072	148.400
14840+ #	000 0	2,000.00	173 672	2,148.402
	2.00 - SS 525.0	0-1	AF3 7'72	621.40 = 1
2,146.40+				
			/CR 1072	296.40 *
296.40 + #	65.00 -		127 1172 127 2472	231.40 0
			1.4.2412	101.40
		7		
46				

PEOPLES HIP TON norional non :

Dommerich Division 110 East 59th Street New York, N. Y. 10022

Commercial Finance Expertment

Schedule of Assigned Receivables

S.D. CI N.Y.

Assigned By: 26.12 Lither Carp of american

Previous total this month charges

Amount of this sheet

Total charges to date this month

Invoice Date		Invoice	Nam	e of Debtor	Address		I Debtor Addre		Gross	Do Not Write
Month	Day	No.					Amount	In this space		
//	100	16,254	Coca (	aka	30171.71.5	1945 82.	61.50	,		
//	133	55	"	" \	"	"	116 25			
//	122	56	"	<i>i</i> ,	"	. "	589 00			
11	111	57	.,	"	"	/-	79.00			
//	120	58	"	"	"	"	79 00			
11	123	54	",	· · · ·	"	.,,,	115. 20			
//	123	60	"	// -	"	//	291, 30			
//	120	6-1	,,	"			291.20			
//	122	60	Me C	andila	644.5+4	acting.	61. 33			
//	133	63	Jane -	Wan	5300 71.2cl	16344	296. 40			
11	102	6-1	Kinze	le 4 Maker	2000 1. 30	4 au	17.2.64			
11	13	6.5	View.	Way	5.30 7.2.1.		372.60	-		
11	123	lete	Bec 7	Paper Co.	1,99971 E.	415 Ru	132 00			
11	123	6-7	//	′ ′′	"	. "	. 441.00			
//	103	68	100 m	wat, Met	Cet 1	72 JA	364 00			
11	123	69	Coming	0 10112	31 Main	. it. 1	158 00			
//	23	16270	Licke	4 Co. Cro.	P.O. 13.1	1766	1, 200,00	<b>D</b>		
11	1:5	16271		′	1 "."		1/1800/00			
11	153	7.1	Widson	Shen ken	1350. Fin	Can Por!	2 1-00 0	1-		
//	[33	73	med	ellin Del	12900112	1.77 C4.	1 1/-19/100			
//	1.23	74			14.11	"	1494 00	-		

Please execute assignment by authorized signature on reverse side

### To: CHEMICAL BANK-DOMMERICH DIVISION

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, and to secure the payment of any of our present or future indebtedness to you we have assigned, transferred, pledged and set over and do hereby assign, transfer, piedge and set over to you, your successors and assigns, the accounts and claims set forth on the reverse side hereof representing obligations either of our own customers to us or of customers of others to them which we have acquired (all hereinafter referred to as "receivables"), arising out of the sale of merchandise and/or the lease of personal property and/or the rendition of services to said customers in the ordinary course of business, and all of our right, title and interest in and with respect thereto and the merchandise represented thereby, and all causes of action and rights in connection therewith which we now have or may hereafter acquire, including the right of stoppage in transit, replevin and reciamation and as an unpaid vendor, and in and with respect to any new receivable created through resale or exchange of such merchandise.

And we hereby constitute and appoint you, our true and lawful attorney irrevocable in our name or otherwise, but to your own use and benefit to collect, sell, assign, transfer, set over, compromise or discharge the whole or any part of each said receivable and/or any such new receivable and also, at your option, to sell any and all of said merchandise in any wise above mentioned at public or private sale without notice, at such prices and upon such terms and conditions as you shall determine and to assign and transfer the merchandise thus sold; and for such purposes to do all acts and things necessary or proper in the premises and to constitute one or more persons with like power, hereby retifying and confirming all that you, our said attorney, or your substitute or substitutes shall lawfully do by virtue hereof.

We hereby represent and warrant as to each of said assigned receivables: that it is just, true and correct; that it represents a bona fide and existing obligation of the customer, arising out of the sale of merchandise and/or rendition of services in the ordinary course of business, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, and owned by and owing to us without defense, offset or counter-claim; that no payment has been made thereon; that the terms of credit are as set forth therein and that all invoices or bills rendered by us for said receivables shall have, at your request, stated upon the face thereof that they are assigned and payable to you, if any payments should be made directly to us on account of any receivables assigned hereby, we will forthwith turn over to you the identical checks or other forms of payment so received.

We hereby agree that if the said receivables are not paid by each debtor when due we will pay the amount or any part thereof that remains unpaid whether or not the failure of the dector to pay shall be for credit or any other reasons, and that you, at your option may charge the part remaining unpaid against our account with you; and that any or all of said merchandise that may be returned, rejected or reconsigned will be held by us as your property, and upon demand at once delivered to you, until and unless the invoice value of said merchandise and any and all other indebtedness of the undersigned to you, present or future shall have been paid to you, and we further caree promotity to make payment for said exturned, rejected or reconsigned merchandise or in the event of a resale by you, we will promotity pay to you the difference between the amount realized from such resale and the invoice value of said merchandise as set forth in the statement on the reverse hereof.

This instrument has been executed in furtherance of the existing agreement between us.

	have hereunto set our hand and seal this	
tay of 11/25	19.71	(L.S.)
,	Yeard File Cap of	averce
	Fym Name	
	Authorized Signature	Title

Dommerich Division 110 East 50th Street New York, N. Y. 10022

Commercial Finance Department

Schedule of Assigned Receivables

Assigned By: Oki b. Litha Carp of america

Schedule No.

Date

Previous total this month charges

Amount of this sheet

Total charges to date this month

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Invoice Date		Invoice			Gross			Do Not Write
Month	Day	No.	Name of Debtor	Address	Address Invoice Amount			
//	133	16-275	Medallion And.	290071.76 7760	1	431	100	/
	<u> </u>		Same Variable Control					
	-							
	1							
	1							
					_			
								2
	-				_			
,								
	!				_			
				•				
	-					1.		
	-				<u>  ·                                     </u>	-		
	-				_			
	$\dashv$				-	ļ		
	-		-		_			
	+				_	-		
	+					-		
i			***					

Please execute assignment by authors signature on reverse etc.

138

## To: CHEMICAL BANK-DOMMERICH DIVISION

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, and to secure the payment of any of our present or future indebtedness to you we have assigned, transferred, pledged and set over and do hereby assign, transfer, pledge and set over to you, your successors and assigns, the accounts and claims set forth on the reverse side hereof representing obligations either of our own customers to us or of customers of others to them which we have acquired (all hereinafter referred to as "receivables"), arising out of the sale of merchandise and/or the lease of personal property and/or the rendition of services to said customers in the ordinary course of business, and all of our right, title and interest in and with respect thereto and the merchandise represented thereby, and all causes of action and rights in connection therewith which we now have or may hereafter acquire, including the right of storpage in transit, replevin and reclamation and as an unpaid vendor, and in and with respect to any new receivable created through resale or exchange of such merchandise.

And we hereby constitute and appoint you, our true and lawful attorney inevocable in our name or otherwise, but to your own use and benefit to collect, sell, assign, transfer, set over, compromise or discharge the whole or any part of each said receivable and/or any such new receivable and also, at your option, to sell any and all of said merchandise in any wise above mantioned at public or private sale without notice, at such prices and upon such terms and conditions as you shall determine and to assign and transfer the merchandise thus sold; and for such purposes to do all acts and things necessary or proper in the growings and to constitute one or more persons with like power, hereby ratifying and confirming all that you, our said attorney, or your substitute or substitutes shall lawfully do by virtue hereof.

We hereby represent and warrant as to each of said assigned receivables: that it is just, true and correct; that it represents a bona fide and existing obligation of the estomer, arising out of the sale of merchandise and/or rendition of services in the ordinary course of business, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances and owned by and owing to us without defense, offset or counter-claim; that no payment has been made thereon; that the terms of credit are as set forth therein and that all invoices or bills rendered by us for said receivables shall have, at your request, stated upon the face thereof that they are assigned and payable to you. If any payments should be made directly to us on account of any receivables assigned hereby, we will forthwith turn over to you the identical checks or other forms of payment so received.

We hereby agree that if the said receivables are not paid by each debtor when due we will pay the amount or any part thereof that remains unpaid whether or not the failure of the debtor to pay shall be for credit or any other reasons, and that you, at your optionmay charge the part remaining unpaid against our account with you; and that any or all of said merchandise that may be returned, rejected or reconsigned will be held by us as your property, and upon demand at once delivered to you, until and unless the invoice value of said herchandise and any and all other indebtedness of the undersinned to you, present or future shall have been paid to you, and we further agree promptly to make payment for said returned, rejected or reconsigned merchandise or in the event of a resale by you, we will promptly pay to you the difference between the amount regized from such resale and the invoice value of said merchandise as set forth in the statement on the reverse hereof.

This instrument has been executed in furtherance of the existing agreement between us.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have	hereunto set our hand	and scal this	
cay of	19.7/		(L.S.)
cay of	York Little	, Torp of awer	~
	Fya Mar ( ) (		
	By Authorized Segnature	unan	Title

id

2-1

EXHIBIT TO U.S. DOOR. COURT S.D. O. N.Y.

YORK LITHO CORPORATION
OF AMERICA

Little & Co., Inc. P. 0. 10x 1755 Winter Haven, Florida

s york litho

13901 N.W. 58th COURT HIALEAH, FLORIDA 33014 (305) 823-9670

	PATE YOUR ORDER NO.   OUR ORDER NO.   SHIPPED TO	Prunk	TERMS: NET CASH
QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION
•	46 0z. Punch Labels	\$4.50	1,800.00
	Holding in inventory for Deep South		
	THIS ACCOUNT HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO  L. F. DOMMERICH & CO., INC.  486 FIFTH AVE., NEW YORK 17. N. Y.		

Little and , Inc.

EXHIBIT ZO

U.S. DIST. COURT S.D. OF M.Y.

11-2--71 12-77

truck 30 mg

46 Uz. . unch Labels

.4. M. 1. 100.00

Holding in inventory for Deep South Troducts, Inc.

J.34

DATE 1.1.	2 196	DELIVERY RECORD	RECEIVED FRO
JO NO.	QUANTITY	ITEM	
	does	Hern Phill Laises	
<u> </u>			
			<del>v,                                     </del>
			GOVERNMEN
	·		EXHIBIT XI S. DICE. C. D. OF U.Y.
		RECEIVED BY	

COVERNMENT

id

2-3

EMMIRIT ZO S.O. OF N.Y.

YORK LITHO CORPORATION
OF AMERICA

Little & Co., Inc. F. J. For 1705 Winter dayon, Florida

s york litho

13901 N.W. 58th COURT HIALEAH, FLORIDA 33014 (305) 823-9670

	79-71 12-77	OUR ORDER NO.	SHIPPED TO	True	TERMS: NET CA
QUANTITY		DESCRIPTION		UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION
400.1	46 oz. Pinea	ople-Grape Drink La	fruit bels	\$4.50	\$1,800.00
	Thank-You	THIS ACCOUNTY IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	INT HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO OMMERICH & CO., INC. OMMERICH & YORK 17, N. Y.		

id

2-3-A

".S. DIST. COU S.D. OF M.Y.

Fruck 30 ....

v.

400M - 46 oc. Fincapple-Grapefruit brink Labels

24.50.

31.800.

Thank- fou

11-20-71 12-77

	1967	DELIVERY RECORD	YORK HITHO
JOB NO.	QUANTITY	ITE	
	4/2011	46 cz. Pineapole	Giver xxx or
			1 36525
			GOVERNMENT .
		_	2 14 0
			2-4-A
			EXHIBIT TO
			U.S. DIST. COUR S.D. OF N.Y.
		9	
•		Pt.	
	1	· /n	

Amount of this sheet

Total charges to date this month

Invoice Date		Invoice	Name of Debtor	Name of Debtor Address	Gross Invoice	Do Not Virite
Month	Day	No.	/		Amount	III 1 III 3 3 5 5 C
13	100	16308	Wallace Chero.	5201 Yanna Clu.	508. 50	_
1,2	12	09	''	",	231.00	-
10	13	10	"	,,	169.50	-
12	12	//	//	,,	144.00	-
12	12	12	"	"	144 00	-
12	12	13	"	,,	144 00	-
10	12	14	11	"	144 00	
12	12	15	4	<i>"</i>	1:9 50	-
1.0	12	1/2	//		169.50	
10	12	17	"	.,	322.00	
12	10	1?	,		282 00	
12	12	19	Dedalling Ned	P.O. 200 427	1, 672.00	-
	1					
	-					
	i	<u> </u>		11-5-17		
,	1		225	1 5 70		
	<u> </u>	·	8 40 00	-		
	<u>i</u> _					
	<u> </u>	-				
	-	-				
	<u>!</u>	1	]			<del>                                     </del>
				Total	15 1053.80	

Please execute assignment by authorized signature on reverse side 161---

## To: CHEMICAL BANK-DOMMERICH DIVISION

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, and to secure the payment of any of our present or future indebtedness to you we have assigned, transferred, piedged and set over and do hereby assign, transfer, piedge and set over to you, your successors and assigns, the accounts and claims set forth on the reverse side hereof representing obligations either of our own customers to us or of customers of others to them which we have acquired (all hereinafter referred to as "receivables"), arising out of the sale of merchandise and/or the lease of personal property and/or the rendition of services to said customers in the ordinary course of business, and all of our right, title and interest in and with respect thereto and the merchandise represented thereby, and all causes of action and rights in connection therewith which we now have or may hereafter acquire, including the right of stoppage in transit, replevin and reclamation and as an unpaid vendor, and in and with respect to any new receivable created through resale or exchange of such merchandise.

And we hereby constitute and appoint you, our true and lawful attorney irrevocable in our name or otherwise, but to your own use and benefit to collect, sell, assign, transfer, set over, compromise or discharge the whole or any part of each said receivable and for any such new receivable and also, at your option, to sall any and all of said merchandise in any wise above mentioned at public or private sale without notice, at such prices and upon such terms and conditions as you shall determine and to assign and transfer the merchandise thus soid; and for such purposes to do all acts and things necessary or proper in the premises and to constitute one or more persons with like power, hereby retriving and constrming all that you, our said attorney, or your substitute or substitutes shall lawfully do by virtue hereof.

We hereby represent and warrant as to each of said assigned receivables: that it is just, true and correct; that it represents a bona fide and existing obligation of the customer, arising out of the saie of merchandise and/or rendition of services in the ordinary course of business, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances and owned by and owing to us without defense, offset or counter-claim; that no payment has been made thereon; that the terms of credit are as set forth therein and that all invoices or bills rendered by us for said receivables shall have, at your request, stated upon the face thereof that they are assigned and payable to you. If any payments should be made directly to us on account of any receivables assigned hereby, we will forthwith turn over to you the identical checks or other forms of payment so received.

We hereby agree that if the said receivables are not paid by each debtor when due we will pay the amount or any part thereof that remains unpaid whether or not the fall are of the debtor to pay shall be for credit or any other reasons, and that you, at your option may charge the part remaining unpaid against our account with you; and that any or all of said merchandise that may be returned, rejected or reconsigned will be held by us as your property, and upon demand at once delivered to you, until and unless the invoice value of said merchandise and any and all other indebtedness of the undersigned to you, present or future shall have been paid to you, and we further agree promotly to make payment for said returned, rejected or reconsigned merchandise or in the event of a resale by you, we will prompt it pay to you the difference between the amount realized from such resale and the invoice value of said merchandise as set forth in the statement on the reverse hereof.

more and so as set for the the statents	ant on the reverse hereof.	
This instrument has been executed in f	ur Elerance of the existing agreen	en: between us.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have here	eunto set our hand and seal this	
day of	.19	(L.S.)
Ву	Firm Nam Duman	Pizes.

Dommerich Division 110 East 59th Street New York, N. Y. 10022

## Commercial Finance Department

dule of Assigned Receivables

	· '.r		#/
assigned By: Charle	Litto Post	Al america)	Schedule No.
0.	1	0	
Previou	s total this month charges	\$	

Amount of this sheet \$\_\_\_\_\_\_

Total charges to date this month \$\_\_\_\_\_\_

Invoice Date		Invoice			Gross		Do Not Write
Month	Day	No.	Name of Debtor	Address	Amount		In This Space
12	12	16287	Contat Chen	. DO Box 1718 Ha		25 50	-
12	12	88	"	'''		117 100	-
12	12	89	,, ·	"11	1	228 50	-
12	12	90	′′	"		256 50	-
12	15	91	"	//		352 90	
12	15	570	11	",		24/30	-
12	13	95	Bill Him: Co.	68997. 2. 44 Clas		53900	
12	12	.94	,, ,	1, ",	1	065 00	,
13	lá	95	Con Printers	01357.3.15 st		275 60	
12	1	16	Skilliela And	1 1190 1.0. 159 2 19		5.25 00	-
12	12	97	United Des. 30	200 P.O. 200 2 196		25 00	
12	15	97	Mallace Chemo	5301 Annally		144 CC	_
12	12.	99	Ziel Kalins	3401 1.71 21,46 5	3	92100	>/
12	12	1230	Tillatione Clau	JE301 Youraldes		169 50	-
10	12	11				23/00	-
10	3	0.2	· //	+ "		144 CC	
	2	03	//	"		169 50	
	13	04	"	"		1693 m	
	5	05		//		229 00	-
15	2	06				169 52	-
13_	2	07		"		222 00	-

Please execute assignment by authorized signature on reverse side

# To: CHEMICAL BANK-DOMMERICH DIVISION

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, and to secure the payment of any of our present or future indebtedness to you we have assigned, transferred, pledged and set over and do hereby assign, transfer, pledge and set over to you, your successors and assigns, the accounts and claims set forth on the reverse side hereot representing obligations either of our cwn customers to us or of customers of others to them which we have acquired (all hereinafter referred to as "receivables"), arising out of the sale of merchandise and/or the lease of personal property and/or the rendition of services to said customers in the ordinary course of business, and all of our right, title and interest in and with respect thereto and the merchandise represented thereby, and all causes of action and rights in connection therewith which we now have or may hereafter acquire, including the right of stoppage in transit, replevin and reclamation and as an unpaid vendor, and in and with respect to any new receivable created through resale or exchange of such merchandise.

And we hereby constitute and appoint you, our true and lawful attorney irrevocable in our name or otherwise, but to your own use and benefit to collect, sell, assign, transfer, set over, compromise or discharge the whole or any part of each said receivable and/or any such new receivable and also, at your option, to sell any and all of said merchandise in any wise above mentioned at public or private sale without notice, at such prices and upon such terms and conditions as you shall determine and to assign and transfer the merchandise thus sold; and for such purposes to do all acts and things necessary or proper in the premises and to constitute one or more persons with like power, hereby ratifying and confirming all that you, our said attorney, or your substitute or substitutes shall lawfully go by virtue hereof.

We hereby represent and warrant as to each of said assigned receivables, that it is just, true and correct; that it represents a bona fide and existing obligation of the customer, arising out of the sale of merchandise and/or rendition of services in the ordinary course of business, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances and owned by and owing to us without defense, offset or counter-claim; that no payment has been made thereon; that the terms of credit are as set forth therein and that all invoices or bills rendered by us for said receivables shall have, at your request, stated upon the face thereof that they are assigned and payable to you. If any payments should be made directly to us on account of any receivables assigned hereby, we will forthwith turn over to you the identical checks or other forms of payment so received.

We hereby agree that if the said receivables are not paid by each debtor when due we will pay the amount or any part thereof that remains unpaid whether or not the failure of the debtor to pay shall be for credit or any other reasons, and that you, at your option may charge the past remaining unpaid against our account with you; and that any or all of said merchandise that may be returned, rejected or reconsigned will be held by us as your property, and upon demand at once delivered to you, until and unless the invoice value of said merchandise and any and all other indebtedness of the undersigned to you, present or future shall have been paid to you, and we turther agree promptly to make payment for said returned, rejected or reconsigned merchandise or in the event of a resale by you, we will promptly pay to you the difference between the amount realized from such resale and the invoice value of said merchandise as set forth in the statement on the reverse nereof.

This instrument has been executed in furtherance of the existing agre	ement between us
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hand and seal thi	s
day of19	(L.S.)
By Authorized Signature	0-
Authorized Signature	Title

3-1

U.S. DIST: COURT S.D. OF N.Y.

YORK LITHO CORPORATION
OF AMERICA

Dai a Dains 347 ... Dith Street Liami, Florida

s york litho

13901 N.W. 58th COURT HIALEAH, FLORIDA 33014 (305) 823-9670

1001CE NO.	7 7	-7,	YOUR ORDER NO.	OUR ORDER NO.	SHIPPED TO		Tit	CK TERMS: NET CA
QUANTITY				DESCRIPTIO	) N		UNIT PRICE	
,							, on the	EXTENSION
							•	
100M	1	Fre	edom Posto	ers .			İ	\$3,921.00
	!							
	İ							
^								
		That	ik-lou					
				, L	account has been as R. Dommerich & C fifth ave., new york	O., INC.		
		Hold	ing in in	ventory.				
	1							
	,					1	. 1	

treet .

3-1-A

U.S. DIST. COUTS.D. OF N.Y.

truck

C,

\$3,021.0

report costers

•

100M

Thank-You

holding in inventory.

GOVERNMENT

id

3-2

U.S. DISTRICT CT S.D. OF N.Y.

DELIVERY RECORD

18 12 19671

10 2 2001 A 1-1-1-1

TOUR COLOR

JOH NO.	DUART.TY	! ITEM
35.57	100111	Freedom plantes
:		, G,
<u></u>	i	
No. 1977 IN SUFF I BARRIE PROPRIATE CONT.		
3		
		REDEIVED BY

Ling	1967			YORKUTHO
				and the second of the second o
J08 ND.	QUANTITY		ITEM	
3537	15014	Tracken	firstly,	
				<i>i</i> .
				GOVERNMENT
				•
				0 0-1
				3-2-1
		20		U.S. DISTRICT
				S.D. OF M. S.
				1
	1			

Jonmerich Division 110 East 59th Street New York, N. Y. 10022

Commercial Finance Department

chedule of Assigned Receivables	
---------------------------------	--

U.S. BOOT. COR-S.D. C. BOY.

COVERNI

id

#9 Schedule No. 12-31-71

ssigned By: Chick Litto Cosp. & america

Previous total this month charges

: 135,925,90

Amount of this sheet

13 567 49

Total charges to date this month

149,493.58

onth	Day	Involce No.	Nema of Debtor		Gross Invoice Amount		Address Invoice		Do Not Write In This Space	
£ .	131	13436	Solo Urlinited	22FGE. Hardel	2	31/1.	00			
2	31	27	Davie Thabour	741/5.74=13	2	2N.	45			
2	13/	28	aring Marking	25510715. 445 PM	4	102.	80	1.1		
2	31	29	Leigh Robins	340171.W. 36 4 St.	4	3/2.	CC	]		
				•		-				
	1	:								
	-									
<u>.</u>	-	•	- P ()	81511						
	1					•				
	1			·						
					•			X.		
	-		•					•		
	1									
	-									
								N. Carlotte		

... the electric descripte en 169 side.

### To: CHEMICAL BANK-DOMMERICH DIVISION

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, and to secure the payment of any of our present or future indebtedness to you we have assigned, transferred, pledged and set over and do hereby assign, transfer, pledge and set over to you, your successors and assigns, the accounts and claims set forth on the reverse side hereof representing obligations either of our own customers to us or of customers of others to them which we have acquired (all hereinafter referred to as "receivables"), arising out of the sale of merchandise and/or the lease of personal property and/or the rendition of services to said customers in the ordinary course of business, and all of our right, title and interest in and with respect thereto and the merchandise represented thereby, and all causes of action and rights in connection therewith which we now have or may hereafter acquire, including the right of stoppage in transit, replevin and reclamation and as an unpaid vendor, and in and with respect to any new receivable created through resale or exchange of such merchandise.

And we hereby constitute and appoint you, our true and lawful attornes irrevocable in our name or otherwise, but to your own use and benefit to collect, sell, assign, transfer, set over, compromise or discharge the whole or any part of each said receivable and/or any such new receivable and also, at your option, to sell any and all of said merchandise in any wise above mentioned at public or private sale without notice, at such prices and upon such terms and conditions as you shall determine and to assign and transfer the merchan lise thus sold; and for such purposes to do all acts and things necessary or proper in the premises and to constitute one or more persons with like power hereby ratifying and confirming all that you, our said attorney, or your substitute or substitutes shall lawfully do by virtue hereof.

We hereby represent and warrant as to each of said assigned receivables: that it is just, true and correct; that it represents a bona fide and existing obligation of the customer, arising out of the sale of merchandise and/or rendition of services in the ordinary course of business, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances and owned by and owing to us without defense, offset or counter-claim; that no payment has been made thereon; that the terms of credit are as set forth therein and that all invoices or bills rendered by us for said receivables shall have, at your request, stated upon the face thereof that they are assigned and payable to you. If any payments should be made directly to us an account of any receivables assigned hereby, we will forthwith turn over to you the identical checks or other forms of payment so received.

We hereby agree that if the said receivables are not paid by each debtor when due we will pay the amount or any part thereof that remains unpaid whether or not the facure of the debtor to pay shall be for credit or any other reasons, and that you, at your opt in may charge the part remaining unpaid against our account with you; and that any or ail o said merchandise that may be returned, rejected or reconsigned will be held by us as your property, and upon demand at once delivered to you, until and unless the invoice value of same merchandise and any and all other indebtedness of the undersigned to you, present or futire shall have been paid to you, and we further agree promptly to make payment for said rearned, rejected or reconsigned merchandise or in the event of a resale by you, we will prom: y pay to you the difference between the amount realized from such resale and the invice value of said merchandise as set forth in the statement on the reverse hereof.

This instrument has been executed in furtherance of the existing agreemer: between us.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hand	and seal this
day of 12/3	
day of	(L.S.)
Firm train Court	-15110
Firm Nam (	114.0
By Al	144.6 _
Authorized high mure	Title

YORK LITHO COPPORATION OF AMERICA

Adding Machine 855 N.W. 44th Avenue Miami, Florida

# \_\_\_\_york litho

13901 N.W. 58th COURT HIALEAH, FLORIDA 33014 (305) 823-9670

428	12-3	1-71	YOUR ORDER NO.	3619	SHIPPED TO	Truc	terms: NET CASH k 30 Days
UANTITY				DESCRIPTION	•	UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION EXTENSION
!5M		Fur	rniture Ca	talogues			\$4,108.00
		Tha	nk-You				
			L F. DO	NT HAS BEEN ASSIGN MMERICH & CO., AVE., NEW YORK 17.	INC.	73 EXE	INTERNMENT id

GOVERNMENT

Adding Machine 855 N.W. 44th Avenue Miami, Florida 11-1-A

U.S. DIGG. C S.D. OF U.Y.

16428 12-31-71 3619

Truck

30 Day

25M Furniture Catalogues

\$4,108.00

Thank-You

S-38

DELIVERY RECORD RECEIVED FROM 30 19671 YORK LITHO Machine addu ITEM JOB NO. QUANTITY Kumture Cal Loque 3619 25m OVERNMENT' id 11-2 s. other. comm D. 08 N.Y.

16.64.		DELIVERY RECORD	YORK LITHO
JOB NO.	QUANTITY	ITEM	
3619	25/4	7. 7	5.7
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ye			
,		5	· · · · ·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GOVERNMENT
			1100
			11-2-A
			ERRERTT DE
		/	, i.s. tur. orași
	4	// ^	, 11
		1.50	weel)
		1 - John	11
		1	1

Leigh Robins, Inc. 3401 N.W. 36th Street Miami, Florida

## york litho

13901 N.W. 58th COURT HIALEAH, FLORIBA 33014 (305) 823-9670

29	12-31-	71 YOUR ORDER NO.	3618	SHIPPED TO		Truck	30 Days	SH
HTITY		\	DESCRIPTIO	~	U	NIT PRICE	EXTENSION	_
00м		Cedar Fund	Raising Br	ochure			\$4,312.00	_
		Thank-You			70			
				, .				•
		L F. DOMMI	HAS BEEN ASSIGNED ERICH & CO., INC., NEW YORK 17, N.					-

GOVERNMENT

id

11-3

EXHIBIT X

8.5. OF N.Y.

Leigh Rotins, Inc. 3401 hove 37th Street Mami, Florida 11-3-A

CONTRAINE .

EXHIBITED U.S. DULL. CO. S.D. OF U.V.

15429 12-31-71

3518

Truck

30 Days

100%

Cedar Fund Asising Brochure

\$4,312.00

Thank-You

J-38

# DELIVERY RECORD

DATE 12/22 196\_ To Legge Robins YORK LITHO

QUANTITY	ITEM
	Ceder Find Raising Browline_
	N
	( X ( X )
	1x resid
	100M

GOVERNMENT

11-4

EXHIBIT TO U.S. OTHE. C'' S.D. OF H.Y.

	196	DELIVERY REC	CORD	YORK IITHO
LEIGH	B-0102			
JOE NO.	QUANTITY		ITEM	
3618	loon	CEDAR FUND	RAS1.04 F	ROCHURES
				<u> </u>
				i
				11-4-A
				EXHIBIT Z
				DEST. COURT
				1
			1	

TELEPHONE - BARTOW 533-1644 P. D. 928 WINTER HAVEN, FLORIDA 33887 Fritch June This invice (attached ) in completely invalid. Phase errer could mene to get it off your books Regards. Thous. USA 33s - 475 (ED. 4-23-71) GOVER MENT'S

GOVER: MENT'S

EX 'IBIT

U. S. D. OF N. Y.

FPI.MI--- . .74-30M-2001

#### STATEMENT

YORK LITHO CORP. OF AMERICA
13901 N. W. 58TH COURT
HIALEAH, FLORIDA 33014

823-6082

Little + Company Inc.
P.O. Box 1766
Winter Haven, Florida

PLEASE RETURN THIS SLIP WITH YOUR REMITTANCE

DATE INVOICE			CHARGES A	ND CPEDITS	· ·	
ATL	NO.	DESCRIPTION	DEBIT	CREDIT	BALANCE	
2/29/12		BALANCE FORWARD			6250 00	
1						
					<del> </del>	
						!
		£				
		6				
	·					
i						
				-		!
					+	
<u> </u>				• •	!	
* 1					1	
77.7 <b>2</b> 7.1	SHAW WALK	ERTIORM A/C II NO	an annual Colombia		SE PAY LAST A	4
PLEA	SE DISRE	GARD NOTICE	F PAID		OUNT IN	

# SECURITY AGREEMENT

		[Equipment]			
YORK LITHO	CORPORATION OF	AMERICA		(hereir	called "Debtor")
· CHEMICAL DA	and NK - DOMMERICH	DIVISION		(here)	s called "Secured
arty") hereby agree as follows:  1. Debtor grants to Secure A. All of Debtor's presited to, the items listed and	ed Party a security is sent machinery, equips described on the Sci	nterest in the following Equation in the following Equation in the following Equipment annex hedule of Equipment annex	uipment (her jigs, and atta ed); and	rein enlied "C	ollateral"): uding, but not lim-
B. All of Debtor's addi	tional Equipment, of lad all replacements, a	like or unlike nature, to be	acousted her	cafter pursua the foregoing.	nt to this Security
2. Said security interest st	nil secure (1) the pa	yment of Debter's indebted	ness in the pr	rincipal amous	of \$ 60,000.
nd interest, evidenced by note	of even date h	ercuith.			
(2) all other existing debts and lifter the account of Dobtor, including any other lien, security interest Party, which Debtor may now or if the foregoing, including reason  3. Until default hereunder	ng advances for insult t or encumbrance: (4 ereafter own to Secur able attorneys' fees.	rance, repairs to and maint ) all other indebtedness, ho ed Party; and (5) all costs	wever created and expenses	i. arising, or a	ixes, and discharge icquired by Secured he collection of any
5630 N. W. 36th Av	enue	Miami			Florida
(Street) and the following additional addre	esses (if any)	(City)	(1	County) ,	(State)
4. Debtor warrants, coven					
or demand, constitute an event of Failure of Debtor to perform any any representation made by Debta stitution of any proceeding by or assignment for benefit of credito notice against Debtor by any tax damage, destruction, sale, encumb 6. Upon the occurrence of immediately due and payable, and have all other rights and remedie or threatens to decline speedily Debtor reasonable notice of the tintended disposition thereof is to mailed to Debtor at the address of of retaking, holding, preparing fexpenses. Secured Party and redesignated by Secured Party with dispose of the Collateral on the lternative.  7. This Security Agreem of any default shall not constitute benefit of its successors and assitives, successors ard assigns.	(3) Debtor shall mai don the Collateral, at patients of the coursed by layers of the course of the course of the course of the full term of the course of the full term of the course of the full term of the course of the full term of the course of the full term of the course of the full term of the course of the full term of the course of the full term of the course of the course of the full term of the full ter	notain the Collateral in good and will make due and timely tow and will execute and delif; (5) No financing statemer hereafter-nequired Collate except in favor of Secureonis recurity agreement, againolision in case any part of ired Party a fully paid politured Party to inspect the Cost the Debtor from the payment affect and protect Secured Patty at the Collateral and file, at Debtor's ect and protect Secured Patt, or undertaking hereunder thereof, with interest, to this or conditions shall, at the collateral will be the collateral from the payment of the collateral from the collateral from the collateral from the collateral from the collateral from the collateral from the customarily sold on a public sale thereof or of the customarily sold on a public sale thereof or of the state the collateral and make wenight to both parties. See the collateral and make wenight to both parties. See bettor. Secured Party's right and enforced according to sequent or other default. In so of Debtor shall bind his	on condition of payment in the condition of payment in the Colling of payment in the Colling of payment in the Colling of payment of an income a part by all not a cest, such arriv's seeu. Secured in the indebted are option of the colling of the indebted are all Delto take portroial Code. Fecoration of the time affor repsonant prior to the payment in the colling of the condition of the colling of the condition of the colling of the condition of the colling of the condition of the colling of the condition of the colling of the condition of the co	i repair at De denosit of ail of Party, on a the Collinteral and I not be on Debtor shall of fire, theft is a fire and in the collinteral of fire, theft is of insurance ny time: (8) andebtedness sereof or a fix sary action on ancing state y interest; at the may (but iss secured he secured Party may (but iss secured he secured Party obtedness secured he secured Party of (7) Los r any material, or the y; or (7) Los r any material or indebted sion of the inless the Collarket, Secured Party in authorized dies shall be the State of Secured Party, executors, in	bror's sole expense: Federal, State, and emand, appropriate or any part there- become subject to procure and main- ind such other risks rehicle) by insurers properly endorsed Loss, theft, dama se, ecured hereby; (9) ture; (10) The ex- fuel of the substants for Debtor's directors ments and other in- id (12) In case of shall not be obliged reby. and without notice ured hereby; or (2) irranty or falsity of linteral; or (5) In- statute, or Debtor's filing of a tax lier s, theft, substantial portion thereof. Collateral and shall lateral is perishable d Party shall give rivate sale or other be met if notice i isposition. Examen- theys' fees and leca- arty at a place to be to maintain, sell, or cumulative and no  New York, Waive y shall inure to the personal representa
Dated: JANUARY . 25	, 19 / 1	Debtor: YORK LITHO			
		by X	1- 31		
		Sheldon Tu	inted name of	(natory	
1.3		President			
	Debtor's Mailing	Address:5630 N. W.			
100	Deotor a praining				
102	Secure	Parter CHEMICAL B	ANK - DC	TRICH DI	VISION
	Become	by X	1 1		
EMMINIT		by X	-	•	
S. DIST. COUNT					
S. DIST. COURT S.D. OF H.Y II		Vice Presi			
			Title of 5		
Secu	red Party's Mailing				
		191 Kew York,	New York	10022	

- 8. In addition to the provisions of Paragraph "5" of the printed agreement, the occurrence or any one of the following events or conditions shall at the Secured Party's option and without notice constitute an event of default; (1) discontinuance of business; (2) calling a meeting of creditors; (3) attachment or seizure or levy upon any of the property of the Debtor; (4) failure of the Debtor to perform any covenant or undertaking on Debtor's part in this or any other Agreement; (5) breach of any warranty or falsity of representation made by the Debtor to the Secured Party in this or any other Agreement or any representation or warranty made to induce the Secured Party to enter into this Agreement or any other Agreement; (6) any reduction in the value of the collateral or any act of the Debtor which imperils the prospect of full performance or satisfaction of the Debtor's obligation; (7) any event which results in the acceleration of the maturity of the indebtedness of the Debtor to others under any Agreement or undertaking.
- 9. The Secured Party may assign this Agreement and if assigned, the assignee shall be entitled, upon notifying the Debtor, to performance of all of the Debtor's obligations and agreements hereunder, and the Assignee shall be entitled to all rights and remedies of the Secured Party hereunder. The Debtor will assert no claims or defenses that the Debtor may have against the Assignee.
- 10. The Uniform Commercial Code as presently or hereafter in effect in the State of New York shall govern the rights, duties and remedies of the parties and any provisions herein declared invalid under any law shall not invalidate any other provisions of this Agreement.
- 11. Notice to either party shall be in writing and shall be delivered personally or be registered or certified mail addressed to the party at the address herein set forth or otherwise designated in writing.
- 12. This Security Agreement covers all the other machinery and equipment of the Debtor now existing or hereafter acquired wherever located.

Debtor	YORK LITHO COL ORATION OF AMERICA	
	(1//	
.By:	Alexander of the same	
× 4 *	Sheldon Turner, President	

#### SP "WETARY'S CERTIFICA E

RESOLVED, that the President, Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer or other officer or any agent of this corporation, or any one or more of them, he and they are hereby authorized and empowered to enter into and execute on behalf of the corporation an agreement with Chemical Bank—Dommerich Division (hereinafter called the "Factor") relating to the granting of a security interest, pledge, assignment, negotiation and guarantee to said Factor of accounts, contract rights, instruments, chattel paper, notes, bills, acceptances and other forms of obligations, collectively reteired to as "receivables", and/or relating to the consignment, pledge, mortgage or other hypothecation of any inventory or other property, now or hereafter belonging to or acquired by the corporation, to or with said Factor, and from time to time to modify or supplement said agreement and to make and modify, or supplement arrangements with said Factor as to the terms or conditions on which such receivables are to be sold, pledged, assigned, negotiated or guaranteed to said Factor, and as to the terms or conditions on which merchandise or other property, now or hereafter belonging to or acquired by the corporation, may be consigned, pledged, mortgaged or otherwise hypothecated to or with said Factor, and they and each of them and any person or persons hereafter and from time to time to said Factor, and them to act for this Corporation are hereby further authorized and empowered from time to time to said, assign, transfer, deliver, endorse, negotiate or otherwise transfer and for guarantee to said Factor and its assigns any and all receivables now or hereafter belonging to or acquired by the corporation, and for said purposes to execute and deliver any and all assignments, schedules, transfers, endorsements, contracts, guarantees, aerements or other instruments in respect thereof and to make remittances and payments in respect thereof by checks, drafts or otherwise, and they are further authorized and empowered from time to time to consign, designa

1,	Fred Herman	, do hereby certify that I am the
Secretary of	York Litho Corp. of A	merica
a corporation or	ganized and existing under and by virt	tue of the laws of the State of Florida
a resolution duly	v adopted and ratified at a special me	Miami ; that I am the keeper ion; that the foregoing is a true, and correct copy of ceting of the Board of Directors of said corporation and the laws of said State at the office of said corpo-
ration in the Cit	y of Miami	State of Florida on the 7th
has not in any w	pared by me with the original of said way been modified, repealed or rescind	ken and transcribed by me from the minutes of said resolution recorded in said minutes, and that the same ed but is in full force and effect; that the within and id resolution and was duly executed pursuant thereto.
	r certify that the following are the name on, so empowered and authorized, name of the second	ness and specimen signatures of the officers and agents nely:
President	(Print name)	Darlow which
Vice-President	Donald Richardson (Print name)	Danald K. K. charlow
Secretary	Fred Herman (Print name)	(Signature)
Treasurer	(Print name)	(Signature)
Agent	(Print name)	(Signature)
Witness my	hand and scal of said corporation this	day of, 19.7/
(Affix corporate seal here)		(Secretary of Sand Corporation)

COVERNMENT

10.75

TEMBRITH

U.S. DIST. CC.
S.D. CT. T.O.

CHEMICAL BANK Dommerich Division New York, New York

DEAR SIRS:

In order to induce you to enter into the Security Agreement (Accounts Receivable) effective as of 19 with YO.W. LIT CO. FOLKFION OF AMERICA 13901 N.W. 58th Court, Maimi, Florida

(hereinafter referred to as the "client") and/or to continue under or to refrain at this time from terminating your present arrangement with the client and in consideration of your so doing and/or in consideration of any loans, advances, payments, extensions of credit, benefits or financial accommodations heretofore or hereafter made, granted or extended by you or which you have or will become obligated to make, grant or extended by you or which you have or will become obligated to make, grant or extend to or for the account of the client whether under said agreement or otherwise, and or in consideration extend to or for the account of the client whether under said agreement or otherwise, and/or in consideration of any obligation heretofore or hereafter incurred by the client to you whether under said agreement or otherwise, the undersigned (and each of them if more than one) agree to be, without deduction by reason of setoff, defense, or counterclaim of the client, jointly and severally primarily liable to you for the due performance of all the client's contracts and agreements with you, both present and future and any and all subsequent renewals, continuations, modifications, supplements and amendments thereof, and for the payment to you of any and all sums which may be presently due and owing or which shall in the future become due and owing to you from the client. This liability shall include but not be limited to any and all amounts charged or chargeable to the account of the client and any and all obligations incurred and sums due or to become due to you, whether by way of overdraft or otherwise, under the aforementioned agreement and any other contract or agreement and any renewals, continuations, modifications, supplements and amendments thereof, as well as any and all other obligations incurred and other sums due or to become due to you, whether or not such obligations or indebtedness shall arise under any contract or agreement or shall be recovered by or payable under instruments of indebtedness shall be acquired by our payable under instruments of indebtedness or shall be acquired by our payable under instruments of indebtedness or shall be acquired by our payable under instruments of indebtedness or shall be acquired by our payable under instruments of indebtedness or shall be acquired by our payable under instruments of indebtedness or shall be acquired by our payable under instruments of indebtedness or shall be acquired by our payable under instruments. whether of hot such deligations of indebtedness shall arise under any contract or agreement of shall be represented by or payable under instruments of indebtedness or shall be acquired by you from any concern for which you may now or in the future act as factor; and in addition the undersigned shall be liable to you for attorneys' fees equal to 15% of the unpaid indebtedness and obligations of the client to you, if any claim hereunder is referred to an attorney for collection. All deposits and sums at any time to the credit of the undersigned and any property of the undersigned at any time in your possession shall be deemed held by you as security for any and all of the undersigned's obligations to you, no matter how or when arising and whether under this or any other instrument, agreement or otherwise. Any and all present and future debts and obligations of client to the undersigned are hereby waived and postponed in favor of, and subordinated to the full payment and performance of, all present and future debts and obligations of client to you. The undersigned hereby waive notice of acceptance hereof and all notices and demands of any kind to which the undersigned may be entitled, including without limitation all demands of payment on, and notice of non-payment, protest and dishonor to the undersigned, or the client, or the makers, or endorsers of any notes or other instruments for which the undersigned are or may be liable hereunder. The undersigned further waive notice of and hereby consent to any agreement or arrangements whatever with the client or anyone else. including without limitation agreements and arrangements for payment, extension, subordination, composition, arrangement, discharge or release of the whole or any part of said obligations or of said indebtedness, contracts or agreements or other guarantors, or for the change or surrender of any or all security, or for compromise, whether by way of acceptance of part payment or of returns of merchandise or of dividends or in any other way whatsoever, and the same shall in no way impair the undersigned's liability hereunder. The undersigned shall have no right of subrogation, reindursement or indemnity whatsoever and no right of recourse to or with respect to any assets or property of the client or to any collateral for the debts and obligations of the client to you, unless and until all said debts and obligations shall have been paid in full. Nothing shall discharge or satisfy the liability of the understand hereunder assemble full performance and Nothing shall discharge or satisfy the liability of the undersigned hereunder except the full performance and payment of the said obligation and indebtedness with interest. The undersigned agree that if the client or any of the undersigned should at any time become insolvent, or make a general assignment, or if a petition respect of the client or any of the undersigned any and all obligations of the undersigned shall, at your option, forthwith become due and payable without notice. Your books and records showing the account between you and the client shall be admissible in evidence in any action or proceeding, shall be binding upon the undersigned for the nurrose of establishing the items therein set forth and shall constitute aring these the undersigned for the purpose of establishing the items therein set forth, and shall constitute prima facie proof thereof, except that your monthly statements rendered to client shall, to the extent to which no objection is made within thirty days after date thereof, constitute an account stated between you and the client and binding upon the undersigned. This instrument is a continuing guaranty which shall remain in full force and effect and shall not be terminable so long as either the aforementioned agreement or your present arrangement with the client or any renewals, continuations, modifications, supplements and amendments of either thereof shall remain in force and effect. Thereafter this instrument shall continue in full force and effect until terminated by the actual receipt by you by registered or certified mail of written notice of termination from the undersigned or from the legal representative of any deceased undersigned; such termination shall be applicable only to transactions having their inception thereafter, and rights and obligations arising out of transactions having their inception thereafter, and rights and obligations arising out of transactions having their inception prior to such termination shall not be affected. The death of anyone or more of the undersigned shall not effect a termination of this instrument as to such deceased or any of the surviving undersigned, nor shall termination by any one or more of the undersigned affect the continuing liability hereunder of such of the undersigned as do not give due notice of termination. The obligations hereunder shall constitute primary and not secondary obligations. The undersigned do hereby waive any and all right to a trial by jury in any action or proceeding based hereon. This instrument cannot be changed or terminated orally, shall be interpreted according to the laws of the State of New York, shall be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of the undersigned and shall enter to the heavy of your successors and a vigni.

Witness:	— λ =	Shellan Lucie	(L. S.)
Dated:		(Signature of G. Farator)	
		(Address)	
Witness:			(L. S.)
Dated:		(Signature of Guar, near)	
Vitness:		(Address)	// 61
Dated:		(Signature of Constantor)	(11. 5.)
		The second secon	

\$60,000

NEW YORK, NEW YORK January 25, 1971

The Indersigned, YORK LITHO CORPORATION OF AMERICA, (herein referred to as "MAKER"), for value received, promises to pay to the order of Chemical Bank-Dominerich Division (herein referred to as the "PAYEE"), at the Payee's office at 110 East 59th Street, New York, New York, or at such other place as the Payee or any subsequent holder hereof may designate, the sum of Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$60,000), with interest as hereinafter provided. Said principal amount of \$60,000 shall be payable in thirty-six (36) monthly consecutive installments commencing March 1, 1971, the first 35 such installments in the sum of One Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$1,700) and the 36th installment in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500).

Interest shall be computed monthly on the last day of each month. Interest shall be charged at the rate of 15.333% per annum. Such rate of interest shall be increased or decreased by .3% per annum for each increase or decrease, respectively, of .25% that is hereafter made at the Chemical Bank Prime Rate. At the option of the Payce, such interest and/or any and/or all of said installment; may be charged to Maker's Accounts Receivable Financing Account, so long as such shall remain in existence.

As security for the full and prompt payment of each and every installment of principal and/or interest, and/or any and/or all other obligations, liabilities and indebtedness which may now or at any time hereafter be ewed by Maker to Payee, or to any subsequent holder of this note (hereinafter collectively referred to as "OBLIGATIONS"), Maker has executed and delivered Accounts Receivable Financing Agreement dated October 3, 1961, in which all obligations of the Maker are secured by Payce's security interest in the Maker's Accounts Receivable.

If Maker shall default in the prompt, full and complete payment and performance of any of the obligations, or upon the occurrence of any other event of default as set forth in said Accounts Receivable Financing Agreement, or any other agreement between Maker and Payee, the entire unpaid balance of all Obligations then outstanding shall, at the option of Payee, become immediately due and payable without notice or demand. Interest on all amounts not paid when due according to their terms or by acceleration, shall be computed at the rate of two (2%) per cent per month from the date so becoming past due to the date of payment. If any holder hereof, upon such default, shall retain any attorney to collect this note or to protect the rights of such holder with respect thereto, or with respect to any property couring the Obligations, the Maker shall pay all costs and expenses of such coll ction or protection, including attorneys' fees equal to fifteen per cent (15%) of the then unpaid balance of the obligations.

This note may be prepaid in whole or in part at anytime that the Maker may so determine without penalty.

This note is made in the State of New York and shall be governed, construed and interpreted in all respects in accordance with the lawsof the state of New York.

The Maker and each Endorser hereby waives demand, presentment, protest and notice thereof, and all other notices to which they might otherwise be entitled, and each of them hereby waives trial by jury in any action or proceeding arising out of or connected herewith.

GOVERNMENT

YORK LITHO CORFORATION OF AMERICA

10

106

U.S. DIST. COUNT S.D. C. Y.Y. By: /////

-185

170

Chemical Bank Dommerich Division 110 East 59 Street New York, New York GOVERNMENT

id

January 19, 1972

Surrender of Collateral

EXHIBIT U.S. DIST. COU S.D. OF N. W

Gentlemen:

York Litho Corp. of America, a Florida corporation, hereby admits that it is in default in payment of substantial indebtedness owed by it to you, including, without limitation, indebtedness arising under the October 3, 1961 Accounts Receivable Financing greement and the January 25, 1971 Equipment Security Ag: sement between the undersigned and you.

Accordingly, the undersigned hereby surrenders and grants to you exclusive possession of all equipment owned by it, wherever located, including equipment located at 13901 N. W. 58 Court, Hialeah, Florida. This surrender of equipment is made in recognition of your rights as a secured party under the Uniform Commercial Code, and pursuant to said Equipment Security Agreement.

No disposition of any equipment now or hereafter on hand shall be made other than pursuant to your instructions, and any equipment that may be in the possession of the undersigned shall be so held as your agent, and subject to your sole instructions.

You will permit the undersigned, its officers, mployees, and agents to enter upon the premises located a 13901 N.W. 58 Court, Hialeah, Florida in your sole discret on and subject to your sole and exclusive dominion and control Subject to the foregoing limitation, the undersigned, in y ur sole discretion, may use the equipment at said locat on. Further, any loans and advances to be made by you to or .or the account of the undersigned, in your sole discretion, shall constitute general funds of the undersigned and be used for the underrighed's general purposes. ... any leans and advan as hereinafter made shall be secured by the security interests heretorore granted you by the undersigned.

Page 2 January 19, 1971

This letter in no way limits your rights under the Security Agreements and the Uniform Commercial Code.

Very truly yours,

YORK LITHO CORP. OF AMERICA

SHELDON TURNER, President

U.

### YORK LITHO CORP OF AMERICA

ADDRESS 3401 W. W. 36th St.

ACCOUNT NO .....

CR. RATING TERMS SALESMAN

		DO LISTING	CREDITS	
DATE	REFERENCE	PURCHASES	PAYMENTS	BALANCE
	BALANCE F	ORWARDED		
NOV 16'71	16,225	4.187.00 /		4,187.0
DEC 2'71	16,299	3,921.00		8,108.0
DEC 7'71CS		[/]	4,187.00	
DEC 17'71	16,382	7,166.00		3,921.0 11,037.0
DEC 31 '71	16, 429	4,312.00		√ 15, 393.0
MAY 3'72 JE			15,399.00	0
	,			
GOVE	REMERT	,		
	id			
1	19			
, 20	11			
	***			
FVI	IEIT			
	ST. COURT		ľ	
	OF N.Y.		~ \	
		4		

CHEMICAL BANK Dommerich Division New York, New York

DEAR SIRS:

In order to induce you to enter into the Security Agreement (Accounts Receivable) effective as of 19 61 with YORK LITHO COMPORATION OF AMERICA, October 3, 5630 N. V. 36th Avenue, Miami, Florida; a Florida Corporation

(hereinafter referred to as the "client") and/or to continue under or to refrain at this time from terminating your present arrangement with the client and in consideration of your so doing and/or in consideration of any loans, advances, payments, extensions of credit, benefits or financial accommodations heretofore or hereafter made, granted or extended by you or which you have or will become obligated to make, grant or extend to or for the account of the client whether under said agreement or otherwise, and/or in consideration of any obligation heretofore or hereafter incurred by the client to you whether under said agreement or otherwise, the undersigned (and each of them if more than one) agree to be, without deduction by reason of setoff, defense, or counterclaim of the client, jointly and severally primarily liable to you for the due performance of all the client's contracts and agreements with you, both present and future and any and all subsequent renewals, continuations, modifications, supplements and amendments thereof, and for the payment to you of any and all sums which may be presently due and owing or which shall in the future become due and owing to you from the client. This liability shall include but not be limited to any and all amounts charged or chargeable to the account of the client and any and all obligations incurred and sums due or to become due to you, whether by way of overdraft or otherwise, under the aforementioned agreement and any other contract or agreement and any renewals, continuations, modifications, supplements and amendments thereof, as well as any and all other obligations incurred and other sums due or to become due to you. whether or not such obligations or indebtedness shall arise under any contract or agreement or shall be represented by or payable under instruments of indebtedness or shall be acquired by you from any concern for which you may now or in the future act as factor; and in addition the understand shall be liable to you for attorneys' fees equal to 15% of the unpaid indebtedness and obligations of the client to you, if any claim hereunder is referred to an attorney for collection. All deposits and sums at any time to the credit of the undersigned and any property of the undersigned at any time in your possession shall be deemed held by you as security for any and all of the undersigned's obligations to you, no matter how or when arising and whether under this or any other instrument, agreement or otherwise. Any and all present and future debts and obligations of client to the undersigned are hereby waived and postponed in tayor of, and subordinated to the full payment and performance of, all present and future debts and obligations of client to you. The undersigned hereby waive notice of acceptance hereof and all notices and demands of any kind to which the undersigned hereby waive notice of acceptance hereof and all notices and demands of any kind to which the undersigned may be entitled, including without limitation all demands of payment on, and notice of nonundersigned may be entitled, including without limitation all demands of payment on, and notice of non-payment, protest and dishonor to the undersigned, or the client, or the makers, or endorsers of any notes or other instruments for which the undersigned are or may be liable hereunder. The undersigned further waive notice of and hereby consent to any agreement or arrangements whatever with the client or anyone else, including without limitation agreements and arrangements for payment, extension, rabordination, composition, arrangement, discharge or release of the whole or any part of said obligations or of said indebtedness, con-tracts or agreements or other guarantors, or for the change or surrender of any crall security, or for com-promise, whether he was a agreement or instance of payment or of the returns of merchantiles or of dividence or inpromise, whether by way of acceptance of part point or of returns of merchandise or of dividends or in any other way whatsoever, and the same shall in no way impair the undersigned shall have no right of subrogation, reimbursement or indemnity whatsoever and no right of recourse to or with respect to any assets or property of the client or to any critateral for the debts and obligations of the client to you, unless and until all said debts and obligations shall have been paid in full. Nothing shall discharge or satisfy the liability of the undersigned hereunder except the full performance and payment of the said obligation and indebtedness with interest. The undersigned agree that if the client or payment of the said obligation and indebtedness with interest. The undersigned agree that it the client of any of the undersigned should at any time become insolvent, or make a general estignment, or if a petition in bankruptcy or any insolvency or reorganization proceeding shall be filled or commenced by, against or in respect of the client or any of the undersigned, any and all obligations of the undersigned shall, at your option, forthwith become due and payable without notice. Your books and records showing the account between you and the client shall be admissible in evidence in any action or proceeding, shall be binding upon the undersigned for the purpose of establishing the items therein set forth, and shall constitute prima face proof thereof, except that your monthly statements rendered to client shall, to the e ... nt to which no objection s made within thirty days after date thereof, constitute an account stated between you and the client and binding upon the undersigned. This instrument is a continuing guaranty which shall remain in full force and effect and shall not be terminable so long as either the aforementioned agreement or your present arrangeeffect and shall not be terminable so long as either the aforementioned agreement or your present arrangement with the client or any renewals, continuations, modifications, supplements and amendments of either thereof shall remain in force and effect. Thereafter this instrument shall continue in full force and effect until terminated by the actual receipt by you by registered or certified mail of written natice of termination from the undersigned or from the legal representative of any deceased undersigned; and termination shall be applicable only to transactions having their inception thereafter, and rights and digations arising out of transactions having their inception prior to such termination shall not be affected. The death of anyone or more of the undersigned shall not effect a termination of this instrument as to such deceased or any of the surviving undersigned, nor shall termination by any one or more of the undersigned and effect the continuing liability hereunder of such of the undersigned as do not give due notice of termination. The obligations hereunder shall constitute primary and not secondary obligations. The undersigned do perceiv waive any and all under shall constitute primary and not secondary obligations. The undersigned do pereby waive any and all right to a trial by jury in any action or proceeding based hereon. This instrument cannot be changed or terminated orally, shall be interpreted according to the laws of the State of New York, shall be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of the undersigned and shall enter to the riment of your successors and assigns. SHEELEN LE HE

Witness:	1.54				(L. S.)
Dated: / h/			1 21	(Signature of Guarantor)	
Witness:	C			(Adc: 15)	(L. S.)
Dated:		1	:	(Signature of Guarantor)	(2. 3.,
Witness:		,		(Ad. em)	// 61
Dated:	103	/		(Signature omarantar)	(L. 5.)
			189	(Ad 10 5)	0.3

## ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE FINANCING AGRI

L. F. DOMMERICH & CO., INC. 485 Fifth Avenue New York 17, New York

101

DEAR SIRS:

The following agreement between us, by which you are to act as our sole factor, is effective as of

I.D. OF H.Y. T. 1. As security for loans and advances made or to be made by you to or for the account of the undersigned to be pledges, assigns, transfers and sets over to you all accounts, notes, bills, acceptances or other forms of obligation (herein collectively termed fide obligations of its customers existing at the time of assignment to you and arising out of and acquired by the undersigned in the ordinary course of its business, which are due and owing to the undersigned without defense, offset, contra account or counterclaim, of any nature not been previously assigned or pledged, liened or otherwise encumbered. The undersigned will not, during the term of this agreement, signed further assigns, transfers and sets over to you all its right, title and/or interest in the merel make represented by said receivables and reclamation and as an unpaid vendor and/or lienor. Any merchandise to received by customers and all its rights of stoppage in transit, replevin and reclamation and as an unpaid vendor and/or lienor. Any merchandise so recovered shall be treated as returned merchandise and shall be saide, marked with your name and held for your account. The undersigned shall notify you promptly deliver the same to you; unless the undersigned pays to you the amount of the receivable represented by such returned merchandise and merchandise, or furnishes you on your demand with collateral in form and amount satisfactory to your, you may take possession of and sell or and the undersigned shall remain liable to you for any deficiency and for the costs and expenses of such sale.

At the time of the assignment of receivables, you will advance to the undersigned at your discretion a sum up to eighty percen 2. At the time of the assignment of receivables, you will advance to the undersigned at your discretion a sum up to eighty pero (80%) of the net amount of receivables acceptable to you, less your charges as hereinafter described. "Net amount of receivables" remitted, paid or otherwise advanced by you to or for the account of the undersigned, including any amounts which you may be obligated to the undersigned and any property of the undersigned in your possession as security for any and all of the undersigned's obligations to the undersigned you may at any time in your sole discretion remit any monies standing to the credit of the undersigned on your books. Signed any amounts owing to you for purchases made by the undersigned from any other concern factored by you.

3. The undersigned will provide you with an assignment satisfactory to you of all said receivables, together with copies of customers' of account disclosing such assignment. Your credit and collection services are to be available to the undersigned, but in order to expedite collection of assigned receivables the undersigned shall endeavor in the first instance to make collection of assigned receivables for you. Property, but shall be segregated, held by the undersigned in trust for you as your exclusive property and the undersigned with the undersigned's other deliver to you the identical checks, namies or other forms of payment received and you shall have the right to endorse the name of the undersigned warrants and represents that all its employees receiving remittances are and will be bonded as assurance for their faithful perdefalcation of your property you shall receive the proceeds of any such bond. You retain the right at all times of notifying customers that undersigned.

4. All amounts received by you in payment of receivables assigned to you are to be credited to the account of the undersigned after allowing five (5) days for collection. Your charge is to be an amount equal to one twenty seventh of one percent, upon the net balance due you at the close of each day. Such charge is to be due and payable to you be the undersigned at the close of each month. You will account monthly and such account rendered by you shall be deemed binding upon the undersigned unless you are notified a writing to the contrary within thirty (30) days after the date of each account rendered.

5. The undersigned hereby constitutes 5. The undersigned hereby constitutes James F. Healy or any other person whom he or you may designate as attorney of the undersigned, with power to receive, open and dispose of all mail addressed to the undersigned; to notify the Post Office authorities to change the address for delivery of mail addressed to the undersigned of such address as you may designate; to endorse the name of the undersigned on any notes, acceptances, checks, drafts, money orders still of lading relating to any assigned receivable, on drafts against debtors, assignments and verification of accounts on any invoke or of send requests for verification of accounts to any debtor; and to do all other acts and things necess by to carry out this agreement. All or omission nor for any error of judgment or mistake of fact or law. This power, being coupled with an interest, is irrevocable while any assigned receivable shall remain unpaid, or any money remain due to you from the undersigned. James F. Healy

6. You shall have the right at all reasonable times to inspect, verify and check all the books, a counts records, orders and correspondence and such other papers of the undersigned as you may desire. The undersigned, at its own cost and expense, shall furnish to you a contain of payments received together with all remittance advices accompanying such payments.

# ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE FINANCING AGREEMENT

L. F. DOMMERICH & CO., INC. 485 Fifth Avenue New York 17, New York

DEAR Sms:

The following agreement between us, by which you are to act as our sole factor, is effective as of ACCEPTANCE DATE.

1. As security for locus and advances made or to be made by you to or for the account of the undersigned, the undersigned herely pledges, assigns, transfers and sets over to you all accounts, notes, bills, acceptances or other forms of obligation (herein collectively terres) fide obligations of its customers existing at the time of assignment to you and arising out of and acquired by the undersigned to be born course of its business, which are due and owing to the undersigned without defense, offset, nontra account or counterclaim, of any nature not been previously assigned or pledged, liened or otherwise encumbered. The undersigned will not, during the term of this agreement, signed further assigns, transfers and sets over to you all its right, title and/or interest in the merchandise represented by said receivables and reclamation and as an unpaid vendor and/or lienor. Any merchandise so recovered shall be treated as returned merchandise and shall be set asside, marked with your name and held for your account. The undersigned shall notify you promptly of all such returned merchandise and merchandise, or furnishes you on your demand with collateral in form and amount satisfactory to you, you may take possession of and sell or and the undersigned shall remain liable to you for any deficiency and for the costs and expenses of such sale.

2. At the time of the assignment of receivables, you will advance to the undersigned at your discretion a sum up to eighty percent 2. At the time of the assignment of receivables, you will advance to the undersigned at your discretion a sum up to eighty per of 80%%) of the net amount of receivables acceptable to you, less your charges as hereinafter described. "Net amount of receivables" means the gross amount of said receivables less any discounts or allowances of any nature. The balance of said net amount, less any monies to pay in the future, shall be remitted when all said receivables shall be collected in full. You shall be entitled to hold all sums to the credit of the undersigned and any property of the undersigned in your possession as security for any and all of the undersigned's obligations to the undersigned you may at any time in your sole discretion remit any monies standing to the credit of the undersigned on your books. The undersigned shall not pledge your credit for any purpose whatever. You shall have the right to charge to the account of the undersigned any amounts owing to you for purchases made by the undersigned from any other concern factored by you.

- 3. The undersigned will provide you with an assignment satisfactory to you of all said receivables, together with copies of customers' invoices and copulative evidence of shipment and will immediately make appropriate notations upon and entries in its ledgers and books collection of assigned receivables the undersigned shall endeavor in the first instance to make collection of assigned receivables for you. All remittances received by the undersigned on account of receivables assigned to you shall not be commingled with the undersigned's other property, but shall be segregated, held by the undersigned in trust for you as your exclusive property and the undersigned will immediately deliver to you the identical checks, monies or other forms of payment received and you shall have the right to endorse the name of the undersigned warrants and represents that all its employees receiving remittances are and will be bonded as assurance for their faithful performance. Without in any way effecting the undersigned's liability to you hereunder, the undersigned agrees that to the extent of any defalcation of your property you shall receive the proceeds of any such bond. You retain the right at all times of notifying customers that undersigned.
- 4. All amounts received by you in payment of receivables assigned to you are to be credited to the recount of the undersigned after allowing five (5) days for collection. Your charge is to be an amount equal to One twenty-seventh of one percent, upon the net balance due you at the close of each day. Such charge is to be due and payable to you by the undersigned at the close of each month. You will account monthly and such account rendered by you shall be deemed binding upon the undersigned unless you are notified in writing to the contrary within thirty (30) days after the date of each account rendered.

5. The undersigned hereby constitutes or any other person whom he or you may designate as attorney of the undersigned, with power to receive, open and dispose of all mail addressed to the undersigned; to notify the Post Office authorities to change the address for delivery of mail addressed to the undersigned to such address as you may designate; to endorse the name of the undersigned on any notes, acceptances, checks, drafts, money orders bill of lading relating to any assigned receivable, on drafts against debtors, assignments and verifications of accounts and notices to debtors acts of said attorney or designee are hereby ratified and approved and said attorney or designee shall not be liable for any acts of commission nor for any error of judgment or mistake of fact or law. This power, being coupled with an interest, is irrevocable while any assigned receivable shall remain unpaid, or any money remain due to you from the undersigned. James F. Healy

6. You shall have the right at all reasonable times to inspect, verify and check all the book are also records, orders a large condence and such other map is of the understand as you may desire. The understand, at its council as the control of payments received together want all remutance as wices accompanying such payments.

- 7. The undersigned hereby guarantees the solvency of its customers and warrants that the customer in each instance will accept the merchandise sold and/or the services rendered and will pay the invoice therefor without dispute or duim in any respect including, without limitation, disputes as to price, terms or quality and citims of release from intuity or of making to may because of any act of God or a public enemy or war or because of the requirements of law or of rules, orders of regulations having the force of law. The undersigned wall bodily you promptly of and shall settle at its own expense all such disputes and/or claims, and will pay you promptly the amount of the receivables affected thereby. In the event that any receivable is not paid within ninety (90) days after meturity, or in the event a position in bankruptcy or application for any other relief under any provision of the Bankruptcy Act is filed by or against a customer, or a receiver is appointed for the assets or affairs of a customer, or a customer shall make a general assignment for the benefit of creditors, or in the event of the insolvency of a customer, the regelvables unpaid at that time by said customer may be immediately charged to the undersigned who will pay you promptly the amount thereof. In the event the tridersigned fails to pay you groundly the above provided, you are to have the right to saide or adjust all disputes and/or claims directly with the customer or to emprounds or extend the time for payment of the receivables, for such amounts and upon such terms and conditions as you deem auxistile and to charge of any receivable to the undersigned's account shall not be deemed a reassignment thereof and title thereto and to the merchandise represented thereby shall remain in you until you are fully relimbursed.
- 8. Upon the termination of this agreement or in the event that any representation or warranty made by the undersigned, either in connection with this agreement or any assignment hereunder shall be false, or in the event of the breath by the undersigned of any provision of this agreement or default by the undersigned in the performance of any term, covenant or condition hereof, or if the undersigned shall suspend its business, or if the undersigned shall call a nuction of any of its creditors or if any jud anoth shall be entered against the undersigned which shall not be paid or bounded on appeal within here days after the entry of such judgment, or if the undersigned either voluntarily releases or is the subject of petitions for relief of the undersigned under the dankruptcy. Act or Federal or Silver receivership, or under any State statute invoked on the allegation of the then insolvency of the undersigned, the undersigned will repay forthwith all obligations to you of the undersigned and in addition thereto, all expenses incurred or evented, including a reasonable adougance for attorneys fees to obtain or enforce payment of any account assigned hereunder, or in the production or defense of any action entre against you or against the undersigned concerning any matter growing out of or connected with the subject matter of this agreement and/or the receivables assigned hereunder, in the event of the undersigned's failure so to do, you may immediately or at any time or from time to time, without further demand and without advertisement or notice, all of which are hereby expressly waived, sell, assign and deliver the receivables and/or any other security or property held by you, at public or private sale, for each, non-credit, or otherwise, at your sole discretion and option, and you may bid or become purchasers at any such sale is public, free free any right of redemption which is hereby expressly waived. The proceeds of any such sale shall be applied first to all expenses of sale, and second to the payment of ai
- 9. The undersigned hereby warrants its solvency. This agreement made in the State of New York shall be interpreted according to the laws of said State and shall continue in full force and effect until one year from the effective date hereof, and from year to year thereafter unless terminated by you or the undersigned, by written notice of intention so to terminate given at least sixty (60) days prior to the anniversary date of this agreement provided, however, that so long as any monies are due to you by the undersigned by reason of this agreement or for any other cause whatsoever, this agreement shall continue in full force and effect.
- 10. Termination shall be affected by the mailing of a registered letter of notice and the termination shall be effective as of the date so and in such notice subject to the provisions of paragraph 9; notwithstanding the foregoing, should either of us become insolvent or unable to meet its debts as they mature, or commit an act of bankruptcy, the other of us shall have the right to terminate this agreement at any time without prior notice. Rights and obligations arising out of transactions having their inception prior to the effective termination date shall not be affected. Your waiver of any covenant or warranty here construed as or constitute a priver of such, or of any other covenant, warranty, right, privilege or option, or of any subsequent breach. This agreement is entered into for the benefit of the parties, hereto, their successors and assigns, cannot be changed orally, is the complete agreement between the parties, and shall be deemed dated as of the date of your acceptance below. Throughout the Agreement, in referring to "you" it shall mean and include your subsidiary, L. F. Dommerleh & Co. California Corp.

PCRETARY'S CERTIFICAT

The sale of Secretary, Treasurer or other oils - er and

ONLY COPY AVAILABLE

#### SECRETARY'S CERTIFICATE

RESOLVED, that the President, Vice-President, Secretary, Treasurer or other officer or any agent of this corporation, or any one or more of them, be and they are hereby authorized and empowered to enter into and execute on behalf of the corporation an agreement with L. F. Dommerich & Co., Inc. and/or its subsidiary, L. F. Dommerich & Co. California Corp. (hereinafter called the "Factor") relating to the pledge assignment, negotiation and guarantee to said Factor of accounts, notes, bills, acceptances and other forms of obligations, collectively referred to as "receivables", and/or relating to the consignment, pledge, mortgage or other hypothecation of any merchandise or other property, now or hereafter belonging to or acquired by the corporation, to or with said Factor, and from time to time to modify or supplement said agreement and to make and modify, or supplement arrangements with said Factor as to the terms or conditions on which such receivables are to be pledged, assigned, negotiated or guaranteed to said Factor, and as to the terms or conditions on which merchandise or other property, now or hereafter belonging to or acquired by the corporation, may be consigned, pledged, mortgaged or otherwise hypothecated to or with said Factor, and they and each of them and any person or persons hereafter and from time to time designated by any of them to act for this Corporation are hereby further authorized and empowered from time to time to assign, transfer, deliver, endorse, negotiate or otherwise transfer and/or guarantee to said Factor and its assigns any and all receivables now or hereafter belonging to or acquired by the corporation, and for said purposes to execute and deliver any and all assignments, sched iles, transfers, endorsements, contracts, guarantees, agreements or other instruments in respect thereof and to make remittances and payments in respect thereof by checks, drafts or otherwise, and they are further authorized and empowered from time to time to consign, designate, pledge, mortgage or otherwise hypothecate to or with said Factor merchandise or other property now or hereafter belonging to or acquired by and corporation, and for said purposes to execute and deliver any and all consignments, designations, schedules, mortgages, agreements, instruments of pledge and/or other instruments in respect thereof, and to do and perform all such other acts and things deemed by such officer or agent necessary, convenient or proper to carry out, modify or supplement any such agreement and arrangements made with said Factor, hereby ratifying, approving and confirming all that any of said officers or agents have done or may do in the premises.

I,	, do here	y certify that I am the
Secretary of Year P. 171. Ches of	The x . CA	
a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue		, having its principal
place of business in the City of	; that I am the keeper of the corporate recor	J J
preciois of said corporation duty convened and held in ac	ecordance with its by-laws and the laws of said State at the of	fice of said corporation
n the City of // / / / /	_ State of FLD on the	3 day of
	as taken and transcribed by me from the minutes of said meanutes, and that the same has not in any way been modified, repagreement is the agreement referred to in said resolution a	
I do further certify that the following are the names and authorized, namely:	and specimen signatures of the officers and agents of said corp	oration, so empowered
and addiorized, namely:	( / )	
President // // // // // // // // // Print name)		
(Print name)	(Signature)	
Vice-President		
(Print name)	Signature)	1 \
Secretary 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	Well IIII	
(Print name)	Signature)	***
reasurer (Print name)		
.) (Frint name)	(Signature)	
gent		
(Print name)	Signature)	
Witness my hand and scal of said corporation this	day of	19
Affix corporate		

(Secretaly of sold corporation)

#### GUARANTY

L. F. DOMMERICH & CO., INC. 485 Fifth Avenue New York 17, New York

DEAR SIRS:

In order to induce you to enter into the within and foregoing agreement effective as of

19

(hereinafter referred to as the "client") and/or to continue under or to refrain at this time from terminating your present arrangement with the client and in consideration of your so doing and/or in consideration of any loans, advances, payments, extensions of credit, benefits or financial accounted that the control of the client dy our so doing and/or in consideration of any loans, advances, payments, extensions of credit, benefits or financial accounted that the control of the client dy our whether under said agreement or otherwise, and/or in control become obligated to make, grant or because the control of the client dy our whether under said agreement or otherwise, the understined claus of them from the control of the client do not be control of the client do not be control of the client do not control of the client do not control of the client do not control of the client do not control of the client do not you of any and all obligations increased in the client of chargeable to the account of the client and any and all obligations increased and the client of the client and any and all obligations increased and other sints of the client of the client and any and all obligations increased and other sints of the created by or payable under instruments of indebtedness of the client and any other contact or agreement or shall be represented by or payable under instruments of indebtedness or shall be admitted to the contact of the which you may now or in the future as a factor, and in addition the undersigned all be before the client of the undersigned and any property of the undersigned at any time in your present or substitiary or any and all client only any other contact or agreement or shall be represented by one and the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the control of the c

Vitness:		
Dated:	(Signa: re of Guarantor)	(L. S.)
Vitness:	Address)	
Dated:	(Signa:e of Guarantor)	(L. S.)
Vitness:	. Address)	
Pated:	(Signa: to e of Guarantor)	(L. S.)
	(Address)	

JUDGMENT AND COMMITMENT APPEALED FROM

Cr. Form No. 25

United States District Court

FOR THE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

United States of America

v.

SHELDON S. TURNER

No. 74 Cr. 424



this 1st day of November , 19 74 came the attorney for the government and the defendant appeared in person and by counsel Michael S. Washor Esq.,

It is Adjudged that the defendant upon his plea of not guilty and a verdict of guilty.

has been convicted of the offense of unlawfully, wilfully, and knowingly did make a false statement and report for the purpose of influencing the action of Chemical bank, the deposits of which were then insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, upon certain advances and loans, and the acceptance of security of accounts receivable financing agreement was in effect between the bank and York Litho Corp. of America.

(Title 18 United States Code, Section 1014 and Section 2.)

as charged<sup>3</sup> in counts 2,3, & 11 and the court having asked the defendant whether he has anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced, and no sufficient cause to the contrary being shown or appearing to the Court,

It Is Adjudged that the defendant is guilty as charged and convicted.

It is Adjudged that the defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of SIX(6)MONTHS on each of counts 2,3, and 11 to run consecutively, for a total of EIGHTEEN(18)MONTHS imprisonment. And the defendant is FINED \$2,000 on each of counts 2,3, and 11, for a TOTAL FINE of \$6,000 to be paid or the defendant is to stand committed.

The defendant is to surrender to the United States Marshal on wednesday, november 6, 1974 at 12:00 noon.

IT IS ADJUDGED that's

It is Ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the United States Marshal or other qualified officer and that the copy serve as the commitment of the defendant.

int he lines

The Court recommends commitment to

Raymon ( F. Burgharett.



